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## Symbols and Abbreviations

The following symbols and abbreviations, and others approved for the Système International d'Unités (SI), are used without definition in the following reports by the Divisions of Sport Fish and of Commercial Fisheries: Fishery Manuscripts, Fishery Data Series Reports, Fishery Management Reports, and Special Publications. All others, including deviations from definitions listed below, are noted in the text at first mention, as well as in the titles or footnotes of tables, and in figure or figure captions.

| Weights and measures (metric) |  | General |  | Mathematics, statistics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| centimeter | cm | Alaska Administrative |  | all standard mathematical |  |
| deciliter | dL | Code | AAC | signs, symbols and |  |
| gram | g | all commonly accepted |  | abbreviations |  |
| hectare | ha | abbreviations | e.g., Mr., Mrs., | alternate hypothesis | $\mathrm{H}_{\text {A }}$ |
| kilogram | kg |  | AM, PM, etc. | base of natural logarithm | $e$ |
| kilometer | km | all commonly accepted |  | catch per unit effort | CPUE |
| liter | L | professional titles | e.g., Dr., Ph.D., | coefficient of variation | CV |
| meter | m |  | R.N., etc. | common test statistics | (F, t, $\chi^{2}$, etc.) |
| milliliter | mL | at | @ | confidence interval | CI |
| millimeter | mm | compass directions: east | E | correlation coefficient (multiple) | R |
| Weights and measures (English) |  | north | N | correlation coefficient |  |
| cubic feet per second | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | south | S | (simple) | r |
| foot | ft | west | W | covariance | cov |
| gallon | gal | copyright | © | degree (angular) | - |
| inch | in | corporate suffixes: |  | degrees of freedom | df |
| mile | mi | Company | Co. | expected value | E |
| nautical mile | nmi | Corporation | Corp. | greater than | $>$ |
| ounce | oz | Incorporated | Inc. | greater than or equal to | $\geq$ |
| pound | lb | Limited | Ltd. | harvest per unit effort | HPUE |
| quart | qt | District of Columbia | D.C. | less than | < |
| yard | yd | et alii (and others) | et al. | less than or equal to | $\leq$ |
|  |  | et cetera (and so forth) | etc. | logarithm (natural) | 1 n |
| Time and temperature |  | exempli gratia |  | logarithm (base 10) | $\log$ |
| day | d | (for example) | e.g. | logarithm (specify base) | $\log _{2}$, etc. |
| degrees Celsius | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Federal Information |  | minute (angular) |  |
| degrees Fahrenheit | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | Code | FIC | not significant | NS |
| degrees kelvin | K | id est (that is) | i.e. | null hypothesis | $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ |
| hour | h | latitude or longitude | lat. or long. | percent | \% |
| minute | min | monetary symbols |  | probability | P |
| second | S | (U.S.) months (tables and | \$, ¢ | probability of a type I error (rejection of the null |  |
| Physics and chemistry |  | figures): first three |  | hypothesis when true) | $\alpha$ |
| all atomic symbols |  | letters | Jan,...,Dec | probability of a type II error |  |
| alternating current | AC | registered trademark |  | (acceptance of the null |  |
| ampere | A | trademark | тм | hypothesis when false) | $\beta$ |
| calorie | cal | United States |  | second (angular) | " |
| direct current | DC | (adjective) | U.S. | standard deviation | SD |
| hertz | Hz | United States of |  | standard error | SE |
| horsepower | hp | America (noun) | USA | variance |  |
| hydrogen ion activity (negative log of) | pH | U.S.C. | United States Code | population sample | Var var |
| parts per million | ppm | U.S. state | use two-letter |  |  |
| parts per thousand | ppt, \% |  | abbreviations (e.g., AK, WA) |  |  |
| volts | V |  |  |  |  |
| watts | W |  |  |  |  |
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#### Abstract

From 30 June through 7 August 2008, 873 Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) were counted at the Ninilchik River weir of which 772 were wild and 101 were hatchery-reared. Wild Chinook salmon escapement, corresponding to the Sustainable Escapement Goal (SEG) index monitoring period (3 July through 31 July), was 586 fish. The median run timing date during the SEG index monitoring period for the wild component was 5 days earlier than for hatchery-reared Chinook salmon. Overall, ocean age 3 was the dominant age class for both wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon. Approximately 601,000 eggs were collected from 79 wild and 23 hatchery-reared Chinook salmon females during 3 eggtakes. Egg survival to the eyed stage was $82.2 \%$. Stocking goals were met for all locations (Ninilchik River and terminal saltwater locations in Kachemak Bay). Ten coded wire tags were decoded from 13 Chinook salmon that were sacrificed at the weir, and all originated from the Ninilchik River. The Ninilchik River Chinook salmon supplementation program has provided important sport fishing opportunities on the Ninilchik River and saltwater terminal fisheries. Continuation of Chinook salmon assessment at Ninilchik River weir is recommended to ensure that adequate escapement of wild Chinook salmon is maintained.


Key words: Chinook salmon, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha, Ninilchik River, wild, hatchery-reared, supplementation, enhancement, run, escapement, weir, adipose finclip, coded wire tag.

## INTRODUCTION

The Ninilchik River is located on the Kenai Peninsula in the Lower Cook Inlet management area (LCIMA). It is a small ( 260 river kilometers [RKM]), non-glacial, anadromous stream with extensive wetlands ( $122 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ), and no large tributary lakes (Table 1). There are only 3 road accessible streams in the LCIMA that support Chinook salmon (Onchorhynchus tshawytscha) sport fisheries: Ninilchik River, Anchor River, and Deep Creek (Figure 1). Angler effort is focused on Ninilchik River earlier in the season because water conditions are generally less turbid than the Anchor River and Deep Creek. Sport anglers are capable of harvesting a significant portion of the Ninilchik River Chinook salmon run because of its small stream size. From 1999 through 2007, the average annual harvest estimate of Ninilchik River Chinook salmon has been about 1,400 fish (Table 2).

In the mid 1980s, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF\&G) Division of Sport Fish (SF) recognized that Ninilchik River Chinook salmon stock was vulnerable to overharvest from the growing Kenai Peninsula sport fishery. In 1987, SF initiated a supplementation program for the Ninilchik River as a way to create sustainable fishing opportunities through stocking hatchery-reared Chinook salmon smolt (Table 3). As a result of the supplementation program, 2 groups of Chinook salmon (wild and hatchery-reared) now return to the Ninilchik River, which has added an additional level of complexity to the management of escapement and harvest of Ninilchik River Chinook salmon.

The following sections summarize the supplementation program and escapement monitoring, the tools used to evaluate the sport harvest of hatchery-reared fish, and management strategies (for a more thorough review see Kerkvliet and Booz 2010).

## SUPPLEMENTATION

The annual supplementation of Chinook salmon for Ninilchik River has remained essentially unchanged since 1995 when stocking levels were reduced to 50,000 smolt (from approximately 200,000 smolt) with $100 \%$ of the smolt adipose-clipped and coded-wire-tagged (CWT; Appendix A1).

