# Western Alaska Salmon Stock Identification Program Technical Document 2: Investigation of Temporal Variation in Sockeye and Chum Salmon Baselines

by James R. Jasper, Chris Habicht, and William D. Templin

May 2012

Alaska Department of Fish and Game

**Divisions of Sport Fish and Commercial Fisheries** 



#### **Symbols and Abbreviations**

The following symbols and abbreviations, and others approved for the Système International d'Unités (SI), are used without definition in the following reports by the Divisions of Sport Fish and of Commercial Fisheries: Fishery Manuscripts, Fishery Data Series Reports, Fishery Management Reports, Special Publications and the Division of Commercial Fisheries Regional Reports. All others, including deviations from definitions listed below, are noted in the text at first mention, as well as in the titles or footnotes of tables, and in figure or figure captions.

Weights and measures (metric)		General		Mathematics, statistics	
centimeter	cm	Alaska Administrative		all standard mathematical	
deciliter	dL	Code	AAC	signs, symbols and	
gram	g	all commonly accepted		abbreviations	
hectare	ha	abbreviations	e.g., Mr., Mrs.,	alternate hypothesis	H <sub>A</sub>
kilogram	kg		AM, PM, etc.	base of natural logarithm	е
kilometer	km	all commonly accepted		catch per unit effort	CPUE
liter	L	professional titles	e.g., Dr., Ph.D.,	coefficient of variation	CV
meter	m		R.N., etc.	common test statistics	(F, t, $\chi^2$ , etc.)
milliliter	mL	at	@	confidence interval	CI
millimeter	mm	compass directions:		correlation coefficient	
		east	E	(multiple)	R
Weights and measures (English)		north	Ν	correlation coefficient	
cubic feet per second	ft <sup>3</sup> /s	south	S	(simple)	r
foot	ft	west	W	covariance	cov
gallon	gal	copyright	©	degree (angular)	0
inch	in	corporate suffixes:		degrees of freedom	df
mile	mi	Company	Co.	expected value	Ε
nautical mile	nmi	Corporation	Corp.	greater than	>
ounce	OZ	Incorporated	Inc.	greater than or equal to	≥
pound	lb	Limited	Ltd.	harvest per unit effort	HPUE
quart	qt	District of Columbia	D.C.	less than	<
vard	vd	et alii (and others)	et al.	less than or equal to	<
<b>y</b>		et cetera (and so forth)	etc.	logarithm (natural)	ln
Time and temperature		exempli gratia		logarithm (base 10)	log
dav	d	(for example)	e.g.	logarithm (specify base)	$\log_2$ etc.
degrees Celsius	°C	Federal Information	•	minute (angular)	1
degrees Fahrenheit	°F	Code	FIC	not significant	NS
degrees kelvin	К	id est (that is)	i.e.	null hypothesis	Ho
hour	h	latitude or longitude	lat. or long.	percent	%
minute	min	monetary symbols	C	probability	P
second	s	(U.S.)	\$,¢	probability of a type I error	
		months (tables and		(rejection of the null	
Physics and chemistry		figures): first three		hypothesis when true)	α
all atomic symbols		letters	Jan,,Dec	probability of a type II error	
alternating current	AC	registered trademark	®	(acceptance of the null	
ampere	A	trademark	тм	hypothesis when false)	β
calorie	cal	United States		second (angular)	"
direct current	DC	(adjective)	U.S.	standard deviation	SD
hertz	Hz	United States of		standard error	SE
horsepower	hp	America (noun)	USA	variance	
hydrogen ion activity	nH	U.S.C.	United States	population	Var
(negative log of)	r		Code	sample	var
parts per million	ppm	U.S. state	use two-letter	r	·
parts per thousand	ppt.		abbreviations		
L her monomin	% %		(e.g., AK, WA)		
volts	V				
watts	W				

## **REGIONAL INFORMATION REPORT 5J12-07**

## WESTERN ALASKA SALMON STOCK IDENTIFICATION PROGRAM TECHNICAL DOCUMENT 2: INVESTIGATION OF TEMPORAL VARIATION IN SOCKEYE AND CHUM SALMON BASELINES

by James R. Jasper, Chris Habicht, and William D. Templin Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Gene Conservation Laboratory, Anchorage

> Alaska Department of Fish and Game Division of Sport Fish, Research and Technical Services 333 Raspberry Road, Anchorage, Alaska, 99518-1565

> > May 2012

The Regional Information Report Series was established in 1987 and was redefined in 2006 to meet the Division of Commercial Fisheries regional need for publishing and archiving information such as project operational plans, area management plans, budgetary information, staff comments and opinions to Board of Fisheries proposals, interim or preliminary data and grant agency reports, special meeting or minor workshop results and other regional information not generally reported elsewhere. Reports in this series may contain raw data and preliminary results. Reports in this series receive varying degrees of regional, biometric and editorial review; information in this series may be subsequently finalized and published in a different department reporting series or in the formal literature. Please contact the author or the Division of Commercial Fisheries if in doubt of the level of review or preliminary nature of the data reported. Regional Information Reports are available through the Alaska State Library and on the Internet at http://www.adfg.alaska.gov/sf/publications/.

*Note:* This document serves as a record of communication between the Alaska Department of Fish and Game Commercial Fisheries Division and the Western Alaska Salmon Stock Identification Program Technical Committee. As such, these documents serve diverse ad hoc information purposes and may contain basic, uninterpreted data. The contents of this document have not been subjected to review and should not be cited or distributed without the permission of the authors or the Commercial Fisheries Division.

*Note*: Product names in this publication are included for completeness but do not constitute product endorsement. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game, in accordance with State of Alaska ethics laws, does not favor one group over another through endorsement or recommendation.

James R. Jasper, Chris Habicht, and William D. Templin, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Gene Conservation Lab, 333 Raspberry Road, Anchorage, Alaska, 99518-1565

This document should be cited as:

Jasper, J. R., C. Habicht, and W. D. Templin. 2012. Western Alaska Salmon Stock Identification Program Technical Document 2: Investigation of temporal variation in sockeye and chum salmon baselines. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report 5J12-07 Anchorage.

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) administers all programs and activities free from discrimination based on race, color, national origin, age, sex, religion, marital status, pregnancy, parenthood, or disability. The department administers all programs and activities in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972.

If you believe you have been discriminated against in any program, activity, or facility please write: ADF&G ADA Coordinator, P.O. Box 115526, Juneau, AK 99811-5526 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 4401 N. Fairfax Drive, MS 2042, Arlington, VA 22203

Office of Equal Opportunity, U.S. Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street NW MS 5230, Washington DC 20240

The department's ADA Coordinator can be reached via phone at the following numbers:

(VOICE) 907-465-6077, (Statewide Telecommunication Device for the Deaf) 1-800-478-3648,

(Juneau TDD) 907-465-3646, or (FAX) 907-465-6078

**For information on alternative formats and questions on this publication, please contact:** ADF&G, Division of Sport Fish, Research and Technical Services, 333 Raspberry Rd, Anchorage AK 99518 (907) 267-2375

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## Page

LIST OF TABLES	ii
LIST OF FIGURES	ii
ABSTRACT	1
INTRODUCTION	1
METHODS	2
RESULTS	3
Sockeye salmon	3
Chum salmon	3
CONCLUSIONS	4
FUTURE DIRECTIONS	5
Sockeye salmon	5
Chum salmon	5
REFERENCES CITED	6
TECHNICAL COMMITTEE REVIEW AND COMMENTS	7
TABLES	9
FIGURES	21

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	P	age
1.	Collections of sockeye salmon sampled from the same location at approximately the same time in the calendar year but in multiple years organized by region used to examine within-population and among population genetic variability. Sample year and sample sizes are provided	10
2.	Hierarchical test for temporal variation in sockeye salmon using the log-likelihood ratio test of population homogeneity based on 44 SNP loci. Comparisons are limited to populations where samples from multiple years exist in the current coastwide SNP baseline. The last two digits of collection years are incorporated at the end of the population names (e.g. "90.02" means a 1990 collection was	
	compared to a 2002 collection).	14
3.	Collections of chum salmon sampled from the same location at approximately the same time in the calendar year but in multiple years organized by region used to examine within-population and among	
	population genetic variability. Sample year and sample sizes are provided	16
4.	Hierarchical test for temporal variation in chum salmon using the log-likelihood ratio test of population homogeneity based on 52 SNP loci. Comparisons are limited to populations where samples from multiple brood years exist in the current coastwide SNP baseline. The last two digits of collection years are incorporated at the end of the population names (e.g. "90.02" means a 1990 collection was	
	compared to a 2002 collection).	18

