

**Annual Report to the Alaska Board of Game on
Intensive Management for Moose
with Wolf, Black Bear, and Grizzly Bear Predation
Control in Game Management Unit 19A**

**Prepared by the Division of Wildlife Conservation
February 2018**



- 1) **Description of IM Program¹ and Department recommendation for reporting period.**
- A) **This report is an annual evaluation for a predation control program authorized by the Alaska Board of Game (Board) under 5 AAC 92.123**
- B) **Month this report was submitted by the Department to the Board:**
February 2018 annual report.
- C) **Program name:** Unit 19A wolf and bear predation control program (Fig. 1).
- D) **Existing program has an associated Operational Plan.**
- E) **Game Management Unit fully or partly included in IM program area:** Unit 19A.
- F) **IM objectives are a moose population size of 7,600–9,300 and a harvest of 400–550 moose.**
- G) **Month and year the current predation control program was originally authorized by the Board:** March 2004. **Indicate date(s) if renewed:** March 2009; Modified March 2012; February 2014.
- H) **Predation control is currently active in this IM area.**
- I) **If active, month and year the current predation control program began:** December 2004 for wolves, July 2012 (regulatory year 2012) for bears.
- J) **A habitat management program funded by the Department or from other sources is currently active in this IM area:** No.
- K) **Size of IM program area (square miles) and geographic description:** Unit 19A is 9,972 mi².
- L) **Size and geographic description of area for assessing ungulate abundance:** The Wolf Control Focus Area (WCFA) is 3,905 mi²; Unit 19A Bear Control Focus Area (BCFA) is 534 mi².
- M) **Size and geographic description of area for ungulate harvest reporting:** WCFA is 3,905 mi².
- N) **Size and geographic description of area for assessing predator abundance:** WCFA is 3,905 mi²; Unit 19A Bear Control Focus Area (BCFA) is 534 mi².

¹ For purpose and context of this report format, see *Intensive Management Protocol, section on Tools for Program Implementation and Assessment*

- O) **Size and geographic description of predation control area:** WCFA is 3,905 mi² for wolves; BCFA is 534 mi² for bears.
- P) **Criteria for evaluating progress toward IM objectives:** moose abundance and harvest.
- Q) **Criteria for success with this program:** Achieve a moose abundance of 2.0 moose/mi² (~1,100 moose) in the BCFA, and a harvest of 120 moose in the WCFA.
- R) **Department recommendation for IM program in this reporting period:** Continue program (details provided in section 6).




Figure 1. Unit 19A intensive management area and wolf and bear control focus areas.

2) Prey data

Date(s) and method of most recent abundance assessment for moose: March 2017 Geospatial moose population estimate (GSPE) in WCFA and BCFA.

Compared to IM area, was a similar trend and magnitude of difference in abundance observed in nearby non-treatment area(s) since program inception: Non-treatment area not established.

Date(s) of most recent age and sex composition survey: November 2017, east-west line transects in Holitna-Hoholitna Drainages.

Compared to IM area, was a similar composition trend and magnitude of difference in composition observed in nearby non-treatment area(s) since program inception: Non-treatment area not established.

Table 1. Moose abundance, age and sex composition in Wolf Control Focus Area (WCFA) or Bear Control Focus Area (BCFA) since program implementation in year 1. Regulatory year is 1 July to 30 June (e.g, Regulatory Year 2004 is 1 July 2004 to 30 June 2005).

| Period | Regulatory Year | Abundance (variation) ^a | Composition (number per 100 females) ^b | | |
|----------------------|-----------------|---|---|-------|----------------|
| | | | Calves | Males | Total <i>n</i> |
| Year 1 | 2004 | WCFA: 1,085 moose ($\pm 17\%$; 90% CI) | -- | -- | -- |
| Year 2 | 2005 | -- | 24 | 8 | 307 |
| Year 3 | 2006 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Year 4 | 2007 | WCFA: 1,703 moose ($\pm 28\%$; 90% CI) | 45 | 35 | 200 |
| Year 5 | 2008 | -- | 27 | 34 | 124 |
| Year 6 | 2009 | -- | 36 | 51 | 129 |
| Year 7 | 2010 | WCFA: 1,666 moose ($\pm 36\%$ 90% CI) with scf ^c | 19 | 48 | 212 |
| Year 8 | 2011 | -- | 31 | 38 | 164 |
| Year 9 | 2012 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Year 10 ^d | 2013 | BCFA: 798 moose ($\pm 13.6\%$ at 90% CI) with scf ^c | 50 | 55 | 244 |
| Year 11 | 2014 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Year 12 | 2015 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Year 13 | 2016 | -- | 55 | 58 | 273 |
| Year 14 | 2017 | BCFA: 728 moose ($\pm 11\%$ at 90% CI) with scf ^c | 34 | 36 | 300 |
| | | WCFA: 2,030 ($\pm 11\%$ at 90% CI) with scf ^c | -- | -- | -- |