Since 1988, broodstock collection and egg takes were conducted at a broodstock weir located at Brody Road bridge (7.7 RKM) during the month of July and early August (Figure 2). Only the progeny from wild Chinook salmon broodstock are used for Ninilchik River stockings. From 1988 through 2002, Chinook salmon smolt were stocked as age-0 fish. Since 2003, due to limited hatchery rearing facilities, all stocked Chinook salmon have been overwintered in the hatchery as parr and released in the spring as age- 1 smolt. Starting in 1994, additional broodstock from the Ninilchik River was collected to support stocking at the terminal saltwater fisheries in Kachemak Bay (Figure 1) at Nick Dudiak Fishing Lagoon on Homer Spit (NDFL, Table 4), Halibut Cove Lagoon (Table 5), and Seldovia Bay (Table 6). A combination of both wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon are used as broodstock for the terminal saltwater fisheries.

## Escapement Monitoring

ADF\&G has monitored Chinook salmon escapement in Ninilchik River since 1962 (Appendix A2). Starting in 1999, all hatchery-reared Chinook salmon returning to Ninilchik River were adipose-clipped and coded-wire-tagged. Since then, all weir counts of wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon have been differentiated by examining all Chinook salmon at the weir for the presence or absence of an adipose fin. Currently, escapement is monitored at the broodstock weir during an index monitoring period (3-31 July) and not over the entire run (Table 7). The Chinook salmon escapement is calculated by removing the holding and egg-take mortalities from the Chinook salmon weir count. On average (1999-2005), only $65 \%$ of the total wild Chinook salmon weir escapement is counted during the index monitoring period (Table 8). This index fails to account for spawning below the weir which may consist of approximately $35 \%$ of the total spawning escapement based on aerial survey data (Marsh unpublished $^{l}$ ).

## ESCAPEMENT GOAL

The sustainable escapement goal (SEG) range for wild Ninilchik River Chinook salmon is 550 1,300 fish during the index monitoring period (3-31 July; Appendix A3). This SEG was calculated using the percentile method (Bue and Hasbrouck unpublished ${ }^{2}$ ) and is based on the wild Chinook salmon escapement above the weir during the index monitoring period from 1999 through 2007 (Booz and Kerkvliet 2011b).

## Sport Harvest

Monitoring the Chinook salmon sport harvest at Ninilchik River has become more complicated since the inception of the supplementation program. Since 1977, ADF\&G has conducted an annual mail survey called the Alaska Statewide Harvest Survey (SWHS) to estimate, by area and by fishery, the participation, harvest (fish kept) and catch (fish harvested plus fish released) of sport-caught species (Table 2; Figure 3). Unfortunately, the SWHS only reports total estimates and does not provide the stock composition (wild/hatchery-reared) of the harvest. From 1991 through 2006, periodic assessment of the hatchery-reared contribution to the sport harvest, has been conducted with creel and sport harvest surveys. During high stocking years (1990-1998),

[^0]these surveys found over $50 \%$ of the harvest was hatchery-reared fish (Balland and Begich 2007; Balland et al. 1994; Begich 2006, 2007; Boyle and Alexandersdottir 1992; Boyle et al. 1993; Marsh 1995; Marsh, memorandum). In 2006, the hatchery-reared percentage of the Chinook salmon harvest during the three regulatory 3-day weekend fishery was $39 \%$ (Booz and Kerkvliet 2011a).

## Management

The sport fishery regulations for Ninilchik River Chinook salmon are designed to conservatively manage for the sustainability of the wild stock. The regulations control harvest by limiting the area open to fishing to the lower 3.2 RKM of the river (to protect the Chinook salmon spawning area), and by limiting fishing openings to three consecutive 3-day weekends (Saturday through Monday) beginning on Memorial Day weekend. Starting in 2008, the regulatory sport Chinook salmon fishery in the Ninilchik River includes opportunity to harvest only hatchery-reared Chinook salmon in the lower 3.2 RKM of the river from July 1 through December 31.

Management of Chinook salmon in the Ninilchik River has been refined since the inception of the supplementation program with a more directed focus towards maximizing the harvest of hatchery-reared fish (Appendix A4). From 1991 through 2001, SF has periodically issued Emergency Orders (EOs) to increase the number of fishing days for both wild and hatcheryreared Chinook salmon. Starting in 2002, EOs increased fishing days for hatchery-reared fish only.

In 2004, the Alaska Board of Fisheries (BOF) adopted a regulation that increased the daily bag limit for Ninilchik River Chinook salmon from 1 to 2 of which no more than 1 fish could be a wild Chinook salmon. The intent of this new regulation was to increase the harvest of hatcheryreared Chinook salmon.

This report is part of a continuing series designed to provide information to evaluate the Ninilchik River Chinook salmon supplementation program, and ensure that the wild Chinook salmon escapement at Ninilchik River is managed according to the Policy for the Management of Sustainable Salmon Fisheries (5AAC 39.222) and the Policy for Statewide Salmon Escapement Goals (5AAC 39.223).

## OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this study were as follows:

1. Census the wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon escapement into the Ninilchik River from 30 June through 7 August 2008.
2. Census the sex composition and estimate the age composition of each of the wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon components of the run into the Ninilchik River from 30 June through 7 August 2008.

## TASKS

1. Collect, hold, and artificially spawn 115 male and 115 female Ninilchik River Chinook salmon (minimum of 60 wild males and 60 wild females to ensure genetic variation) for the production of hatchery-reared smolt to be released into Ninilchik River, Nick Dudiak Fishing Lagoon on Homer Spit (NDFL), Halibut Cove Lagoon, and Seldovia Bay.
2. Release the following number of hatchery-reared Ninilchik River Chinook salmon smolt in May and June 2008: approximately 50,000 smolt at Ninilchik River; 210,000 smolt at NDFL; 52,500 smolt at Halibut Cove Lagoon; and 52,500 smolt at Seldovia Bay.
3. Estimate the within-reader variability of age estimates from scale readings.
4. Assess accuracy of scale age estimates using samples collected from Chinook salmon of a known age determined through coded wire tag (CWT) analysis.
5. Estimate length at age for the wild and hatchery-reared Ninilchik River Chinook salmon runs.
6. Gather daily stream temperature, discharge, and tide height data from other agencies.

## METHODS AND ANALYSIS

## EsCAPEMENT MONITORING

## Weir Counts

A fixed picket weir (Figure 4) was installed approximately 7.7 RKM (Figure 2) from the mouth of the river on 30 June and operated through 7 August 2008. The weir was visually inspected on a daily basis for holes to ensure no fish could pass undetected. The gate to the live box was opened daily at approximately 8:00 AM and closed around 11:00 PM. Technicians periodically checked the live box and processed all fish as quickly as possible to avoid impeding the migration.