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.	Color-coded pairwise $F_{ST}$ plots for the interannual baseline collections for sockeye salmon. Darker colors indicate higher differences among collections. The diagonal line is white because pairwise $F_{ST}$ values between the collection and itself is zero. Cells close to the diagonal represent pairwise $F_{ST}$ values among collections taken in different years for the same population.	22
2.	Unweighted pair-group method (UPGMA) tree of pairwise $F_{ST}$ values for sockeye salmon populations that are represented by two or more collections taken in different years. Generally, collections taken over different years at the same location pair together.	23
3.	Color-coded pairwise $F_{ST}$ plots for the interannual baseline collections for chum salmon. Darker colors indicate higher differences among collections. The diagonal line is white because pairwise $F_{ST}$ values between the collection and itself is zero. Cells close to the diagonal represent pairwise $F_{ST}$ values among collections taken in different years for the same population. The large white patch in the lower, left-hand side of the figure shows the lack of variation among the Western Alaska populations and the smaller white patch in the upper, right-hand side show similar lack of variation among populations within Washington.	e 24
4.	Unweighted pair-group method (UPGMA) tree of pairwise $F_{ST}$ values for chum salmon populations that are represented by two or more collections taken in different years. Generally, collections taken over different years at the same location pair together except in the areas highlighted in green which include Western Alaska and Washington/Idaho.	25

### ABSTRACT

An initial review of the Western Alaska Salmon Stock Identification Program (WASSIP) study plan by the Technical Committee established that in order for baselines to be effective in future mixed stock analysis (MSA) the magnitude of allele frequency change over time relative to the magnitude of allele frequency changes over geographic differences needed evaluated. Separate analyses were performed for each species, sockeye salmon Oncorhynchus nerka and chum salmon O. keta. The magnitude of temporal variation in allele frequencies was investigated using repeat collections from numerous spawning locations taken in two or more years during approximately the same calendar times. Variation in allele frequency over time within and among populations (across geographic areas) was measured using the hierarchical log-likelihood ratio test, a hierarchical Analysis of Variance, and a graphical representation of pairwise  $F_{ST}$ . In sockeye salmon, only 7 of the 62 repeat collections showed heterogeneity within populations, variation among populations was 41 times the amount of variation among repeat collections, and most temporal collections within populations paired together. In chum salmon, 3 of the 26 repeat collections showed significant heterogeneity within populations, variation among populations was 39 times the amount of variation among repeat collections, and most temporal collections, outside of the Western Alaska and Washington/Idaho regions, paired together. The signal for among-population differences in the Western Alaska region for chum salmon was weak. Additional screening using MHC loci is planned to provide the possibility of segregating populations and increasing MSA resolution in this region. In general, temporal variation in allele frequencies within populations is not a major concern for these two baselines. This analysis will be repeated when the full baseline sets are completed and new temporal comparisons possible.

Key words: Western Alaska Salmon Stock Identification Project, WASSIP, sockeye salmon, chum salmon, *Oncorhynchus nerka, Oncorhynchus keta*, mixed stock analysis, MSA, temporal variation, genetic baseline

## **INTRODUCTION**

During the initial review of the Western Alaska Salmon Stock Identification Program (WASSIP) study plan, the Technical Committee (TC) commented on the potential for fluctuation in allele frequencies (as a result of natural processes) to have an effect on the utility of the baselines for mixed stock analysis (MSA; Weir et al. *In prep*). The recommendation by the TC was "*At a minimum*, [the baselines] *should be evaluated to determine 1*) *the magnitude of allele frequency change over time; and 2*) *the relative magnitude of temporal and geographic differences in allele frequency.*"

There are two forces capable of changing allele frequencies over time: drift and selection.<sup>a</sup> Traditionally, drift has been the primary force studied because most loci were thought to be neutral to selection. However, for some loci, selection may also play an important role (Dann et al. 2012a). This distinction is important because it will guide how we look for changes in allele frequencies through time. Drift changes allele frequencies at a rate inverse to the effective population size and has the same force on all loci.<sup>b</sup> On the other hand, selection could change allele frequencies quickly even if the effective population sizes are large.

In the preliminary baselines destined for use in the WASSIP analysis for both sockeye salmon and chum salmon, numerous spawning locations were represented by collections taken in two or more years during approximately the same calendar times. For sockeye salmon, the baseline used in this analysis contained 127 repeat collections (that contained at least 30 fish each) representing 62 putative populations (subset of the baseline in Dann et al. 2012a). For chum salmon the baseline contained 53 repeat collections representing 26 putative populations (Jasper et al. *In prep*). We used these repeat collections to investigate the magnitude of temporal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> This sentence is commented on in the section entitled "Technical Committee Review and Comments."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> This sentence is commented on in the section entitled "Technical Committee Review and Comments."

variation in allele frequencies. The baselines will continue to be updated with additional collections and additional loci through the spring and summer of 2010 and the analyses presented here (Version 1) will need to be repeated on the final datasets.

### METHODS

Variation in allele frequency over time within and between populations was measured in three ways: 1) a hierarchical log-likelihood ratio test (modified from Sokal and Rohlf 1995), 2) a hierarchical Analysis of Variance (Weir 1990), and 3) a graphical representation of pairwise  $F_{ST}$  (Weir and Cockerham 1984). Separate analyses were done for each species.

Placing the log-likelihood ratio statistic into a hierarchical framework enables assessment of the relative effect of allele frequency differences within and between populations from samples taken in more than one year.<sup>c</sup> However, interpretation of *P*-values calculated on these statistics is not straightforward since the null hypothesis of homogeneity is typically violated due to genetic drift (Waples and Teel 1989). Log-likelihood ratio statistics were calculated using S-plus (TIBCO Software Inc., Palo Alto, CA).

Perhaps a more appropriate approach is a three-level Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) treating the temporal samples as subpopulations based on the method described in Weir (1990). Use of this method allows the quantification of the sources of total allelic variation and permits the calculation of the between-collection component of variance and the assessment of its magnitude relative to the between-population component of variance. This analysis was conducted using the software package GDA (Lewis and Zaykin 2001).

Pairwise  $F_{ST}$  values were calculated between all temporal collections using GDA.<sup>d</sup> Patterns of variation within and between populations were visualized with two methods. First, the pairwise  $F_{ST}$  matrix was plotted as an image plot in the statistical software R (R Development Core Team 2008). The resulting plot is a grid where each pixel is a comparison between a pair of collections. A darker color indicates a larger  $F_{ST}$  between collections and, thus, larger differences between the collections. The information in the rows is exactly the same as that contained in the columns. Pixels directly on the diagonal are comparisons of collections with themselves and therefore represent zero, whereas pixels just off the diagonal indicate comparisons between collections from the same location in different years. Ideally, the pixels that indicate temporal comparisons would be white while all others would be dark. This would indicate nicely that differences between temporal collections were small relative to differences between populations.

Second, the pairwise  $F_{ST}$  matrix was used as a dissimilarity matrix in the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) algorithm to draw a tree. This allowed for grouping of collections into successive clusters based on the magnitude of the  $F_{ST}$  values between pairs or groups of collections. The expectation was that collections from the same population would have lower  $F_{ST}$  between them than they would with any collection from another population and would therefore be combined at the lowest level of the tree.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> This sentence is commented on in the section entitled "Technical Committee Review and Comments."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> This sentence is commented on in the section entitled "Technical Committee Review and Comments."

## **RESULTS**

#### **SOCKEYE SALMON**

In the rangewide baseline for sockeye salmon 62 of the 375 populations represented had collections taken in more than a single year which had been assayed for genotypes (Table 1). These populations were centered in the Bristol Bay and Kuskokwim areas.

Log-likelihood ratio statistics, in a hierarchical framework, indicated that only seven of the 62 repeat collections showed heterogeneity within populations among years after adjusting for multiple tests (Table 2).<sup>e</sup> For each region and overall regions, significant heterogeneity among populations was detected. The seven populations that showed significant heterogeneity among years after adjusting for multiple tests included: Elovka River, Goodnews River–Middle Fork, West Fork, Hewitt Lake, Larson Lake, Birch Creek, and Tatsamenie Lake. An additional four populations had significant deviations before adjusting for multiple tests: Goodnews River–North Fork, Idavain Creek, Fish Creek, and Skilak Lake. The three-level ANOVA indicated that the variation among populations was 41 times the amount of variation among repeat collections across years within populations (between collections,  $\sigma_S = 0.038$ ; between populations,  $\sigma_P = 1.552$ ; ratio 41.239).

Pairwise  $F_{ST}$  values showed that generally the variation among collections within populations (collections made across years) was smaller than the variation among populations (Figures 1 and 2). In the color-coded pairwise  $F_{ST}$  plots (Figure 1), a white diagonal line through a field of reds and pinks is apparent which visually demonstrates the among-population variation relative the within-population variation.

In the UPGMA tree (Figure 2), most temporal collections paired together. The temporal collections within populations that did not group included: Elovka River from Russia where one collection paired with the other Russian population, but the second temporal collection paired with Big Lake in Cook Inlet; Spink Creek which paired with the geographic proximate population of Byers Lake in Cook Inlet; Clark River which is closely related to other Chignik drainage collections; Kogrukluk and Kanektok rivers, which are both from the Kuskokwim River drainage; and Lower and Upper Talarik creeks which are next to each other and drain into Iliamna Lake.

#### CHUM SALMON

In the range-wide baseline for chum salmon, 26 of the 153 populations represented had collections taken in more than a single year which had been assayed for genotypes (Table 3). These sets of collections were heavily weighted toward Western Alaska (12 populations) and Washington and Idaho (7 populations).