^aFebruary-March GSPE surveys are observable moose, not corrected for sightability unless denoted with scf (with sightability correction factor).

^bNovember line transect surveys conducted within the BCFA; ^cSightability Correction Factor

^dGSPE conducted in BCFA only

Describe trend in abundance or composition: No detectable trend in moose abundance within the WCFA.

Table 2. Moose harvest in Wolf Control Focus Area (WCFA) since program implementation in year 1 to year 14. Regulatory year is 1 July to 30 June (e.g, Regulatory Year 2004 is 1 July 2004 to 30 June 2005).

| Period | Regulatory Year | Reported Harvest | | Total harvest | Other mortality ^a | Total |
|---------|-------------------|------------------|--------|---------------|------------------------------|-------|
| | | Male | Female | | | |
| Year 1 | 2004 | 37 | -- | 37 | -- | 37 |
| Year 2 | 2005 | 42 | -- | 42 | -- | 42 |
| Year 3 | 2006 | 1 ^b | -- | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Year 4 | 2007 | 2 ^b | -- | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Year 5 | 2008 | 1 ^b | -- | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| Year 6 | 2009 | 1 ^b | -- | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Year 7 | 2010 | 3 ^b | -- | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Year 8 | 2011 | 2 ^b | -- | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Year 9 | 2012 | 2 ^b | -- | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Year 10 | 2013 | 3 ^b | -- | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Year 11 | 2014 | 2 ^b | -- | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Year 12 | 2015 | 3 ^b | 0 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Year 13 | 2016 | 2 ^b | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Year 14 | 2017 ^c | 2 ^b | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 |

^aMortuary harvest; other permitted harvest

^bHunting season closed, except within the Lime Village Management Area

^cPreliminary results

Describe trend in harvest: Harvest declined due to hunting season closure in most of the WCFA

Describe any other harvest related trend if appropriate: None

3) Predator data

Wolves

Date(s) and method of most recent spring abundance assessment for wolves in the WCFA:

April 2015, private pilot interviews and state biologist observations from aircraft

Date(s) and method of most recent fall abundance assessment for wolves in the WCFA:

April 2015, calculated for fall 2014 by adding total removal from WCFA to spring 2014 abundance estimate

Other research or evidence of trend or abundance status in wolves: Pre-control wolf estimate was modeled at 75–100 wolves in the WCFA

Table 3. Wolf abundance and removal in Wolf Control Focus Area (WCFA) since program implementation in year 1. Removal objective is to reduce wolf numbers as low as possible in the WCFA and to maintain 25–30 in all of Unit 19A to ensure wolves persist in the unit. The fall regulatory year 2014 modeled wolf population estimate for all of Unit 19A is 88-118. Regulatory year is 1 July to 30 June (e.g, Regulatory Year 2004 is 1 July 2004 to 30 June 2005).

| Period | Regulatory Year | Fall abundance ^a | Harvest removal | | Dept. control removal | Public control removal | Total removal | Spring abundance |
|---------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| | | | Trap | Hunt | | | | |
| Year 1 | 2004 | -- | 3 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 43 | -- |
| Year 2 | 2005 | 44–46 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 38 | 5–7 ^b |
| Year 3 | 2006 | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | -- |
| Year 4 | 2007 | 27 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 15 | 12 ^b |
| Year 5 | 2008 | -- | 1 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 20 | -- |
| Year 6 | 2009 | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | -- |
| Year 7 | 2010 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 11 | 19 ^b |
| Year 8 | 2011 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 13 ^c |
| Year 9 | 2012 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 22 ^c |
| Year 10 | 2013 | 32 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 24 ^c |
| Year 11 | 2014 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 20 ^c |
| Year 12 | 2015 | -- | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | -- |
| Year 13 | 2016 | -- | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 15 | -- |
| Year 14 | 2017 ^d | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | -- |

^aCalculated by subtracting total removal from WCFA spring abundance during each RY.