All captured fish were identified to species and tallied for the daily weir counts. All Chinook salmon that entered the live box were examined for an adipose finclip to identify origin (wild or hatchery-reared). The upper edge of the caudal fin was clipped on all Chinook salmon examined at the weir to prevent double sampling of fish in the event of weir failure. The wild and hatcheryreared Chinook salmon escapements were calculated by removing the holding and egg-take mortalities from the associated Chinook salmon weir count for the SEG index monitoring period and the total weir operating period. The total Chinook salmon escapement was calculated as the sum of the wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon escapement.

## Sustainable Escapement Goal (SEG)

Only the wild Chinook salmon escapement count was used to determine if the SEG was met. The contribution of wild Chinook salmon to the escapement during the SEG index monitoring period (3-31 July) was expressed as the percentage of the total Chinook salmon escapement during the SEG index monitoring period. The escapement counts of hatchery-reared and wild Chinook salmon during the SEG index monitoring period in 2008 were compared to their respective averages for the years 1999-2005 and 2006-2007.

## Run Timing

The run timing of wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon was plotted as a cumulative percentage of weir counts for the SEG index monitoring period. The median run timing date (date nearest to the $50 \%$ cumulative count) was identified for each component of the run. The 2008 cumulative percentages of wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon were compared to each other and to their respective 1999 to 2007 cumulative averages.

The daily weir counts of Chinook salmon (wild and hatchery-reared) were plotted against daily water temperatures, discharge and tide heights to identify any general patterns. Observed patterns were investigated further and compared to patterns observed in previous years.

## Water Temperature, Discharge, and Tide

Cook Inletkeeper (CIK), a citizen-based nonprofit group, collected water temperature in degrees Celsius once every 15 minutes using a temperature logger at their NR-2 site (described in Mauger 2005). The NR-2 site (RKM 13.7) is located $\sim 6.0$ RKM upstream (Figure 2) from the Ninilchik River weir site.

The discharge data presented in this report was collected by the National Weather Service, Alaska Pacific River Forecast Center (RFC) at the Beach Access Road bridge (RKM 0.9; Figure 2). RFC contracted a local citizen to collect a daily stage reading (to the nearest 0.01 feet) at approximately the same time each day ( $\sim 1900$ hours) using a wire weight gauge. Collected stage readings were then converted to discharge in cubic feet per second $\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ using a rating curve of previous discharge and stage measurements from the same Ninilchik River site. The RFC data is not formally published, and should be considered provisional.
The predicted daily high and low tide heights for Ninilchik River were located on the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) tides and current website at http://tidesandcurrents.noaa.gov. Predicted high tides heights were corrected from the Seldovia reference station by adding 1.2 ft . No correction factor was used for low tide heights.

## BIOLOGICAL SAMPLES

Sample size goals for age and age-sex composition for wild (141) and hatchery-reared (115) Chinook salmon were calculated by combining a finite population correction factor (Cochran 1977) with the sample size determined under the assumption of multinomial sampling (Thompson 1987), assuming an average run size during weir operation dates, and with $20 \%$ of the scale samples not readable for age estimates.
Sex was determined for all Chinook salmon by observing sexual characteristics such as a protruding ovipositor on females and a developing kype on males. The sex ratio of each of the wild and hatchery-reared components of the escapement is therefore known without error. The sex composition of the wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon run was calculated for each week.
From 30 June to 6 July 2008, technicians systematically sampled every third hatchery-reared Chinook salmon by measuring the mid eye to tail fork (METF) length to the nearest 5 mm and collecting 3 scales from the preferred area (see Welander 1940). On 7 July, the sampling rate was increased to every hatchery-reared Chinook salmon due to a low number of hatchery-reared fish observed at the weir. However, not every hatchery-reared Chinook salmon was sampled because several fish escaped before being processed and, on 18 July, an unusually large number of

Chinook salmon arrived at the weir, preventing the technician from sampling fish without impeding the migration.

For wild Chinook salmon, every seventh fish was sampled for age and length (in the same manner as hatchery-reared salmon). However, there were concerns about size- or behaviorselective sampling when the sampling target (the seventh fish) was present in the live box with other wild fish. Whenever this situation occurred, all fish in the live box were sampled to avoid selective sampling. We refer to fish sampled in this manner as "box sampled". The sample of wild fish therefore consisted of a pseudo-systematic sample (after each box sample, the systematic sample count was reset) and box-sampled fish. The overall sample did not therefore meet the criteria of a multinomial sample and the data analysis was adjusted accordingly (see equations below). It is noted that the run of hatchery-reared fish was not large enough to result in multiple hatchery fish in the live box at once and box sampling did not influence the analysis of hatchery-reared fish.
All wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon less than or equal to 550 mm METF were tallied as jacks (ocean-age- 1 males). A simple z-test was used to compare the estimated jack abundance (through scale age estimates) with the jack abundance census.

Scale samples were mounted directly to gum cards and later pressed into acetate using a Carver press at $99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 22,500 pounds per square inch (psi) for approximately 2.5 minutes. Scales were read using a microfiche reader and aged with methods described by Welander (1940) and Mosher (1969). Age estimates were produced independently of size, sex, and other age estimates. Scale samples were aged twice to estimate within-reader variability. All scale samples that had conflicting ages for the 2 estimates were re-aged to produce a resolved age which was used for composition and abundance estimates. Original and resolved age estimates were validated, when possible, using samples of a known age from CWT recoveries and expressed as a percent agreement with the known ages. Scale samples collected from fish of a known age were added to a reference scale set. The scale reader had previous experience aging both juvenile and adult salmonid scales and other calcified structures including scale samples from Ninilchik River Chinook salmon.

In previous years, the age-sex composition estimates for wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon were calculated based on the estimated sex composition from sampling and not from the census of the sex composition (Balland and Begich 2007; Begich 2006, 2007; Kerkvliet 2008). In some years, such as 2007, it was suspected that sampling may have been selective towards males, biasing the age-sex estimates. Although it is not known why males were over-sampled, this could be a result of the method used to process fish in the live box, the order in which fish are processed in the live box, or because male and female behavior may differ, influencing how or when they arrive at the weir. To reduce bias associated with possible sex-selective sampling, the age-sex composition estimates were calculated by using the known sex composition as described in equations 1 through 15 below.

## Wild Fish

To accommodate the sampling design for wild Chinook salmon, the systematic and box samples were pooled within each of 3 strata, each stratum representing an equal portion of the run. The abundance and proportion of the wild Chinook salmon run of age or age-sex class was estimated using a simple stratified design where abundances were summed over strata and stratum-specific proportions were combined by weighting over strata (equations 14-15 below).