Three of the 26 within-population log-likelihood ratio tests were significant at  $\alpha$ =0.05 after accounting for multiple tests (Table 4). These included Amur River, Snake River, and Lilliwaup River–Summer. One additional collection was significant before accounting for multiple tests (George River). These four significant results were enough to drive the significance of the total within-population log-likelihood ratio test (Table 4). Despite these significant differences among temporal collections within populations, the three-level ANOVA shows that the among-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> This sentence is commented on in the section entitled "Technical Committee Review and Comments."

population component of total allelic variation is 38.98 times greater than the among temporal collections within populations component (between collections,  $\sigma_S = 0.040$ ; between populations,  $\sigma_P = 1.541$ ; ratio 38.983).

The plot of pairwise  $F_{ST}$  values (Figure 3) visually shows that the differences between temporal collections within populations are in general relatively small. However, the large white patch in the lower, left-hand side of Figure 3 shows the lack of variation among the Western Alaska populations and the smaller white patch in the upper, right-hand side show similar lack of variation among populations within Washington and Idaho. These white patches demonstrate the lack of differentiation among populations within these regions relative to the differences between temporal collections within populations, which is problematic for distinguishing these populations in mixed stock analyses.

The UPGMA tree of pairwise  $F_{ST}$  values provides another visual way to see that there is little among-population variation relative to the variations among temporal collections within populations in the Western Alaska and the Washington/Idaho regions (Figure 4). Outside of these regions the temporal collections for populations pair together. Within these regions, some of the temporal collections pair together within populations. The pairing of some temporal collections provides some hope that with additional targeted markers, there is potential to increase resolution among populations.

## CONCLUSIONS

Other baselines containing relative temporal variation higher than observed in these baselines have been used successfully for MSA applications. For example, Beacham et al. (2005b) used a microsatellite baseline for sockeye salmon from British Columbia that yielded high resolution in MSA applications. In their baseline, they found variation among populations was approximately 13 times greater than annual variation. In our baseline, the variation among populations relative to the annual variation was much higher in both the chum and sockeye salmon baselines; 39 times higher for chum salmon and 41 times higher for sockeye salmon. In other words, the proportion of the total variation accounted for by variation among years was much smaller in our baselines than in the baseline used successfully for MSA by Beacham et al. (2005b).

The ratio of variation within populations (among years) relative to the variation among populations was similar or lower in our baseline than has been reported in other baselines covering similar geographic distributions (Pacific Rim). The variation among populations was 13 times higher for chum salmon and 42 times higher for sockeye salmon than the variation among populations from throughout the Pacific Rim (Beacham et al. 2006; Beacham et al. 2009). The sockeye salmon baseline was determined to be useful for Pacific Rim-wide MSA analyses (Beacham et al. 2005a).

The partitioning of variation within and between populations across baselines will be affected by three sources. First, the populations that are included in the baseline will have an effect. For example, if baseline collections represent higher proportions of populations from areas with more variation, then the proportion of variation accounted for by differences among years is going to be relatively smaller and vice-versa. Second, the number of years separating temporal collections will also have an effect on the among-year variation that is measured. In these species, samples separated by 3 to 5 years will generally measure intragenerational variation,

while samples separated by longer periods will measure intergenerational variation.<sup>f</sup> Third, differences in the characteristics of the marker type could affect the measurement of this ratio. For instance, Beacham et al. (2005a and 2009) used fewer microsatellite loci, but across all loci there were more alleles assayed than in the baselines used in this analysis.

One immediate concern that rises from this analysis is the lack of variation measured among populations from Western Alaska for chum salmon. The results presented here indicate that there is some signal for among-population differences, but that the signal is weak. This pattern is similar to the pattern seen earlier in sockeye salmon from the Meshik, Ugashik and Egegik drainages in Bristol Bay before the MHC locus was screened (Habicht et al. 2007). After the addition of the MHC loci to the baseline it was possible to segregate the populations and MSA simulations improved drastically. MHC appears to be a locus under selection (Dann et al. 2012a, and the hope is that the new loci being developed for chum salmon based on cDNA and using Western Alaska populations as ascertainment (Dann et al. 2012b) will provide loci that allow MSA to distinguish among populations in western Alaska.

In summary, temporal variation in allele frequencies within populations does not appear to be a major concern in these baselines. However, this analysis will be repeated when the full baseline sets are completed and many new temporal comparisons will be possible.

## **FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

### **SOCKEYE SALMON**

- 1. Additional collections exist (many collected in 2009) that represent repeat temporal collections in the ADF&G archive. Laboratory analysis of these collections has begun and will be used to expand the analysis of temporal variation.
- 2. Investigation of temporal variation at selected loci identified in Dann et al. 2012a. For loci under selection, it will be important to look for Hardy-Weinberg disequilibrium as a sign of transition in the selected allele and then following up with new temporal collections to determine contemporary allele frequencies.
- 3. Investigation of the power of markers in development (Dann et al. 2012b) to discriminate among populations.
- 4. Investigate the magnitude of intra- and intergenerational variation in allele frequencies in sockeye populations coastwide.

### CHUM SALMON

- 1. Additional collections exist (some collected in 2009) that represent repeat temporal collections in the ADF&G archive. Laboratory analysis of these collections has begun and will be used to expand the analysis of temporal variation.
- 2. Investigation of within-year run timing variation as noted in several populations during the baseline evaluation (Jasper et al. *In prep*).
- 3. Investigation of the power of markers in development (Dann et al. 2012b) to discriminate among populations especially in Western Alaska and Bristol Bay.
- 4. Investigate the magnitude of intra- and inter-generational variation in allele frequencies in chum populations coastwide.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> This sentence is commented on in the section entitled "Technical Committee Review and Comments."

### **REFERENCES CITED**

- Beaumont, M. A., and D. J. Balding. 2004. Identifying adaptive genetic divergence among populations from genome scans. Molecular Ecology 13(4):969–980.
- Beacham, T. D., J. R. Candy, B. Mcintosh, C. MacConnachie, A. Tabata, K. Kaukinen, L. Deng, K. M. Miller, R. E. Withler, and N. Varnavskaya. 2005a. Estimation of stock composition and individual identification of sockeye salmon on a Pacific rim basis using microsatellite and major histocompatibility complex variation. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 134:1124–1146.
- Beacham T. D., B. McIntosh, and C. MacConnachie. 2005b. Population structure and stock identification of sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) in coastal lakes in British Columbia, Canada. Canadian Journal of Zoology 83: 834–844.
- Beacham, T. D., B. Mcintosh, C. MacConnachie, K. M. Miller, R. E. Withler, and N. Varnavskaya. 2006. Pacific rim population structure of sockeye salmon as determined from microsatellite analysis. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 135:174–187.
- Beacham, T. D., J. R. Candy, K. D. Le, and M. Wetklo. 2009. Population structure of chum salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*) across the Pacific Rim, determined from microsatellite analysis. Fishery Bulletin 107: 244–260.
- Dann, T., A. Barclay and C. Habicht. 2012a. Western Alaska Salmon Stock Identification Program Technical Document 5: Status of the SNP baseline for sockeye salmon. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report 5J12-10, Anchorage.
- Dann, T., J. Jasper, H. Hoyt, H. Hildebrand, and C. Habicht. 2012b. Western Alaska Salmon Stock Identification Program Technical Document 6: Selection of the 96 SNP marker set for sockeye salmon. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Information Report 5J12-11, Anchorage.
- Habicht, C., L. W. Seeb, and J. E. Seeb. 2007. Genetic and ecological divergence defines population structure of sockeye salmon populations returning to Bristol Bay, Alaska, and provides a tool for admixture analysis. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 136:82–94,
- Jasper, J., N. DeCovich, W. Templin. In prep. Western Alaska Salmon Stock Identification Program Technical Document 4: Status of the SNP baseline for chum salmon. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Information Report, Anchorage.
- Lewis, P. O. and D. Zaykin. 2001. Genetic data analysis: computer program for the analysis of allelic data. Version 1.0. URL <a href="http://lewis.eeb.uconn.edu/lewishome/software.html">http://lewis.eeb.uconn.edu/lewishome/software.html</a>.
- R Development Core Team (2008). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL http://www.R-project.org.
- Sokal, R. R. and F. J. Rohlf. 1995. Biometry. 3rd Edition. Freeman, San Francisco, CA.
- Waples, R. S., and D. J. Teel. 1990. Conservation genetics of Pacific salmon. I. Temporal changes in allele frequency. Conservation Biology. 4:144–156.
- Waples, R. S. 1990. Temporal changes of allele frequency in Pacific salmon implications for mixed-stock fishery analysis. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 47(5):968–976.
- Weir, B., R. Waples and T. Quinn. *In prep.* Western Alaska Salmon Stock Identification Program Technical Document 1: Technical committee comments and review of the original proposal. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Regional Information Report, Anchorage.
- Weir, B. S. 1990. Genetic data analysis. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.
- Weir, B. S. and C. C. Cockerham. 1984. Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population structure. Evolution 38: 1358–1370.

## **TECHNICAL COMMITTEE REVIEW AND COMMENTS**

Unedited comments by the WASSIP Technical Committee on documents discussed at 23 September 2009 meeting of the WASSIP Advisory Panel.

