

RC: To submit for consideration proposal 107

The Board of Fish took no action on Proposal 107 because I asked to board to set it aside in favor of 123 and 134, to conserve Board time. However, 123 and 134 did not find favor with the Board, and I submit 107 for the Board's consideration.

Proposal 107 points out, that under current regulations, the east side of Kalgin Island, that is set net statistical area 246-20, is opened on June 25 to set gillnet fishing.

However, the set net area on the east side of Kalgin Island, that is set net statistical area 246-20, is opened to drift gillnet fishing on June 19.

What is the conservation goal to exclude a few set gill nets from waters within the Kalgin Island subdistrict set net area 246-20 when these waters are open to the drift gillnet fishing?

At the same time, waters along the west side of Kalgin Island have been open since June 1.

Please take proposal 107 under consideration. I propose adoption of the following language.

"Whenever waters within the set net statistical area 246-20 are open to drifting gillnet fishing, they are also open to set gillnet fishing."

This is a different issue than the other proposals, so it is not issue precluded, and I ask for a decision on the merits.

David Chessik

907 598 9035

PS: People have different reputations within different groups. My law school classmates drafted me to be their commencement speaker. The Kalgin Island fishermen are a different group.