Within a stratum, the proportion by sex of the wild run to the weir is known (a census of sex is conducted) and was calculated as
$\hat{p}_{i}=\frac{x_{i}}{N}$
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{i}=\text { number of wild fish of sex class } i \text { in } N, \text { and } \\
& N=\text { run to the weir. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The proportion of wild fish of age $j$ given sex $i$ was estimated as
$\hat{p}_{j \mid i}=\frac{x_{i j}}{n_{i}}$
where

$$
x_{i j}=\text { number of wild fish of age class } j \text { in } n_{i}, \text { and }
$$

$n_{i}=$ number of fish of sex class $i$ in wild fish sampled for age,
with variance estimated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{p}_{j \mid i}\right)=\left[\frac{N_{i}-n_{i}}{N_{i}}\right] \frac{\hat{p}_{j \mid i}\left(1-\hat{p}_{j \mid i}\right)}{n_{i}-1} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Abundance of wild fish of age $j$ given sex $i$ was estimated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{N}_{j \mid i}=\hat{p}_{j \mid i} N_{i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with variance estimated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{N}_{j \mid i}\right)=N_{i}^{2} \operatorname{var}\left(\hat{p}_{j \mid i}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proportion of wild fish in age class $j$ and sex class $i$ in the run to the weir was estimated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{j i}=\frac{\hat{N}_{j \mid i}}{N} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with variance estimated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{p}_{j i}\right)=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \operatorname{var}\left(\hat{N}_{j \mid i}\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The abundance of wild fish in age class $j$ and sex class $i$ in the run to the weir was estimated as
$\hat{N}_{j i}=\hat{p}_{j i} N$
with variance estimated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{N}_{j i}\right)=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \operatorname{var}\left(\hat{p}_{j i}\right) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The abundance of wild fish in age class $j$ in the run to the weir was estimated by summing over sex $i$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{N}_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{2} \hat{N}_{j \mid i} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with variance estimated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{N}_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{2} \operatorname{var}\left(\hat{N}_{j \mid i}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proportion of wild fish in age class $j$ in the run to the weir was estimated as
$\hat{p}_{j}=\frac{\hat{N}_{j}}{N}$
with variance estimated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{p}_{j}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{N}_{j}\right)}{N^{2}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Stratum-specific abundances ( $\hat{N}_{j i}$ and $\hat{N}_{j}$ ) and their variances (equations 8-11) were summed over strata to provide estimates for the run of wild fish to the weir.
Stratum-specific proportions ( $\hat{p}_{j i}$ and $\hat{p}_{j}$; equations 6,12 ) were combined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{X}=\sum_{k=1}^{3} p_{X k} w_{k} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{X}=\text { either } i j \text { or } j, \text { denoting age-sex or age, respectively, and } \\
& \left.w_{k}=\text { stratum weight ( }=1 / 3 \text { for } 3 \text { evenly spaced strata }\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with variance estimated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{p}_{X}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{3} \operatorname{var}\left(\hat{p}_{X k}\right) w_{k}^{2} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Hatchery fish

No stratification was used for hatchery fish and equations 1-13 were used to estimate age and age-sex statistics.

The within-reader variability of scale age estimates was calculated using a coefficient of variation (CV) expressed as the ratio of the standard deviation over the mean age (Campana 2001):

$$
\begin{equation*}
C V_{j}=100 \% \times \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{R} \frac{\left(X_{i j}-X_{j}\right)^{2}}{R-1}}}{X_{j}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
X_{i j}=\text { the } i \text { th age estimate of the } j \text { th fish, }
$$

$X_{j}=$ the mean age estimate of the $j$ th fish,
$R=$ the number of times each fish is aged.
For each sex, age, wild, and hatchery group, the $\mathrm{CV}_{j}$ s were averaged across all fish $(j)$ in the group to produce a mean CV.

## EgG Takes

During escapement monitoring, an inriver holding area was established using a weir upstream of the escapement weir (Figure 4). Plywood boards ( $2 \mathrm{ft} \times 3 \mathrm{ft}$ ) were placed on the lower weir during periods of low water to increase the water depth in the holding area in order to provide a rest area for fish. As Chinook salmon were processed through the live box, those fish showing signs of attaining more immediate sexual maturity were transferred into the holding area rather than allowing them to escape upstream of the weir. We began transferring fish into the holding area on 1 July. On 18 July, most of the held fish escaped upstream when unusually high water caused the panels connecting the upper and lower weir to fail. On 26 July, the water levels rose again and shifted the live box, creating a break between the panels connecting the 2 weirs. On 28 July, the lower weir failed at the downstream left bank and an unknown number of held fish escaped downstream.
Egg takes were conducted on 28 July, 5 August, and 8 August. All Chinook salmon were spawned in a matrix, 4 at a time, with a sex ratio of $2: 2$ to ensure egg fertilization (Hoffnagle et al. 2003). Held fish were captured with a seine and dipnets. Males and unripe females were sorted into net pens. Ripe females were killed and placed on their back on an angled rack with their heads tilting downward. Females were bled (bled-out) by ripping a gill arch to prevent blood from mixing with the eggs. To collect and fertilize the eggs, each bled-out female was held above a dry plastic bucket; then, her abdomen was cut open from the vent to the gill plate. Loose eggs were then collected in the bucket. Mature males were randomly selected from the net pens. Immature males were released upstream of the weir and mature males were live spawned before they were released upstream of the weir. To prevent water from the males dripping on the unfertilized eggs causing them to water harden, each male was live spawned into a dry cup. The milt was then poured into the bucket of eggs. Upon mixing the eggs and milt, a 7 g per liter saline solution was added to increase sperm motility. Fertilized eggs were then rinsed and placed into a plastic bag to water harden before being transported to the Fort Richardson hatchery.
Only wild Chinook salmon were used to supplement the Ninilchik River. A combination of wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon were used to stock the saltwater terminal release sites. The
head, length, and a scale sample were collected from sacrificed hatchery-reared females for age validation and to detect straying.

## Stocking

## Smolt Release and Marking

The Chinook salmon eggs used for stocking were reared to smolt at the Fort Richardson hatchery. All smolt released into Ninilchik River were thermal marked, adipose-clipped, and injected with a CWT by hatchery personnel. All smolt released into Halibut Cove Lagoon, Seldovia Bay, and the NDFL were thermal marked. Hatchery personnel also assessed the average length and weight, and the percentages of adipose finclips and CWT loss of hatcheryreared Chinook salmon smolt prior to stocking into the Ninilchik River. The Statewide Stocking Plan (Loopstra 2007) was used to plan and schedule the release of LCIMA smolt with one exception. Stocking in Halibut Cove Lagoon was delayed from the scheduled date of June 6 until June 19 because a sample of the smolt failed a salinity tolerance test on 5 June.

## Straying

Heads were collected from all hatchery-reared females that were sacrificed during egg takes. Collected heads were labeled with a numbered cinch strap, frozen, and sent to the ADF\&G Mark, Tag, and Age Laboratory in Juneau for analysis. Results were accessed from the ADF\&G tag lab website ${ }^{3}$, using "Ninilchik River" as the specifying parameter.

