

**Subject: Support prop 124**

To: Board of Fish Members,

I'm a 30 plus year driftnetter in Northern Southeast ak, I'm a former district 15 fisherman. I used to fish dist. 15 for the most of my yearly fishing income, but haven't made a single set there for 3 years after trying for one year under the Chilkat King stock of concern management with few fishing days, in a postage stamp sized area, and a large driftnet fleet.

I support proposal 124 because the sunset in the omnibus net group deal included it. I opposed that net group deal at the meeting in Sitka for many reasons, but the sunsets made it at least tolerable to me at the time.

I can not imagine the consequences to the district 15 fishery with 2 Chilkat stocks of concern being managed at the same time. Current King restrictions are in place from first opening in June ( third Sunday). To third week in July, I figure if sockeye get tagged a concern and managed similar, restrictions would start last week in July and run through August give a week or two either direction. That would absolutely devastate that commercial fishery and not worth the risk In my opinion when the pink abundance fishery can be held south of Hawk Inlet.

Finally, an observation, I hear one gear group say "regardless of agreed to sunset, please stick to integrity of current practice" when in fact on the other 2 pieces of the deal they submitted and supported proposals doing the exact opposite (prop 102, 99, 100) . While the other net group supported continuation on prop 102 , 1-1 instead of reverting back to regulation 2-1 ( gill net to seine) ratio. And supporting a regulation change to 1-1 into the future.

Thank you for your time and consideration

Tim Grussendorf  
FV Christi Sea