#### Investigation of temporal variation in sockeye and chum salmon baselines

Page 1, 2nd ¶, first sentence: migration also can affect allele frequencies

Page 1, 2nd ¶, fifth sentence: drift might have the same 'force' on all loci but the consequences vary among loci due to chance

Page 2, 2nd  $\P$ , first sentence: is this a *G* test?

Page 2, 4th ¶, first sentence:  $F_{ST}$  refers to differences among geographic subpopulations; temporal F should be used for temporal comparisons

Page 3, 2nd  $\P$ , first sentence: care should be used in applying a multiple testing adjustment for large datasets like this, as the adjusted critical *P* value can be so low that meaningful differences are obscured. If an explicit adjustment is made for multiple tests, it is preferable to also report results of the unadjusted tests so the reader can better evaluate how well results compare with null expectations.

P age 4 last sentence carries to top of page 5: actually, comparison of parents and offspring (~3– 5 years apart) should produce the smallest genetic differences, while samples taken 1–2 years apart share no parents and should be relatively more divergent. See Waples 1990 J. Heredity.

## TABLES

Table 1.-Collections of sockeye salmon sampled from the same location at approximately the same time in the calendar year but in multiple years organized by region used to examine within-population and among population genetic variability. Sample year and sample sizes are provided.

Region	Population	Collection	Year	N
Western Kamchatka				
	Ozernaya River	Ozernaya River	2000	50
		Ozernaya River	2002	50
	Elovka	Elovka	1994	69
		Elovka	1995	40
NW Bristol Bay - Yukon k	Kuskokwim			
	Andreafsky River	Andreafsky River weir	2006	48
		Andreafsky River weir	2008	47
	Necons River	Necons River	2006	55
		Necons River	2007	93
	Kogrukluk River	Kogrukluk River weir	2001	95
		Kogrukluk River weir	2007	48
	Kanektok River	Kanektok River weir	2002	95
		Kanektok River weir	2007	48
	Goodnews River - North Fork	Goodnews River - North Fork	2002	95
		Goodnews River - North Fork	2006	48
	Goodnews River - Middle Fork	Goodnews River weir - Middle Fork	2007	47
		Goodnews River weir - Middle Fork	2001	96
		Goodnews River weir - Middle Fork	1991	48
	Togiak Lake	Togiak Lake, Sunday Creek	2000	95
		Togiak Tower	2006	95
	Silver Horn	Silver Horn beaches	2008	124
		Silver Horn beaches	2007	95
	Hardluck Bay	Hardluck Bay	2008	157
		Hardluck Bay beaches	2007	95
	Little Togiak Lake	A Beach - Little Togiak Lake	2004	65
		A Beach - Little Togiak Lake	2005	30
	Pick Creek	Pick Creek	2001	95
		Pick Creek	2008	93
Eastern Bristol Bay				
	Tomkok Creek	Tomkok Creek	2000	95
		-continued-		

Table 1. Page 2 of 4.

Region	Population	Collection	Year	N
		Tomkok Creek	2002	48
	Tommy Creek	Tommy Creek	2002	48
	-	Tommy River	2000	96
	Copper River	Copper R. (Iliamna Lk. System)	1999	47
		Copper River	2000	96
	Gibralter River	Gibralter R. (Iliamna Lk. System)	1999	48
		Gibralter River	2000	96
	Upper Talarik	Upper Talarik	2004	95
		Upper Talarik	2006	95
	Lower Talarik	Lower Talarik	2001	70
		Lower Talarik Creek	2000	95
	Moraine Creek	Moraine Creek	2004	95
		Moraine/Funnel Creek	2001	96
	Battle River	Battle River	2004	96
		Battle Creek	2001	96
	Kulik River	Kulik River	2001	96
		Kulik River	2004	96
	Americian River	American River, Naknek Lake	2000	92
		American River	2001	95
	Idavain Creek	Idavain Creek	2000	95
		Idavain Creek	2006	48
	Kejulik River	Upper Kejulik River	2000	48
	-	Kejulik River	2001	96
Alaska Peninsula				
	Sandy Lake	Sandy Lake	2000	96
		Sandy River weir	2007	95
	Hoodoo Lake	Hoodoo Lake - Sapsuk shoal spawners	2005	95
		Hoodoo Lake	2001	95
	Chiaktuak Creek	Chiaktuak Creek	2008	174
		Chiaktuak Creek	1997	94
	West Fork	West Fork	2008	184
		West Fork	1997	95
	Clark River	Clark River	2008	122
		-continued-		-

Table 1. Page 3 of 4.

Western GOAClark River September1997Markulik RiverAyakulik River weir2000Ayakulik RiverAyakulik River Weir - Late2008	94 96 95 95 95
Western GOAAyakulik RiverAyakulik River weir2000Ayakulik RiverAyakulik River Weir - Late2008	96 95 95 95
Ayakulik RiverAyakulik River weir2000Ayakulik River Weir - Late2008	96 95 95 95
Ayakulik River Weir - Late 2008	95 95 95
	95 95
Saltery Lake Saltery 1994	95
Saltery Lake 1999	05
Chilligan River Chilligan River 1992	95
Chilligan River 1994	48
Lone King Creek Lone King Creek 2006	30
Lone King Creek 2008	30
Packers Lake Packers Lake 1992	95
Packers Lake, Kalgin Island 1993	48
Judd Lake Judd - Susitna weir 2006	94
Judd Lake, Talachulitna R. 1993	95
Shell Lake Shell - Susitna weir 2006	95
Shell Lake, Skwentna R. 1993	94
Hewitt Lake Hewitt - Susitna weir 2006	65
Hewitt Lake 1992	49
West Fork Yentna River Unnamed Slough, W. Fork 1992	96
West Fork Yentna River 1993	100
Chelatna Lake Chelatna - Susitna weir 2006	95
Chelatna Lake, Yentna R. 1993	95
Swan Lake Swan Lake 2006	95
Swan Lake - Susitna weir 2007	47
Byers Lake Byers - Susitna weir 2007	95
Byers Lake 1993	95
Spink Creek Spink Creek 2007	30
Spink Creek - Mouth 2008	95
Stephan Lake Stephan - Susitina weir 2007	95
Stephan Lake 1993	95
Larson Lake Larson Lake 2006	94
Larson Lake 1993	95
Birch Creek Birch Creek 2007	133
Birch Creek 1993	67

-continued-

Region	Population	Collection	Year	N
	Big Lake	Big Lake	1992	95
		Fish Creek weir	1994	94
		Fish Creek	1993	95
	Williwaw Creek	Williwaw Creek	2006	39
		Williwaw Creek	2007	69
	Moose Creek	Moose Creek Kenai	1994	95
		Moose Creek, Kenai R.	1993	47
	Ptarmigan Creek	Ptarmigan Creek	1992	47
		Ptarmigan Creek	1993	95
	Tern Lake	Tern Lake	1992	48
		Tern Lake	1993	95
	Skilak Lake	Skilak Lake	1995	48
		Skilak Lake	1992	96
	Eshamy Creek	Eshamy Creek	2008	95
		Eshamy Lake	1991	96
Eastern GOA				
	Windfall Lake	Windfall Lake	2003	48
		Windfall Lake	2007	48
	Nahlin River	Nahlin River	2003	50
		Nahlin River	2007	34
	Tatsamenie Lake	Tatsamenie	1992	95
		Tatsamenie Lake	2005	95
	Iskut River	Iskut River	2002	31
		Iskut River	1985	30
	McDonald Lake	McDonald Lake - Hatchery Creek	2007	93
		Hatchery Creek - McDonald Lake	2001	96
		Hatchery Creek - McDonald Lake	2003	96
	Heckman Lake	Heckman Lake	2004	95
		Heckman Lake - Naha River	2007	95
	Red Bay Lake	Red Bay Lake	2004	95
		Red Bay Lake	1992	50
	Sweetwater Lake	Hatchery Creek - Sweetwater	2007	95
		Hatchery Creek - Sweetwater Lake	2003	47
	Meziadin Lake	Meziadin Beach	2006	95
		Meziadin Lake	2001	95

Table 2.–Hierarchical test for temporal variation in sockeye salmon using the log-likelihood ratio test of population homogeneity based on 44 SNP loci. Comparisons are limited to populations where samples from multiple years exist in the current coastwide SNP baseline. The last two digits of collection years are incorporated at the end of the population names (e.g. "90.02" means a 1990 collection was compared to a 2002 collection).