## Local Guide Harvest

During the inriver sport fishery, a volunteer sport fishery guide noted the catch and harvest of wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon in the freshwater sport fish logbook for each guided trip. The percentage of hatchery-reared Chinook salmon caught and harvested was estimated for each regulatory weekend and two 5-day periods in July during the regulatory hatchery-reared fishery. The percentage of hatchery-reared and wild Chinook salmon in the catch and harvest for each guided trip was estimated as a binomial proportion (Cochran 1977):
$\hat{p}_{j}=\frac{n_{j}}{n}$
where the subscript $j$ represents wild or hatchery-reared. The variance was estimated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{p}_{j}\right)=\frac{\hat{p}_{j}\left(1-\hat{p}_{j}\right)}{n-1} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

No finite correction factor was used because the inriver population size during each guided trip was unknown. The 2008 catch and harvest compositions were compared to similar logbookbased estimates for the same guide in 2006 and 2007.

[^1]
## RESULTS

## Escapement Monitoring

## Weir Counts

In 2008, the total number of Chinook salmon counted through the Ninilchik River weir from 30 June through 7 August was 873 fish of which 772 were wild and 101 were hatchery-reared (Table 7; Appendix B1). After subtraction of egg take mortalities (102 spawned and 68 holding mortalities) and 1 live box mortality, the total escapement was 702 Chinook salmon, of which $90 \%(632 / 702)$ were wild and $10 \%(70 / 702)$ were hatchery-reared.
In 2008, below average runs of most non-targeted species were observed at the weir (Table 9), although, a larger than average run of coho salmon (Onchorhynchus kisutch) was observed. Overall, 5 species of Pacific salmon (Onchorhynchus spp.), Dolly Varden char (Salvelinus malma), and steelhead trout (Onchorhynchus mykiss) were observed at the weir in 2008. Dolly Varden char were the most common followed by coho salmon.

## Sustainable Escapement Goal (SEG)

During the SEG index monitoring period (3-31 July), 586 wild and 62 hatchery-reared Chinook salmon escaped above the weir. The contribution of wild Chinook salmon to the total escapement during the SEG index monitoring period was $90.4 \%$ (586/648) (Table 8; Figure 5). Wild and hatchery-reared escapement counts were lower than the 1999-2005 average escapement count for the SEG index monitoring period by 385 and 310 fish, respectively. The 2008 wild and hatchery-reared escapement counts were also lower than the 2006-2007 averages (by 192 and 65 fish, respectively) although the difference in escapement counts between 2008 and 2007 are less than 50 fish (Table 8).

## Run Timing

The cumulative percentage of weir counts of wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon showed that both of the Chinook salmon runs started later than average for the first half of the SEG index monitoring period (Figure 6). The wild Chinook salmon run timing during the second half of the SEG index monitoring period was similar to the historic average, while the run timing of hatchery-reared Chinook salmon was later than average. Overall, the 2008 run timing of hatchery-reared fish was roughly 5 days later than the wild run for the second half of the SEG index monitoring period.

## Water Temperature, Discharge, and Tides

Average temperature and discharge fluctuated during the SEG index monitoring period but no general pattern with daily weir counts emerged (Figure 7; Appendices C1, D1-D2). The 2008 average temperature was 2 degrees below the previous 9-year (1999-2007) average (Table 10). During the SEG index monitoring period (3-31 July), the average discharge was $130 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ $\left(\right.$ range $=68 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ to $336 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$; Table 10; Appendix D1) which was the highest recorded since the collection of this data series (Table 10). There was no general pattern in daily weir counts in relation to tide height (Figure 7; Appendix E1). From 3 July through 31 July, the daily average high tide height ranged from 13.7 ft to 21.3 ft and averaged 17.9 ft (Appendix E1). The daily average low tide height ranged from -4.8 ft to 5.3 ft and averaged 1.6 ft (Appendix E1).

## BIOLOGICAL SAMPLES

## Age, Sex and Length

The overall sample size goal for systematic age sampling was reached for wild Chinook salmon, but was missed by 50 fish for hatchery-reared Chinook salmon. A total of 83 wild and 65 hatchery-reared Chinook salmon were systematically sampled for age, with 93 additional wild samples from box sampling. Approximately $23 \%$ of the wild and $15 \%$ of the hatchery-reared scale samples were not readable due to regeneration or poor mounting; thus, 135 wild and 55 hatchery-reared samples were aged (Table 11). Ocean age 3 was the dominant age class for both wild ( $62.9 \%$, $\mathrm{SE}=4.8 \%$ ) and hatchery-reared ( $45.8 \%, \mathrm{SE}=3.1 \%$ ) Chinook salmon (Table 11; Figure 8). Ocean age 3 was the most common age for both wild and hatchery-reared females. For males, ocean age 3 was the most common age for wild male Chinook salmon, but ocean age 2 was the most common age in hatchery-reared males. Statistically significant differences were detected between the wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon age composition ( $\chi^{2}=8.3, \mathrm{df}=$ $3, P=0.041$ ), and between the age composition for wild and hatchery-reared males ( $\chi^{2}=26.2, \mathrm{df}$ $=3, P=0.000$; Table 11). The 2008 overall wild ( $\chi^{2}=2.5, \mathrm{df}=3, P=0.47$ ) and hatchery-reared $\left(\chi^{2}=0.9, \mathrm{df}=3, P=0.83\right)$ age compositions were similar to their respective 1999-2007 averages (Table 12).

The coefficient of variation (CV, Equation 16) of all scale age estimates was $0.7 \%$ and was similar to the CV of scale age estimates for both wild (CV $=0.5 \%$ ) and hatchery-reared ( $\mathrm{CV}=$ $1.0 \%$ ) Chinook salmon. Age was determined for 10 hatchery-reared Chinook salmon from coded wire tag (CWT) recoveries (Table 13). There was an $80 \%$ agreement between the resolved age estimates and known ages.
The sex composition of the pooled box samples were found to be biased ( $\chi^{2}=7.6, \mathrm{df}=1, P=$ 0.005 ). More males were found in the box samples than expected. No differences were found in sex composition between the systematic and census samples $\left(\chi^{2}=1.0, \mathrm{df}=1, P=0.31\right)$. The male to female ratio was similar between wild (370:402) and hatchery-reared (43:58) Chinook salmon (Table 11). Differences existed in the sex composition of both the wild and hatcheryreared Chinook salmon over the 5 weeks of weir operation. Males were more prevalent until week 3; then females were more prevalent in weeks 4 and 5 . The weir census of wild (32) and hatchery-reared (21) jack Chinook salmon were similar to their associated estimated abundance ( 35 fish [SE = 14.6] and 17 fish [SE = 3.9], respectively; Table 11).
The overall mean length ( 778 mm ) of wild Chinook salmon was larger than the overall mean length ( 730 mm ) of hatchery-reared Chinook salmon (Table 11). Mean lengths at age were different between wild and hatchery-reared males ( $\chi^{2}=6.4, \mathrm{df}=2, P=0.05$ ), but not between wild and hatchery-reared females $\left(\chi^{2}=1.8, \mathrm{df}=2, P=0.41\right)$.