^bAbundance based on aerial reconnaissance survey.

^cAbundance based on private pilot and department biologist observations.

^dPreliminary data.

Black Bears

Date(s) and method of most recent spring abundance assessment for black bears in the BCFA: May 2013, based on removal estimator.

Date(s) and method of most recent fall abundance assessment for black bears in the BCFA: None

Other research or evidence of trend or abundance status in black bears: Estimated population of 2,500–3,000 black bears in Unit 19A. Based on research results from 19D black bears have likely recovered to at least 70% of their precontrol numbers.

MILLER S., G.C. WHITE, R.A. SELLERS, H.V. REYNOLDS, J.W. SCHOEN, K. TITUS, V.G. BARNES, JR., R.B. SMITH, R.R. NELSON, W.B. BALLARD, AND C.C. SCHWARTZ. 1997. Brown and black bear density estimation in Alaska using radiotelemetry and replicated mark-resight techniques. *Wildlife Monographs* 133.

BOUDREAU T.A. 2005. Units 19, 21A and 21E black bear management report. Pages 218–222 in

Table 4. Black bear abundance and removal in Bear Control Focus Area (BCFA) since bear control was implemented in Year 9. Removal objective is to reduce bear numbers as low as possible within the BCFA. The spring regulatory year 2012 estimated black bear population for all of Unit 19A is 2,500–3,000. Regulatory year is 1 July to 30 June (e.g. Regulatory Year 2004 is 1 July 2004 to 30 June 2005).

| Period | Regulatory Year | Spring abundance (95% CI) | Harvest removal | | Dept. control removal | | Total removal | Fall abundance |
|---------|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
| | | | FA ^a | SP ^b | FA ^a | SP ^b | | |
| Year 9 | 2012 | 92–102 ^c | 0 | 1 | 0 | 84 | 85 | 12 |
| Year 10 | 2013 | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 54 | -- |
| Year 11 | 2014 | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Year 12 | 2015 | -- | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | -- |
| Year 13 | 2016 | -- | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | -- |
| Year 14 | 2017 ^d | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |

^aFall

^bSpring

^cIndependent bears

^dPreliminary data

Brown Bears

Date(s) and method of most recent spring abundance assessment for brown bears in the BCFA: May 2012, modeled based on known bear densities in similar habitats.

Date(s) and method of most recent fall abundance assessment for brown bears in the BCFA: None

Other research or evidence of trend or abundance status in black bears: Estimated population of 200 brown bears in Unit 19A is based on known bear densities in similar habitats in other game management units in Interior Alaska.

MILLER S., G.C. WHITE, R.A. SELLERS, H.V. REYNOLDS, J.W. SCHOEN, K. TITUS, V.G. BARNES, JR., R.B. SMITH, R.R. NELSON, W.B. BALLARD, AND C.C. SCHWARTZ. 1997. Brown and black bear density estimation in Alaska using radiotelemetry and replicated mark-resight techniques. *Wildlife Monographs* 133.

BOUDREAU T.A. 2005. Units 19, 21A and 21E black bear management report. Pages 218–222 in C. Brown, editor. Black bear management report of survey and inventory activities 1 July 2001–30 June 2004. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Project 17.0. Juneau, Alaska.

Table 5. Brown bear abundance and removal in Bear Control Focus Area (BCFA) since bear control was implemented in Year 9. Removal objective is to reduce bear numbers as low as possible within the BCFA. The Spring regulatory year 2012 estimated brown bear population for all of Unit 19A is 200. Regulatory year is 1 July to 30 June (e.g. Regulatory Year 2004 is 1 July 2004 to 30 June 2005).

| Period | Regulatory Year | Spring abundance (95% CI) | Harvest removal | | Dept. control removal | | Total removal | Fall abundance |
|---------|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
| | | | FA ^a | SP ^b | FA ^a | SP ^b | | |
| Year 9 | 2012 | 10–15 ^c | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | -- |
| Year 10 | 2013 | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | -- |
| Year 11 | 2014 | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Year 12 | 2015 | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Year 13 | 2016 | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Year 14 | 2017 ^d | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |

^aFall

^bSpring

^cBased on known bear densities in similar habitats

^dPreliminary data

4) Habitat data and nutritional condition of prey species

Where active habitat enhancement is occurring or was recommended in the Operational Plan, describe progress toward objectives: No active habitat enhancement.