Region	Populations	P-value	G	DF
Western Kamchatka				
Between Pops		$0.000^{a}$	565.0	54
Within Pops		$0.000^{a}$	392.8	108
	Ozernaya.00.02	0.080	69.2	54
	Elovka.94.95	$0.000^{a}$	323.6	54
NW Bristol Bay-Yukon Kuskokwim				
Between Pops		$0.000^{a}$	10100.0	540
Within Pops		0.041 <sup>b</sup>	712.1	648
	Andreafsky.06.08	0.803	45.0	54
	Necons.06.07	0.877	42.3	54
	Kogrukluk.01.07	0.242	60.9	54
	Kanektok.02.07	0.258	60.3	54
	GoodnewsNorth.02.06	0.003 <sup>a</sup>	87.8	54
	GoodnewsMid.07.01.91	$0.000^{a}$	181.2	108
	TogiakLake.00.06	0.424	55.4	54
	SilverHorn.08.07	0.427	55.3	54
	Hardluck.08.07	0.950	38.1	54
	LittleTogiak.04.05	0.964	36.9	54
	Pick.01.08	0.662	49.1	54
Eastern Bristol Bay				
Between Pops		$0.000^{a}$	6159.0	594
Within Pops		0.441	652.7	648
*	Tomkok.00.02	0.925	39.8	54
	Tommy.02.00	0.006	83.8	54
	Copper.99.00	0.722	47.5	54
	Gibralter.99.00	0.108	67.1	54
	UpTalarik.04.06	0.031	75.1	54
	LowTalarik.01.00	0.874	42.4	54
	Moraine.04.01	0.956	37.6	54
	Battle.04.01	0.896	41.4	54
	Kulik.01.04	0.677	48.7	54
	American.00.01	0.987	33.7	54
	Idavain.00.06	0.003 <sup>a</sup>	87.5	54
	Kejulik.00.01	0.702	48.1	54
Alaska Peninsula	5			
Between Pops		$0.000^{a}$	2656.0	216
Within Pops		0.001 <sup>a</sup>	345.7	270
L L	Sandy.00.07	0.189	63.0	54
	Hoodoo.05.01	0.637	49.8	54
	Clark.08.97	0.624	50.1	54
	-continued-			

Table 2. Page 2 of 2.				
Region	Populations	<i>P</i> -value	G	DF
Alaska Peninsula ctd.	Chiaktuak.08.97	0.739	47.0	54
	WestFork.08.97	$0.000^{a}$	135.8	54
Western GOA				
Between Pops		$0.000^{a}$	38420.0	1188
Within Pops		$0.000^{a}$	1581.9	1242
	Ayakulik.00.08	0.106	67.3	54
	Saltery.94.99	0.227	61.5	54
	Chilligan.92.94	0.970	36.2	54
	LoneKing.06.08	0.111	66.9	54
	Packers.92.93	0.775	45.9	54
	Judd.06.93	0.385	56.4	54
	Shell.06.93	0.075	69.6	54
	Hewitt.06.92	$0.000^{a}$	132.9	54
	WestYentna.92.93	0.556	51.9	54
	Chelatna.06.93	0.376	56.7	54
	Swan.06.07	0.789	45.5	54
	Byers.07.93	0.263	60.1	54
	Spink.07.08	0.177	63.5	54
	Stephan.07.93	0.022	76.8	54
	Larson.06.93	$0.000^{a}$	108.4	54
	Birch.07.93	$0.000^{a}$	155.8	54
	FishCr.94.93.92	0.009 <sup>a</sup>	145.5	108
	Williwaw.06.07	0.519	52.9	54
	Moose.94.93	0.787	45.5	54
	Ptarmigan.92.93	0.650	49.5	54
	Tern.92.93	0.401	56.0	54
	Skilak.95.92	0.020 <sup>b</sup>	77.3	54
Eastern GOA				
Between Pops		$0.000^{a}$	13060.0	432
Within Pops		0.052	651.0	594
I I I	Eshamy.08.91	0.763	46.3	54
	Windfall.03.07	0.210	62.1	54
	Nahlin.03.07	0.078	69.3	54
	Tatsamenie.92.05	$0.000^{a}$	108.8	54
	Iskut.02.85	0.266	60.1	54
	McDonald.07.03.01	0.063	131.3	108
	Heckman.04.07	0.813	44.7	54
	RedBay.04.92	0.774	45.9	54
	Sweetwater 07 03	0.757	46.5	54
	Meziadin.06.01	0.972	36.0	54
Total				
Between Pops		$0.000^{a}$	70960 0	3024
Within Pops		0.000ª	4336.2	3510
Between Regions		0.000ª	31184.0	270
Overall		$0.000^{a}$	106480 2	6804
D < 0.005		0.000	100 100.2	0004