## EgG TAKES

Chinook salmon eggs were collected from 102 females of which 79 were wild and 23 were hatchery-reared (Table 14). The average fecundity was 5,396 eggs per female spawned. The egg take conducted on 28 July sacrificed 9 wild and 1 hatchery-reared female and had a $74.5 \%$ egg survival to the eyed stage. The egg take conducted on 5 August sacrificed 59 wild and 19 hatchery-reared females and had an $81.4 \%$ egg survival to the eyed stage. The egg take conducted on 8 August sacrificed 11 wild and 3 hatchery-reared females and had a $93.1 \%$ egg survival to the eyed stage. The average percent survival to the eyed stage of $82.2 \%$ was below
the average (84.8\%) of the years 1999-2007. The maximum water temperatures recorded during the egg takes were $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (28 July), $14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(5\right.$ August) and $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 8 August).

## STOCKING

## Smolt Release and Marking

Stocking goals ${ }^{4}$ were reached at all stocking locations (Tables 3-6). Chinook salmon smolt releases in 2008 were apportioned between the Ninilchik River and 3 terminal saltwater fisheries as follows: 56,943 smolt were stocked at Ninilchik River; 212,141 smolt at NDFL; 58,674 smolt at Halibut Cove Lagoon; and 54,464 smolt at Seldovia Bay. In 2008, the average length (mm) and weight (g) of Chinook salmon smolt stocked in the Ninilchik River ( $96 \mathrm{~mm}, 10.3 \mathrm{~g}$ ), NDFL ( $102 \mathrm{~mm}, 11.6 \mathrm{~g}$ ), Halibut Cove Lagoon ( $102 \mathrm{~mm}, 11.6 \mathrm{~g}$ ), and Seldovia Bay ( $104 \mathrm{~mm}, 12.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ) were smaller than their respective length and weight averages from recent years (Tables 3-6).

## Straying

A total of 13 heads were collected for CWT analysis from female hatchery-reared Chinook salmon sacrificed during egg takes (Table 13; Appendix F1). Coded wire tags were successfully decoded from 10 heads. All of the samples originated from stocking in the Ninilchik River. In 2008, 4 Ninilchik River hatchery-reared Chinook salmon were detected in the Ninilchik River Tribe's educational fishery (Appendix F2). One other Ninilchik River hatchery-reared Chinook salmon was detected in Lower Cook Inlet Management Area fisheries or escapement projects in 2008.

## Local Guide Harvest

In 2008, the guided hatchery-reared Chinook salmon percentage of the total Chinook salmon catch and harvest was $34.1 \% ~(\mathrm{SE}=3.2 \%$ ) and $55.8 \%(\mathrm{SE}=7.0 \%$ ), respectively (Table 15). The composition of the Chinook salmon catch differed over the course of the combined fisheries $\left(\chi^{2}\right.$ $=9.5, \mathrm{df}=4, P=0.048)$. The catch rate peaked at 3.5 Chinook salmon per angler (138/39) during the first 5 days of the July fishery. The 2008 hatchery-reared percentage of the Chinook salmon harvest $(55.8 \%, \mathrm{SE}=7.0)$ was not significantly different than that found in $2006\left(\chi^{2}=\right.$ 2.3, $\mathrm{df}=1, P=0.127 ; 68.4 \%, \mathrm{SE}=4.8)$ or in $2007\left(\chi^{2}=1.7, \mathrm{df}=1, P=0.190 ; 67.1 \%\right.$, $\mathrm{SE}=$ 5.3\%) (Booz and Kerkvliet 2011a, 2011b).

## DISCUSSION

The 2008 Ninilchik River wild Chinook salmon escapement ( 586 fish) exceeded the lower SEG boundary by 36 fish but was below the 1999-2007 average escapement during the SEG index monitoring period (928 fish; Table 8). The 2008 escapement of hatchery-reared Chinook salmon during the SEG index monitoring period (67 fish) was also below this average. From 1999 through 2005, when the weir was operated over the entire Chinook salmon run, between $57 \%$ and $87 \%$ of the annual wild Chinook salmon run was counted during the SEG index monitoring period (3-31 July; calculated from Table 8). In 2008, a later-than-average wild Chinook salmon run timing was observed. This suggests that an even larger percentage of the total run was

[^2]counted during the SEG index monitoring period. It is likely that a greater percentage of the total run was counted during the 2008 SEG index monitoring period due to the low numbers of wild Chinook salmon observed in the first 2 weeks of weir operation (30 June-13 July; Appendix B1).

The number of hatchery-reared Chinook salmon in the escapement was similar to that found in 2007 (Booz and Kerkvliet 2011b) and is the lowest since the inception of the Ninilchik River stocking program. The reduced contribution was likely influenced by the combination of a poor run and the new July fishery for hatchery-reared fish. In 2008, decreased Chinook salmon run sizes were also observed in several other Cook Inlet streams and poor runs were observed at the terminal saltwater fisheries in Kachemak Bay, particularly NDFL.
The new July fishery for hatchery-reared Chinook salmon seems to have functioned similarly to the 2006 and 2007 EO fisheries, when harvesting of additional hatchery-reared fish was allowed. Based on the logbook data, these additional fishing opportunities have been effective in shifting the majority of the Chinook salmon harvest from wild to hatchery-reared fish. The high guided catch rates early in July rapidly decreased to no fish caught after July 11. Anecdotal information from anglers who participated in the July fishery advised managers that a high percentage of captured hatchery-reared Chinook salmon were mature and thus released because of the low quality of the flesh.

The use of a caudal clip to identify fish caught at the weir during the SEG index monitoring period facilitated the identification of those Chinook salmon that subsequently became mortalities associated with holding and spawning for egg takes (the clip also provided better tracking for escapement monitoring). Some clips on mature females were, however, hard to indentify during egg takes. Because most egg-take mortalities were from the SEG index monitoring period, we assumed that any fish without an identifiable caudal clip was from the SEG index monitoring period. A slight increase in the size of the clip should make the clips more identifiable.

Box sampling mitigated the problems associated with maintaining an unbiased sampling order from the live box. However, to obtain representative samples throughout the run, we recommend applying a box-sampling technique at periodic intervals throughout the run. The number of boxsampled fish would be calculated as a pre-determined percentage of the number of Chinook salmon that had arrived at the weir over a pre-determined previous number of days. Sampling would be conducted continuously until the target number is reached.

