Alaska Board of Game Agenda Change Requests

Because of the volume of proposed regulatory changes, time constraints, and budget considerations, the boards must limit their agendas. The boards attempt to give as much advance notice as possible on what schedule subjects will be open for proposals. The following regulation specifies how the Board of Game considers agenda change requests (5 AAC 92.005):

BOARD OF GAME

- 5 AAC 92.005. The Board of Game, will, in its discretion, change its schedule for considering proposed regulatory changes in accordance with the following guidelines:
- (1) a request to consider a proposed regulatory change outside the board's published schedule must be in writing, and must specify the change proposed and the reason it should be considered out of sequence;
- (2) a request must be sent to the executive director of the boards support section at least 45 days before a scheduled meeting unless the board allows an exception to the deadline because of an emergency;
- (3) the executive director shall attempt to obtain comments on the request from as many board members as can reasonably be contacted; and
- (4) if a majority of the board members contacted approve the request, the executive director shall notify the public and the department of the agenda change.

5 AAC 96.625. JOINT BOARD PETITION POLICY

- (a) Under AS 44.62.220, an interested person may petition an agency, including the Boards of Fisheries and Game, for the adoption, amendment, or repeal of a regulation. The petition must clearly and concisely state the substance or nature of the regulation, amendment, or repeal requested, the reason for the request, and must reference the agency's authority to take the requested action. Within 30 days after receiving a petition, a board will deny the petition in writing, or schedule the matter for public hearing under AS 44.62.190--44.62.210, which require that any agency publish legal notice describing the proposed change and solicit comment for 30 days before taking action. AS 44.62.230 also provides that if the petition is for an emergency regulation, and the agency finds that an emergency exists, the agency may submit the regulation to the lieutenant governor immediately after making the finding of emergency and putting the regulation into proper form.
- (b) Fish and game regulations are adopted by the Alaska Board of Fisheries and the Alaska Board of Game. At least twice annually, the boards solicit regulation changes. Several hundred proposed changes are usually submitted to each board annually. The Department of Fish and Game compiles the proposals and mails them to all fish and game advisory committees, regional fish and game councils, and to over 500 other interested individuals.
- (c) Copies of all proposals are available at local Department of Fish and Game offices. When the proposal books are available, the advisory committees and regional councils then hold public meetings in the communities and regions they represent, to gather local comment on the proposed changes. Finally, the boards convene public meetings, which have lasted as long as six weeks, taking department staff reports, public comment, and advisory committee and regional councils reports before voting in public session on the proposed changes.
- (d) The public has come to rely on this regularly scheduled participatory process as the basis for changing fish and game regulations. Commercial fishermen, processors, guides, trappers, hunters, sport fishermen, subsistence fishermen, and others plan business and recreational ventures around the outcome of these public meetings.
- (e) The Boards of Fisheries and Game recognize the importance of public participation in developing management regulations, and recognize that public reliance on the predictability of the normal board process is a critical element in regulatory changes. The boards find that petitions can detrimentally circumvent this process and that an adequate and more reasonable opportunity for public participation is provided by regularly scheduled meetings.
- (f) The Boards of Fisheries and Game recognize that in rare instances circumstances may require regulatory changes outside the process described in (b) (d) of this section. Except for petitions dealing with subsistence hunting or fishing, which will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis under the criteria in 5 AAC 96.615(a), it is the policy of the boards that a petition will be denied and not schedule for hearing unless the problem outlined in the petition justifies a finding of emergency. In accordance with state policy expressed in AS 44.62.270, emergencies will be held to a minimum and are rarely found to exist. In this section, an emergency is an unforeseen, unexpected event that either threatens a fish or game resource, or an unforeseen, unexpected resource situation where a biologically allowable resource harvest would be precluded by delayed regulatory action and such delay would be significantly burdensome to the petitioners because the resource would be unavailable in the future. (Eff. 9/22/85, Register 95; am 8/17/91, Register 119; readopt 5/15/93, Register 126)

Authority: AS 16.05.251, AS 16.05.255, AS 16.05.258

Board of Game Kristy Tibbles, Executive Director PO Box 115526 Juneau, AK 99811-5526

November 10, 2011

Board of Game Agenda Change Request

The Naknek/Kvichak AC would like the BOG to consider an ACR concerning the brown bear hunting season and the moose season in Unit 9C due to unforeseen circumstances.

When consideration was made to create a problem bear permit hunt along the Naknek drainage there was no discussion of reducing the present brown bear hunting season in the Naknek River drainage. What happened was the elimination of the yearly spring / fall hunt in the Naknek River drainage. (Previously the brown bear season in the Naknek River drainage ran from May 1-June 30 and September 1-October 31). Reducing the bear hunting season is a step backwards in trying to correct the predator situation in Unit 9C. Because of Katmai National Park we are being over-run with bears as they spill out of the protected park.

When the Nak/Kvi AC supported an increase of five (5) days to the moose season we were led to believe that the moose population was healthy and sustaining. This is not the case. Harvest numbers indicate that a substantial number of bulls were taken after September 15th and that moose sightings and success numbers were down from previous figures (late 90's-early 2000's). An accurate count of moose numbers is needed immediately.

For brown bear we propose eliminating the Trophy Bear Area title and support the harvest of 1 brown bear every year in Unit 9.

For moose we propose to return to moose season September 1-15, one antiered bull.

Thank you for your consideration,

Nak?Kvi AC

William "Sonny" Regan, Co-chairman Nak/Kvi AC