 $^{b}P < 0.05$ 

Japan     Tokachi River     Tokachi River     2002     79       Russia     Amur River     Instruct Tokachi River     1990     80       Russia     Amur River     Amur River - summer     2001     99       Anadyr River     Anadyr River - carly     2000     28       Anadyr River     Anadyr River - carly     2003     50       Kamchatka     Kamchatka - carly     2005     95       Kotzebue Sound     Kobuk River     Kobuk River     2005     95       Norton Sound     Pilgrim River     1991     95       Norton Sound     Pilgrim River     1994     90       Pilgrim River     Pilgrim River     1994     90       Snake River     Snake River     1995     58       Snake River     Snake River     1995     58       Snake River     1992     48     Unalakleet River     1992     95       Yukon Alaska Late/Mid     East Fork Andreafsky River     1992     95       Yukon Canada     Kluane River     Goodnews River     1991     190	Region	Population	Collection	Year	N
Tokachi River     Tokachi River     2002     79       Russia     Amur River     Amur River - summer     1997     60       Amur River     Amur River - summer     2001     99       Anadyr River     Anadyr River - early     2003     50       Kamchatka     Kamchatka - early     2005     95       Kotzebue Sound     Kamchatka - early     2005     95       Kotzebue Sound     Pilgrim River     1991     95       Norton Sound     Pilgrim River     1991     95       Norton Sound     Pilgrim River     1993     35       Snake River     Snake River     2005     95       Yukon Alaska Early     Unalakleet River     2005     95       Yukon Alaska Late/Mid     East Fork Andreafsky River     1993     35       Yukon Canada     Goodnews River     2005     95       Yukon Canada     Kuane River     1992     48       Goodnews River     Delta River     1993     95       Yukon Canada     Goodnews River     Coodnews Weir     1991     1	Japan				
RussiaTokachi River199080RussiaAmur RiverAmur River - summer199760Amadyr RiverAmadyr River - early200199Anadyr RiverAnadyr River - early200350Amadyr RiverAmadyr River - early200350KamchatkaKamchatka - early200595Kotzebue SoundKobuk River200595Norton SoundPilgrim River1994900Pilgrim RiverSnake River199335Snake RiverSnake River199558Snake RiverSnake River199558Unalakleet River19955858Yukon Alaska EarlyUnalakleet River199248Yukon Alaska Late/MiDelta River199395Yukon CanadaCoodnews River199395Yukon CanadaCoodnews River1991100Yukon CanadaKluane River1991100KuskokwimGoodnews River1991100Holokuk RiverHolokuk River199244KuskokwimGoodnews River199335KuskokwimGoodnews River199335KuskokwimGoodnews River199496Goodnews River1995548Holokuk River199335Kuskokwim199335Kuskokwim199335Goodnews River199496Goodnews River199496 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Tokachi River</td><td>Tokachi River</td><td>2002</td><td>79</td></t<>		Tokachi River	Tokachi River	2002	79
Russia     Amur River - summer     1997     60       Amadyr River     Amadyr River - summer     2001     99       Anadyr River     Anadyr River - early     2000     28       Anadyr River     Anadyr River - early     1903     31       Kamchatka     Kamchatka - early     1900     50       Kotzebue Sound           Kobuk River     Kobuk River     1991     95       Norton Sound           Pilgrim River     Pilgrim River     1993     35       Snake River     Snake River     1993     55       Snake River     Snake River     2005     95       Yukon Alaska Early     Unalakleet River     1993     95       Yukon Alaska Late/Mi        95       Yukon Alaska Late/Mi        96       Yukon Alaska Late/Mi        97     95       Yukon Canada         97			Tokachi River	1990	80
Amur River Amur River - summer199760 Amur River - summer200199 99Anadyr RiverAnadyr River - summer200028 Anadyr River - early199331KamchatkaKamchatka - early200350 Konchatka - early200550Kotzebue SoundKobuk River200595 Kobuk - Salmon River199190Norton SoundPilgrim RiverPilgrim River199333 15Norton SoundPilgrim River199335 Sake River199335 55 Sake River1993355 58 Snake River1993355 58 Snake River199558 58 Snake River199558 58 Snake River199558 58 Snake River199558 58 Snake River199558 58 5059Yukon Alaska EarlyUnalakleet River199248 40494Yukon Alaska Late/MidUnalakleet River1994995Yukon CanadaEast Fork Andreafsky River - East Fork weir200494Yukon CanadaUnale River199495Yukon CanadaEast Fork Natreafsky River - 19949594 496Yukon CanadaKluane River200733 433KuskokwimEast Fork Andreafsky River - 200733 43350 44 406KuskokwimEast Fork Andreafsky River - 199495Yukon CanadaKuane River200733 433KuskokwimEast Fork River1991100 100Holokuk RiverHo	Russia				
Amadyr River - summer200199Anadyr River - early200028Anadyr River - early199331KamchatkaKamchatka - early200350Kotzebue SoundKobuk River200595Kotzebue SoundKobuk River200595Kotzebue SoundPilgrim River199190Norton Sound919091Pilgrim RiverPilgrim River199490Pilgrim River9190594Snake River19955858Snake River19955858Snake River19955858Snake River19955858Yukon Alaska EarlyUnalakleet River200495Yukon Alaska Late/MidEast Fork Andreafsky River199395Yukon CanadaDelta River199295Yukon CanadaEast Fork Andreafsky River199295Yukon CanadaEast Fork Kiver200193Kuane RiverEast Fork North Fork200694Yukon CanadaEast Fork River1991100Holokuk RiverHolokuk River199548Holokuk River199548Holokuk River199548Holokuk River199548Holokuk River199350Kogrukluk River199350Kogrukluk River199350Kogrukluk River199495Goodnews River <td< td=""><td></td><td>Amur River</td><td>Amur River - summer</td><td>1997</td><td>60</td></td<>		Amur River	Amur River - summer	1997	60
Anadyr River     Anadyr River - early     2000     28 Anadyr River - early     1993     31       Kamchatka     Kamchatka - early     2003     50       Kotzebue Sound     Kamchatka - early     2005     50       Kotzebue Sound     Kobuk River     2005     95       Norton Sound     Filgrim River     1991     95       Norton Sound     Pilgrim River     1993     35       Snake River     Snake River     1993     35       Snake River     Snake River     1993     35       Snake River     Snake River     1993     35       Snake River     1995     58       Snake River     1992     48       Unalakleet River     1992     48       Unalakleet River     1993     95       Andreafsky River     East Fork Andreafsky River     1993     95       Andreafsky River     Delta River     1994     95       Yukon Alaska Late/Mid     Unalakleet River     1992     95       Yukon Canada     Kluane River     1994     95			Amur River - summer	2001	99
Kamchatka     Anadyr River - early     1993     31       Kamchatka     Kamchatka - early     2003     50       Kotzebue Sound     Kobuk River     Kobuk River     2005     95       Kotzebue Sound     Kobuk River     Kobuk River     2005     95       Norton Sound     Pilgrim River     Pilgrim River     1994     90       Norton Sound     Pilgrim River     Pilgrim River     1995     58       Snake River     Snake River     1995     58       Snake River     Unalakleet River     1992     48       Unalakleet River     1992     48     94       Yukon Alaska Early     East Fork Andreafsky River     1993     95       Yukon Alaska Late/Mid     East Fork Andreafsky River     1993     95       Yukon Canada     Kluane River     Delta River     1992     95       Yukon Canada     Goodnews River     Goodnews River     1991     100       Holokuk River     Holokuk River     1991     100     44       Kuskokwim     Goodnews River     Kogrukluk Rive		Anadyr River	Anadyr River - early	2000	28
Kamchatka     Kamchatka - early     2003 (Kamchatka - early     50 (1990)       Kotzebue Sound     Kobuk River     Kobuk River     2005 (Kotzebue Sound)     50 (Kotzebue Sound)       Norton Sound     Pilgrim River     Pilgrim River     1991     95       Norton Sound     Pilgrim River     Pilgrim River     1993     35       Snake River     Snake River     1993     35       Snake River     Snake River     1995     58       Snake River     1994     90     90       Yukon Alaska Early     Unalakleet River     1992     48       Yukon Alaska Later/Mid     East Fork Andreafsky River     1993     95       Yukon Canada     Marteafsky River     1992     95       Yukon Canada     Kluane River     1992     95       Kuskokwim     Goodnews River     1991     100       Holokuk River     Holokuk River     1991     100       Holokuk River     Kogrukluk River     1995     48       Holokuk River     1991     100     100       Kuskokwim     Go		5	Anadyr River - early	1993	31
Kamchatka - early199050Kotzebue SoundKobuk River200595Kotzebue SoundKobuk River199195Norton SoundPilgrim River199490Pilgrim RiverPilgrim River199335Snake RiverSnake River199335Snake RiverSnake River199558Unalakleet River199558Unalakleet River199248Unalakleet River199248Unalakleet River199395Andreafsky River199395Andreafsky River200494Yukon Alaska EarlyEast Fork Andreafsky River1993Yukon Alaska Late/MidUelta River199295Yukon CanadaEast Fork Andreafsky River199295Yukon CanadaKluane River200193KuskokwimGoodnews RiverGoodnews River - North Fork200646Goodnews RiverGoodnews Weir1991100Holokuk RiverHolokuk River199350Kwethluk RiverKogrukluk River199350Kwethluk RiverGeorge River2007198Kwethluk RiverGeorge River199496George RiverGeorge River199490Bristol BayEistorkStuyahok River199231Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199350Kuerhluk River19935055Kuerhluk River199		Kamchatka	Kamchatka - early	2003	50
Kotzebue Sound     Kobuk River     Kobuk River     2005     95       Norton Sound     Pilgrim River     1991     990       Pilgrim River     Pilgrim River     1994     90       Pilgrim River     Snake River     1993     35       Snake River     Snake River     1993     55       Snake River     1995     58       Snake River     2005     94       Unalakleet River     1992     48       Unalakleet River     1992     48       Yukon Alaska Early     Unalakleet River     1993     95       Yukon Alaska Late/Mid     East Fork Andreafsky River     1993     95       Yukon Canada     East Fork Andreafsky River     1994     95       Yukon Canada     Milaane River     1994     95       Yukon Canada     Goodnews River - North Fork     2006     46       Goodnews River     1991     1000     100       Holokuk River     Holokuk River     1991     100       Holokuk River     Kogrukluk River     1991     100			Kamchatka - early	1990	50
Kobuk RiverKobuk River200595Norton Sound9195Pilgrim River9191Pilgrim River9191Pilgrim River9191Pilgrim River9335Snake River3335Snake River199335Snake River200595Unalakleet River200595Unalakleet River199248Unalakleet River199395Andreafsky RiverEast Fork Andreafsky River1993Yukon Alaska Early9248Yukon Alaska Late/Mid94Yukon Canada94Yukon Canada94Kuane River200193Kuskokwim95Andreafsky River1994Sododnews River60093Kuskokwim9093Singer River1991100Holokuk River1991100Holokuk River199333Kuskokwim99191Boodenews River1991100Holokuk River199344Kogrukluk River199344Kogrukluk River1993193Bristol Bay5454Bristol Bay5454Holokuk River199355Stuyahok River199355Stuyahok River199355Stuyahok River199355Stuyahok River199355Stuyahok River <td< td=""><td>Kotzebue Sound</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Kotzebue Sound				
Norton SoundKobuk - Salmon River199195Norton SoundPilgrim River199490Pilgrim River200594Snake RiverSnake River199335Snake River199558Snake River199558Snake River200595Unalakleet River199248Unalakleet River200495Yukon Alaska EarlyYukon Alaska Late/MidDelta RiverDelta River1992Delta RiverDelta River1992Yukon CanadaKuskokwimKuskokwimGoodnews RiverGoodnews River - North Fork2006Holokuk RiverHolokuk River1995KuskokwimBristol BayGeorge RiverGeorge RiverStuyahok RiverStuyahok River1992Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River1992Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River1992Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River1993Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River1993Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River1992Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River1993Stuyahok River199350Stuyahok River1993Stuyahok River199350Stuyahok River1993Stuyahok River1993Stuyahok River1993Stuyahok River1993 <tr <td="">Stuyahok River</tr>		Kobuk River	Kobuk River	2005	95
Norton Sound     Pilgrim River     Pilgrim River     1994     90       Pilgrim River     2005     94       Snake River     Snake River     1993     35       Snake River     1995     58       Snake River     1995     58       Snake River     2005     95       Unalakleet River     1992     48       Unalakleet River     2004     95       Yukon Alaska Early     Unalakleet River     1993     95       Yukon Alaska Late/Mid     East Fork Andreafsky River     1993     95       Yukon Canada     Delta River     Delta River     1992     95       Yukon Canada     Kluane River     1992     95       Yukon Canada     Milane River     1994     95       Kuskokwim     Goodnews River     1994     95       Kuskokwim     Kuane River     1991     100       Holokuk River     Holokuk River     1995     48       Holokuk River     1993     50       Kogrukluk River     1993     50 <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Kobuk - Salmon River</td><td>1991</td><td>95</td></tr<>			Kobuk - Salmon River	1991	95