Problems encountered with unusually high river levels and shifts in sex composition complicated our ability to successfully hold and spawn Chinook salmon for egg takes. Although we failed to meet the egg-take goal by 13 spawning pairs, the number of eggs collected should be adequate to meet the 2010 stocking goals for all locations. In the future, further measures will be taken to ensure the structural integrity of the holding area.
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TABLES

Table 1.-Characteristics of Ninilchik River drainage.

| Drainage characteristics | Total |
| :--- | :---: |
| Watershed area | $347.9 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ |
| Wetland area | $122.5 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ |
| Percent wetlands | $35.2 \%$ |
| Stream length | 260.7 RKM |
| Anadromous stream length | 81.0 RKM |
| Percent mapped anadromous | $31.1 \%$ |

Source: S. Baird, Kachemak Bay Research, Homer, AK, unpublished data, 2006.
Note: "RKM" = river kilometers.

Table 2.-Statewide Harvest Survey estimates of angler effort and Chinook salmon harvest and catch compared to the number of days open to fishing for Ninilchik River Chinook salmon, 1977-2008.

| Year |  |  | Chinook salmon |  |  |  |  |  | Percent hatchery harvest ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Days open to fishing ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Angler effortdays fished ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Harvest |  |  | $\text { Catch }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Percent |  |  | Percent |  |  |
|  | Estimate | SE | Estimate | SE | jack ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Estimate | SE | jack ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |
| 1977 | 11,350 | - | 1,168 | - | ND | ND | - | ND | NA | 8 |
| 1978 | 14,173 | - | 1,445 | - | ND | ND | - | ND | NA | 9 |
| 1979 | 18,282 | - | 1,493 | - | ND | ND | - | ND | NA | 9 |
| 1980 | 19,706 | - | 723 | - | ND | ND | - | ND | NA | 9 |
| 1981 | 14,184 | - | 1,523 | - | 11.0 | ND | - | ND | NA | 9 |
| 1982 | 11,806 | - | 1,240 | - | 14.9 | ND | - | ND | NA | 9 |
| 1983 | 9,458 | - | 871 | - | 7.8 | ND | - | ND | NA | 9 |
| 1984 | 10,122 | - | 648 | - | 20.9 | ND | - | ND | NA | 9 |
| 1985 | 10,213 | - | 983 | - | 12.9 | ND | - | ND | NA | 9 |
| 1986 | 9,250 | - | 420 | - | 14.1 | ND | - | ND | NA | 9 |
| 1987 | 13,329 | - | 1,112 | - | 2.2 | ND | - | ND | NA | 9 |
| 1988 | 12,533 | - | 795 | - | 7.6 | ND | - | ND | NA | 9 |
| 1989 | 9,997 | - | 744 | - | 42.8 | ND | - | ND | ND | 9 |
| 1990 | 8,323 | - | 693 | - | 16.9 | 1,598 | - | 16.4 | ND | 9 |
| 1991 | 19,640 | - | 3,123 | - | 13.4 | 5,260 | - | 11.5 | 77 | 12 |
| 1992 | 27,816 | - | 5,316 | - | 8.6 | 11,425 | - | 17.4 | 57 | 19 |
| 1993 | 20,466 | - | 4,235 | - | 9.2 | 9,491 | - | 11.3 | 50 | 23 |
| 1994 | 21,827 | - | 3,108 | - | ND | 5,482 | - | ND | 45 | 23 |
| 1995 | 16,160 | - | 2,451 | - | ND | 4,313 | - | ND | 50 | 23 |
| 1996 | 11,445 | 1,034 | 2,401 | 289 | ND | 7,481 | 1,389 | ND | 50 | 19 |
| 1997 | 11,064 | 718 | 3,263 | 309 | ND | 6,879 | 868 | ND | ND | 9 |
| 1998 | 10,994 | 1,871 | 1,453 | 179 | ND | 3,395 | 538 | ND | ND | 9 |
| 1999 | 15,344 | 2,493 | 1,945 | 260 | ND | 4,153 | 616 | ND | ND | 9 |
| 2000 | 12,432 | 1,514 | 1,782 | 218 | ND | 4,648 | 582 | ND | 49 | 9 |
| 2001 | 10,602 | 1,137 | 1,399 | 204 | ND | 3,014 | 496 | ND | 51 | 12 |
| 2002 | 9,572 | 1,169 | 830 | 180 | ND | 2,180 | 418 | ND | ND | 12 |
| 2003 | 9,843 | 1,148 | 1,452 | 245 | ND | 4,205 | 887 | ND | ND | 26 |
| 2004 | 10,500 | 1,464 | 1,240 | 224 | ND | 2,961 | ND | ND | ND | 55 |
| 2005 | 9,003 | 1,540 | 1,342 | 241 | ND | 2,042 | 420 | ND | ND | 9 |
| 2006 | 9,620 | 1,092 | 1,329 | 229 | ND | 3,004 | 509 | ND | $\geq 39^{\text {f }}$ | 40 |
| 2007 | 10,211 | 1,101 | 1,575 | 304 | ND | 4,774 | 1,108 | ND | ND | 58 |
| 2008 | 8,158 | 1,262 | 976 | 296 | 22.5 | 2,090 | 493 | 15.3 | ND | 23 |

-continued-

Table 2.-Page 2 of 2.

| Year | Angler effortdays fished ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Chinook salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Harvest |  |  | Catch ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | Percent hatchery harvest ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Days open to fishing ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
|  |  |  | Estimate | SE | Percent jack ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Estimate | SE | Percent jack ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre-stocking (1977-1990) | 12,338 |  | 990 |  |  | 1,598 |  |  |  |  |
| High stocking (1991-1998) | 17,427 |  | 3,169 |  |  | 6,716 |  |  | 55 |  |
| Low stocking (1999-2007) | 10,792 |  | 1,433 |  |  | 3,442 |  |  | 50 |  |

Source: Statewide harvest survey estimates gathered from the published reports for each year (Mills 1979-1994; Howe et al. 1995-1996, 2001a-d; Walker et al. 2003; Jennings et al. 2004, 2006a-b, 2007, 2009a-b, 2010a-b).
Note: Standard errors were calculated using the bootstrap method. Because the empirical distribution of derived confidence intervals for these estimates is not symmetrical, valid confidence intervals cannot be obtained directly. Standard errors for estimates not calculated until 1996.
Note: ND = no data; " - " = cannot be computed due to limitations of the data; NA = not applicable.
${ }^{a}$ The estimates for days fished are for the entire season not just for Chinook salmon.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Catch is defined as the number of fish caught and released or harvested. Estimates from Gretchen Jennings, project manager, Alaska Statewide Harvest Survey (SWHS) unpublished data, ADF\&G, Division of Sport Fish, Anchorage.
c The percentage of the total harvest that is less than 20 in. From 1981 through 1993 and 2008, the SWHS estimates were calculated by large and small Chinook salmon. Prior to 1981 and from 1994 through 2007, the SWHS estimates were for all Chinook salmon, not by size.
d Estimated by creel survey 1991-1993; estimated by catch sampling 1994-1996, 2000, 2001, and 2006.
e Standardized to end on 14 July. Additional days added through emergency order (EO) for 1991 through 2007. Starting in 2008, the regulatory fishery was open from 1 July through 31 December. See Appendix A4.
f The 2006 percent hatchery harvest estimate from the SWHS should be viewed as a minimum because an unknown number of hatchery fish were harvested in an EO fishery that happened after the survey was conducted. See Booz and Kerkvliet (Booz and Kerkvliet 2011a).