Table 6. Nutritional indicators for moose in Wolf Control Focus Area (WCFA) since program implementation. Regulatory year is 1 July to 30 June (e.g, Regulatory Year 2004 is 1 July 2004 to 30 June 2005).

| Period | Regulatory Year | Twinning Rate of radiocollared cows with calf that had twins (n) | Twinning Rate of uncollared cows with calf that had twins (n) |
|---------|-----------------|--|---|
| Year 1 | 2004 | 43% (7) | -- |
| Year 2 | 2005 | -- | -- |
| Year 3 | 2006 | -- | 64% (11) |
| Year 4 | 2007 | -- | 75% (4) |
| Year 5 | 2008 | -- | -- |
| Year 6 | 2009 | -- | -- |
| Year 7 | 2010 | -- | -- |
| Year 8 | 2011 | -- | -- |
| Year 9 | 2012 | 54% (26) | 60% (15) |
| Year 10 | 2013 | 70% (27) | 52% (21) |
| Year 11 | 2014 | -- | -- |
| Year 12 | 2015 | -- | -- |
| Year 13 | 2016 | -- | -- |
| Year 14 | 2017 | -- | -- |

5) Costs specific to implementing Intensive Management

Table 7. Unit 19A cost (\$1,000 = 1.0) of agency salary based on estimate of proportional time of field level staff and cost of operations for intensive management activities (e.g., predator control or habitat enhancement beyond normal Survey and Inventory work) performed by personnel in the Department or work by other state agencies (e.g., Division of Forestry) or contractors in Unit 19A beginning in year 8.

| Period | Fiscal Year ^a | Predation control ^b | | Other IM activities | | Total IM cost | Research cost ^e |
|---------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------------------|
| | | Time ^c | Cost ^d | Time ^c | Cost ^d | | |
| Year 7 | 2011 | 0.4 | 3.5 | 5.2 | 47.2 | 50.7 | 0.0 |
| Year 8 | 2012 | 0.5 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 31.8 | 35.7 | 0.0 |
| Year 9 | 2013 | 9.7 | 408.7 | 2.0 | 29.2 | 437.9 | 0.0 |
| Year 10 | 2014 | 17.3 | 260.3 | 0.6 | 41.8 | 302.1 | 0.0 |
| Year 11 | 2015 | 1.4 | 11.4 | 0.4 | 5.0 | 16.4 | 0.0 |
| Year 12 | 2016 | 1.4 | 9.5 | 0.4 | 5.0 | 14.5 | 0.0 |
| Year 13 | 2017 | 1.4 | 9.5 | 1.0 | 88.5 | 14.5 | 0.0 |

^aFiscal year (FY) is 1 July to 30 June but the year is one greater than the comparable regulatory year (e.g, Fiscal Year 2011 is 1 July 2010 to 30 June 2011).

^bState or private funds only.

^cPerson-months (22 days per month)

^dSalary plus operations

^eSeparate from implementing IM program but beneficial for understanding of ecological or human response to management treatment (scientific approach that is not unique to IM).

6) Evaluation for program renewal following Year 15 (February 2018) and Department recommendations for Unit 19A.

Has progress toward defined criteria been achieved? Unknown. There is no detectable trend in moose abundance within the WCFA or BCFA.

Has achievement of success criteria occurred? No.

Recommendation for IM program: Continue.

Rationale for recommendation on overall program: The second of 2 years of bear control was completed in spring 2014. Calf survival appears to have improved. Continued predation reduction is needed to enhance moose recovery.

Other recommendations (if continuation is recommended, specific actions on individual practices): This program included bear control during spring 2013 and 2014. We concluded the department bear control effort in spring 2014 (regulatory year 2013). We recommend continuing aerial wolf control as moose recover. The program was modified during the February 2014 Board meeting to provide an option for department bear control; continuation of public wolf control; a population goal of 2.0 moose/mi² within the BCFA; a harvest goal of 120 moose from the WCFA; and an evaluation of moose harvest within the WCFA.