Pilgrim RiverPilgrim River199490Pilgrim River200594Snake River199335Snake River199558Snake River200595Unalakleet River199248Unalakleet River199248Unalakleet River199395Andreafsky RiverEast Fork Andreafsky River1993Yukon Alaska Early95Yukon Alaska Late/Mid96Unalakleet River199495Yukon Canada95Kuskokwim96Goodnews River200733Kuskokwim97Kuskokwim1991100Holokuk River1991100Holokuk River199548Holokuk River199350Kwethluk River199350Kwethluk River199350Kwethluk River199496George RiverGeorge River1994Bristol Bay50Kuyahok River199495Stuyahok River199350Kuyahok River199495Stuyahok River199350Stuyahok River199495Stuyahok River199350Stuyahok River199495Stuyahok River199350Stuyahok River199350Stuyahok River199350Stuyahok River199350Stuyahok River199350 <t< td=""><td>Norton Sound</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Norton Sound				
$\begin River & 2005 & 94 \\ Snake River & Snake River & 1993 & 35 \\ Snake River & 1995 & 58 \\ Snake River & 1995 & 58 \\ Snake River & 2005 & 95 \\ Unalakleet River & Unalakleet River & 2004 & 95 \\ Unalakleet River & Unalakleet River & 2004 & 95 \\ Yukon Alaska Early & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		Pilgrim River	Pilgrim River	1994	90
Snake RiverSnake River199335Snake River199558Snake River200595Unalakleet River199248Unalakleet River200495Yukon Alaska EarlyEast Fork Andreafsky River199395Andreafsky RiverEast Fork Andreafsky River199295Yukon Alaska Late/MidDelta RiverDelta River199295Yukon Alaska Late/MidEast Fork Andreafsky River199495Yukon CanadaKluane River200193KuskokwimGoodnews RiverGoodnews River200733KuskokwimGoodnews RiverGoodnews River1991100Holokuk RiverHolokuk River199548Holokuk RiverHolokuk River199350Kwethluk RiverKwethluk River199350Kwethluk RiverGeorge River199496George RiverGeorge River199496George RiverGeorge River199496George RiverGeorge River199496George RiverStuyahok River199231Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199350Kuyahok RiverStuyahok River199350Kwethluk River19949636George River199496George River199496George River199350Kwethluk River199496George River1			Pilgrim River	2005	94
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } & Snake River & 1995 & 58 \\ Snake River & 2005 & 95 \\ Snake River & 2004 & 95 \\ Unalakleet River & 1992 & 48 \\ Unalakleet River & 2004 & 95 \\ Andreafsky River & East Fork Andreafsky River & 1993 & 95 \\ Andreafsky River - East Fork weir & 2004 & 94 \\ Yukon Alaska Late/Mid & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		Snake River	Snake River	1993	35
Snake River200595Unalakleet River199248Unalakleet River200495Yukon Alaska EarlyEast Fork Andreafsky River199395Andreafsky RiverEast Fork Andreafsky River199395Yukon Alaska Late/MidDelta RiverDelta River199295Yukon CanadaKluane RiverDelta River199495Yukon CanadaKluane River20073333KuskokwimGoodnews River - North Fork200646Goodnews RiverGoodnews River - North Fork200762KuskokwimKogrukluk River199548Holokuk RiverHolokuk River199548Holokuk River19954860Bristol BayGeorge RiverGoorge River199496Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199231Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199350Kuyahok RiverStuyahok River199350Kushok RiverStuyahok River199496George River19949695George River19949695Stuyahok River19935031Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199351Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199351Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199351Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199351Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199351			Snake River	1995	58
Unalakleet River199248 Unalakleet RiverYukon Alaska Early200495Yukon Alaska EarlyEast Fork Andreafsky River199395 Andreafsky River - East Fork weir200494Yukon Alaska Late/MidDelta RiverDelta River199295 Delta River95Yukon CanadaKluane River200193 Kluane River200733KuskokwimGoodnews RiverGoodnews River - North Fork200646 Goodnews Weir46 Holokuk River1991100Holokuk RiverHolokuk River199548 Holokuk River1991100100Holokuk RiverKogrukluk River199548 Holokuk River199548 Holokuk River199548 Holokuk River199548 Holokuk RiverKusthuk RiverKogrukluk RiverKogrukluk River199548 Holokuk River199548 Holokuk River199548 Holokuk River199548 Holokuk River199548 			Snake River	2005	95
Yukon Alaska EarlyUnalakleet River200495Yukon Alaska Late/MidEast Fork Andreafsky River199395Yukon Alaska Late/MidDelta River199295Delta RiverDelta River199495Yukon CanadaKluane River200193KuskokwimKluane River200733KuskokwimGoodnews River - North Fork200646Goodnews RiverGoodnews River - North Fork200646Kogrukluk RiverHolokuk River1991100Holokuk RiverKogrukluk River199548Holokuk RiverKogrukluk River199548Holokuk RiverKogrukluk River199549Kusthik RiverGeorge River199350Kwethluk RiverKwethluk River199496George RiverGeorge River199496Bristol BayStuyahok RiverStuyahok River199231Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199350		Unalakleet River	Unalakleet River	1992	48
Yukon Alaska EarlyEast Fork Andreafsky River199395 Andreafsky River - East Fork weir199395 Andreafsky River - East Fork weir200494Yukon Alaska Late/MidDelta River199295 Delta River199495Yukon CanadaDelta River199495Yukon CanadaKluane River200193 Kluane River93 Kluane River200733KuskokwimGoodnews River000646 Goodnews Weir1991100Holokuk RiverHolokuk River199548 Holokuk River199548 Holokuk RiverKustuk RiverKogrukluk RiverKogrukluk River199350Kwethluk RiverKwethluk River199350 SoKwethluk RiverGeorge River199496 So George River95 So So So So95 So SoBristol BayStuyahok RiverStuyahok River199231 Stuyahok River31 Stuyahok River31 Stuyahok River31 Stuyahok River31 Stuyahok River			Unalakleet River	2004	95
Andreafsky RiverEast Fork Andreafsky River199395Andreafsky River - East Fork weir200494Yukon Alaska Late/Mid200494Yukon CanadaDelta RiverDelta River199295Yukon CanadaKluane River200193Kuane RiverKluane River200193KuskokwimGoodnews RiverGoodnews River - North Fork200646Goodnews RiverGoodnews River - North Fork200762Holokuk RiverHolokuk River199350Kwethluk RiverKogrukluk River199350Kwethluk RiverGeorge RiverGeorge River199496George RiverGeorge River2007198Bristol BayStuyahok River19923131Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199350	Yukon Alaska Early				
Andreafsky River - East Fork weir     2004     94       Yukon Alaska Late/Mid     Delta River     1992     95       Delta River     Delta River     1994     95       Yukon Canada     Kluane River     2001     93       Kuane River     Kluane River     2007     33       Kuskokwim     Goodnews River     Goodnews River - North Fork     2006     46       Goodnews River     Holokuk River     1991     100       Holokuk River     Holokuk River     1995     48       Holokuk River     1995     48       Kogrukluk River     1995     48       Kogrukluk River     1992     44       Kogrukluk River     1993     50       Kwethluk River     Kogrukluk River     1993     50       Kwethluk River     George River     1994     96       George River     George River     1994     96       Bristol Bay     Stuyahok River     1994     95		Andreafsky River	East Fork Andreafsky River	1993	95
Yukon Alaska Late/MidDelta RiverDelta River199295Delta RiverDelta River199495Yukon CanadaKluane River200193Kuane RiverKluane River200733KuskokwimGoodnews RiverGoodnews River - North Fork200646Goodnews RiverHolokuk River1991100Holokuk RiverHolokuk River199548Holokuk River199548Kogrukluk River199548Kogrukluk River199548Kogrukluk River199548Goodnews River199548Holokuk River199548Bristol BayKuyahok River199350Bristol BayStuyahok River199231Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199231Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199350			Andreafsky River - East Fork weir	2004	94
Delta RiverDelta River199295Delta River199495Yukon CanadaKluane River200193Kuane RiverKluane River200733KuskokwimGoodnews RiverGoodnews River - North Fork200646Goodnews RiverGoodnews Weir1991100Holokuk RiverHolokuk River199548Holokuk River199548Kogrukluk River200762Kogrukluk River199350Kwethluk River199350Kwethluk RiverGeorge River1994George RiverGeorge River199496George RiverGeorge River199496Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199231Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199350	Yukon Alaska Late/N	Aid			
Yukon CanadaDelta River199495Yukon CanadaKluane River200193Kluane RiverKluane River200733KuskokwimGoodnews RiverGoodnews River - North Fork200646Goodnews RiverGoodnews Weir1991100Holokuk RiverHolokuk River199548Holokuk RiverHolokuk River200762Kogrukluk RiverKogrukluk River199244Kogrukluk River199350Kwethluk RiverKwethluk River199350Kwethluk RiverGeorge River695695George RiverGeorge River199695Bristol BayStuyahok RiverStuyahok River199231Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199331Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199331		Delta River	Delta River	1992	95
Yukon CanadaKluane River200193 Kluane RiverKluane River200733KuskokwimGoodnews RiverGoodnews River - North Fork200646 Goodnews WeirHolokuk RiverGoodnews River1991100 Holokuk RiverHolokuk RiverHolokuk River199548 Holokuk RiverHolokuk RiverKogrukluk River200762 Kogrukluk RiverKogrukluk RiverKogrukluk River199244 Kogrukluk RiverKwethluk RiverKwethluk River199350 Kwethluk RiverKwethluk RiverGeorge River2007198 George RiverBristol BayStuyahok RiverStuyahok River199231 Stuyahok River			Delta River	1994	95
Kluane RiverKluane River200193Kuskokwim200733KuskokwimGoodnews RiverGoodnews River - North Fork200646Goodnews RiverGoodnews Weir1991100Holokuk RiverHolokuk River199548Holokuk River199548Holokuk River200762Kogrukluk RiverKogrukluk River1992Kwethluk River199350Kwethluk River199350Kwethluk RiverKwethluk River1993George RiverGeorge River1996George RiverGeorge River1996Bristol BayStuyahok River199231Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199356	Yukon Canada				
Kluane River200733KuskokwimGoodnews RiverGoodnews River - North Fork200646Goodnews Weir1991100Holokuk RiverHolokuk River199548Holokuk River200762Kogrukluk RiverKogrukluk River199244Kogrukluk River199350Kwethluk RiverKwethluk River199350Kwethluk RiverKwethluk River199350Kwethluk RiverGeorge River199496George RiverGeorge River199695Bristol BayStuyahok River199231Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199231		Kluane River	Kluane River	2001	93
KuskokwimGoodnews RiverGoodnews River - North Fork Goodnews Weir200646 46 1991Holokuk RiverHolokuk River1991100Holokuk RiverHolokuk River199548 48 Holokuk River200762Kogrukluk RiverKogrukluk River199244 Kogrukluk River199350Kwethluk RiverKwethluk River199350Kwethluk RiverKwethluk River2007198 Kwethluk RiverGeorge RiverGeorge River199496George RiverGeorge River199695 George River95 George RiverBristol BayStuyahok RiverStuyahok River199231 Stuyahok River31 Stuyahok River			Kluane River	2007	33
Goodnews RiverGoodnews River - North Fork200646Goodnews Weir1991100Holokuk RiverHolokuk River199548Holokuk River200762Kogrukluk RiverKogrukluk River199244Kogrukluk River199350Kwethluk RiverKwethluk River199350Kwethluk RiverKwethluk River2007198George RiverGeorge River199496Bristol BayStuyahok RiverStuyahok River199231Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199356	Kuskokwim				
Goodnews Weir1991100Holokuk RiverHolokuk River199548Holokuk River200762Kogrukluk RiverKogrukluk River199244Kogrukluk River199350Kwethluk RiverKwethluk River2007198Kwethluk RiverKwethluk River199496George RiverGeorge River199695George RiverGeorge River2007289Bristol BayStuyahok RiverStuyahok River199231Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199356		Goodnews River	Goodnews River - North Fork	2006	46
Holokuk RiverHolokuk River199548Holokuk River200762Kogrukluk River199244Kogrukluk River199350Kwethluk River199350Kwethluk River2007198Kwethluk River199496George RiverGeorge River1996Bristol BayStuyahok RiverStuyahok River199231Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199356		W 1 1 1 D'	Goodnews Weir	1991	100
Holokuk River200762Kogrukluk River199244Kogrukluk River199350Kwethluk River199350Kwethluk River2007198Kwethluk River199496George RiverGeorge River1996Bristol BayStuyahok RiverStuyahok River199231Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199356		Holokuk River	Holokuk River	1995	48
Kogrukluk River199244Kogrukluk River199350Kwethluk River199350Kwethluk River2007198Kwethluk River199496George RiverGeorge River1996Bristol BayStuyahok River2007289Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199231Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199356		W 111D	Holokuk River	2007	62
Kogrukluk River199350Kwethluk River2007198Kwethluk River2007198Kwethluk River199496George RiverGeorge River1996Bristol BayStuyahok River2007289Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199331Stuyahok River19935656		Kogrukluk River	Kogrukluk River	1992	44
Kwethluk River2007198Kwethluk River199496George RiverGeorge River1996Bristol BayStuyahok River2007289Stuyahok RiverStuyahok River199231Stuyahok River199356		V (111D)	Kogrukluk River	1993	50
Kwethluk River199496George RiverGeorge River199695George River2007289Bristol BayStuyahok River199231Stuyahok River199356		Kwethluk River	Kwethluk River	2007	198
Bristol Bay Stuyahok River Stuyahok River		~ ~	Kwethluk River	1994	96
Bristol Bay Stuyahok River Stuyahok River Stuyahok River Stuyahok River 1993 56		George River	George River	1996	95
Bristol BayStuyahok River199231Stuyahok River199356	D : ( 1 D		George River	2007	289
Stuyahok River199231Stuyahok River199356	Bristol Bay	0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	C( 1 1 D)	1000	21
Stuyanok Kiver 1993 56		Suyanok River	Stuyanok Kiver	1992	51
			Siuyanok Kiver	1993	56

Table 3.–Collections of chum salmon sampled from the same location at approximately the same time in the calendar year but in multiple years organized by region used to examine within-population and among population genetic variability. Sample year and sample sizes are provided.

Table 3. Page 2 of 2.				
Region	Population	Collection	Year	Ν
Cook Inlet West				
	McNeil River	McNeil River Lagoon	1994	60
		McNeil River	1996	49
Northern SE Alaska				
	Long Bay	Long Bay	1991	66
		Long Bay	1992	95
Washington/Idaho				
0	Big Mission Creek	Big Mission Creek - fall	2003	47
	-	Big Mission Creek - fall	2002	47
	Hamma Hamma River	Hamma Hamma River - summer	2001	47
		Hamma Hamma River - summer	2003	48
	Jimmy Creek	Jimmy Creek - summer	2000	46
	-	Jimmy Creek - summer	2001	49
	Lilliwaup River - fall	Lilliwaup River - fall	2005	45
	-	Lilliwaup River - fall	2006	48
	Lilliwaup River - summer	Lilliwaup River - summer	2002	43
	_	Lilliwaup River - summer	2001	48
	North Creek	North Creek - fall	1994	47
		North Creek - fall	1998	48
	Union River	Union River - summer	2004	42
		Union River - summer	2003	53

Table 4.–Hierarchical test for temporal variation in chum salmon using the log-likelihood ratio test of population homogeneity based on 52 SNP loci. Comparisons are limited to populations where samples from multiple brood years exist in the current coastwide SNP baseline. The last two digits of collection years are incorporated at the end of the population names (e.g. "90.02" means a 1990 collection was compared to a 2002 collection).

Region		Populations	<i>P</i> -value	G	DF
Japan					
		Tokachi.90.02	0.134	65.6	54
Russia					
	Between pops		$0.000^{a}$	1272.0	108
	Within pops		0.000ª	233.8	162
		Amur.97.01	$0.000^{a}$	194.3	54
		Anadyr.93.00	0.985	33.9	54
		Kamchatka.90.03	1.000	5.6	54
Kotzebue Sou	und				
		Kobuk.91.05	0.307	58.7	54
Norton Sound	1		0.00 <b>.</b>		
	Between pops		0.002	154.2	108
	Within pops		0.000ª	341.5	216
		Pilgrim.94.05	0.429	55.2	54
		Snake.93.95.05	0.000ª	215.6	108
		Unalakleet.92.04	0.063	70.7	54
Yukon Alaska	a, early		0.444	- 4 0	
		Andreatsky.93.04	0.441	54.9	54
Yukon Alaska	a, late				
		Delta.92.94	0.908	40.8	54
Yukon Canad	la				
		Kluane.01.07	0.788	45.5	54
Kuskokwim					
	Between pops		$0.000^{a}$	305.9	216
	Within pops		0.137	295.6	270
		Goodnews.91.06	0.232	61.3	54
		Holokuk.95.07	0.260	60.3	54
		Kogrukluk.92.93	0.929	39.6	54
		Kwethluk.94.07	0.226	61.5	54
		George.96.07	0.044	73.0	54
Bristol Bay					
		Stuyahok.92.93	0.175	63.6	54
Cook Inlet, w	vest				
		McNeil.94.96	0.266	60.1	54
Northern Sou	theast				
		LongBay.91.92	0.318	58.4	54
		-continue	ed-		

Table 4. Page 2 of 2.						
Region		Populations	<i>P</i> -value	G	DF	
Washington						
	Between pops		$0.000^{a}$	2405.0	324	
	Within pops		0.005 <sup>b</sup>	451.7	378	
		BigMission.02.03	0.464	54.3	54	
		HammaHamma.01.03	0.465	54.2	54	
		Jimmy.00.01	0.314	58.5	54	
		LilliwaupFall.05.06	0.459	54.4	54	
		LilliwaupSum.01.02	$0.000^{a}$	120.9	54	
		NorthCreek.94.98	0.491	53.6	54	
		Union.03.04	0.407	55.8	54	
Total						
	Between regions		$0.000^{a}$	31868	594	
	Between pops		$0.000^{a}$	4137.1	756	
	Within pops		$0.000^{a}$	1769.9	1458	
	Overall		$0.000^{a}$	377774.8	2808	
1						

<sup>a</sup> *P* < 0.005 <sup>b</sup> *P* < 0.05

## **FIGURES**



Figure 1.–Color-coded pairwise  $F_{ST}$  plots for the interannual baseline collections for sockeye salmon. Darker colors indicate higher differences among collections. The diagonal line is white because pairwise  $F_{ST}$  values between the collection and itself is zero. Cells close to the diagonal represent pairwise  $F_{ST}$  values among collections taken in different years for the same population.



Figure 2.–Unweighted pair-group method (UPGMA) tree of pairwise  $F_{ST}$  values for sockeye salmon populations that are represented by two or more collections taken in different years. Generally, collections taken over different years at the same location pair together.



Figure 3.–Color-coded pairwise  $F_{ST}$  plots for the interannual baseline collections for chum salmon. Darker colors indicate higher differences among collections. The diagonal line is white because pairwise  $F_{ST}$  values between the collection and itself is zero. Cells close to the diagonal represent pairwise  $F_{ST}$  values among collections taken in different years for the same population. The large white patch in the lower, left-hand side of the figure shows the lack of variation among the Western Alaska populations and the smaller white patch in the upper, right-hand side show similar lack of variation among populations within Washington.



Figure 4.–Unweighted pair-group method (UPGMA) tree of pairwise  $F_{ST}$  values for chum salmon populations that are represented by two or more collections taken in different years. Generally, collections taken over different years at the same location pair together except in the areas highlighted in green which include Western Alaska and Washington/Idaho.