Table 3.-Chinook salmon smolt released at Ninilchik River, 1988-2008.

| Release year | Release date | Brood year | Number of smolt ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Release location ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Hatchery | Mark type ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \% <br> Finclip ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { CWT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CWT } \\ \text { tag code } \end{gathered}$ | Avg. length (mm) | Avg. wt. <br> (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | 6 Jul | 1987 | 248,586 | Harbor | Ft. Richardson | Ad, CWT | ND | 12.5 | 311762 | ND | 12.5 |
| 1989 | 1 Jun | 1988 | 200,203 | Harbor | Ft. Richardson | Ad, CWT | ND | 9.4 | 311830 | ND | 11.8 |
| 1990 | 30 May | 1989 | 215,804 | Harbor/Brody | Ft. Richardson | Ad, CWT | ND | 18.7 | 311735 | ND | 12.8 |
| 1991 | 22 May | 1990 | 87,992 | Brody | Ft. Richardson | Ad, CWT | ND | 23.9 | 311934 | 100 | 12.0 |
| 1992 | 28 May | 1991 | 132,387 | Brody | Ft. Richardson | Ad, CWT | ND | 31.2 | 312104 | 107 | 12.5 |
| 1993 | 8 Jun | 1992 | 184,585 | Brody | Ft. Richardson | Ad, CWT | ND | 23.3 | 312159 | 107 | 14.7 |
| 1994 | 31 May | 1993 | 201,513 | Brody | Ft. Richardson | Ad, CWT | ND | 22.6 | 312318 | ND | 12.0 |
| 1995 | 31 May | 1994 | 54,662 | Harbor | Ft. Richardson | Ad, CWT | ND | 99.0 | 312435 | ND | 14.1 |
| 1996 | 13 Jun | 1995 | 51,688 | Harbor | Ft. Richardson | Ad, CWT | ND | 98.4 | 312515 | ND | 12.9 |
| 1997 | 17 Jun | 1996 | 50,292 | Brody | Ft. Richardson | Ad, CWT, TM | ND | 99.2 | 312608 | ND | 12.0 |
| 1998 | 15 Jun | 1997 | 48,798 | Brody | Ft. Richardson | Ad, CWT, TM | ND | 97.3 | 312635 | ND | 11.4 |
| 1999 | 15 Jun | 1998 | 49,853 | Brody | Ft. Richardson | Ad, CWT, TM | ND | 98.1 | 310147 | 104 | 13.6 |
| 2000 | 2 Jun | 1999 | 51,298 | Brody | Ft. Richardson | Ad, CWT, TM | ND | 97.5 | 310248 | 96 | 10.2 |
| 2001 | 13 Jun | 2000 | 54,770 | Brody | Ft. Richardson | Ad, CWT, TM | ND | 99.4 | 310260 | 104 | 13.6 |
| 2002 | 14 Jun | 2001 | 54,631 | Brody | Ft. Richardson | Ad, CWT, TM | ND | 99.1 | 310282 | 101 | 12.1 |
| 2003 | 12 Jun | 2002 | 47,997 | Brody | Ft. Richardson | Ad, CWT, TM | ND | 92.4 | 310256 | 105 | 12.6 |
| 2004 | 12 May | $2002{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 51,303 | Brody | Ft. Richardson | Ad, CWT, TM | ND | 92.4 | 310193 | 105 | 12.6 |
| 2005 | 19 May | $2003{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 55,229 | Brody | Ft. Richardson | Ad, CWT, TM | ND | 99.9 | 310318 | 101 | 11.9 |
| 2006 | 17 May | $2004{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 57,537 | Brody | Ft. Richardson | Ad, CWT, TM | 99.2 | 99.4 | 310341 | 102 | 12.5 |
| 2007 | 17 May | $2005^{\text {e }}$ | 56,368 | Brody | Ft. Richardson | Ad, CWT, TM | 99.5 | 99.7 | 310366 | 92 | 8.7 |
| 2008 | 15 May | $2006{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 56,943 | Brody | Ft. Richardson | Ad, CWT, TM | 99.9 | 99.5 | 310372 | 96 | 10.3 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ 1995-2007 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 52,648 |  |  |  | 99.4 | 97.8 |  | 101.1 | 12.2 |

[^3]a Number released includes smolt that shed coded wire tags.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Harbor $=$ Ninilchik River harbor located at the mouth; Brody $=$ Brody Road bridge; Harbor/Brody $=50 \%$ released in the harbor and $50 \%$ released at the bridge .
c $\mathrm{Ad}=$ adipose finclip; $\mathrm{CWT}=$ coded wire tag; $\mathrm{TM}=$ thermal mark.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Smolt were checked prior to release for quality of adipose finclip starting in 2006.
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Smolt were released as freshwater-age-1 fish beginning in 2004.

Table 4.-Chinook salmon smolt released at Nick Dudiak Fishing Lagoon terminal saltwater fishery on Homer Spit, 2000-2008.

| Nick Dudiak Fishing Lagoon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Release year | Release date | Brood year | Number | Hatchery ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mark type ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Average length (mm) | Average weight (g) |
| 2000 | 31 May | 1999 | 102,243 | Elm. | NM | 117 | 17.8 |
| 2000 | 7 Jun | 1999 | 117,741 | Elm. | NM | 119 | 17.8 |
| 2001 | 25 May | 2000 | 101,799 | Elm. | NM | 104 | 13.9 |
| 2001 | 8 Jun | 2000 | 106,263 | Elm. | NM | 112 | 13.9 |
| 2002 | 30 May | 2001 | 122,444 | Elm. | TM | 102 | 12.1 |
| 2002 | 6 Jun | 2001 | 67,582 | Elm. | TM | 107 | 12.1 |
| 2003 | 6 Jun | 2002 | 126,229 | Fort R. | TM | 102 | 12.0 |
| 2003 | 28 May | 2002 | 80,063 | Fort R. | TM | 104 | 12.0 |
| 2004 | 7 Jun | $2002{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 95,105 | Fort R. | TM | 109 | 13.9 |
|  | 10 Jun |  | 47,932 | Fort R. | TM | 109 | 13.9 |
| 2004 | 10 Jun | 2003 | 25,706 | Elm. | TM | 112 | 15.6 |
| 2005 | 10 Jun | $2003{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 111,196 | Fort R. | TM | 107 | 13.0 |
| 2005 | 13 Jun | $2003{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 109,626 | Fort R. | TM | 104 | 13.0 |
| 2006 | 19 Jun | $2004{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 111,089 | Fort R. | TM | 107 | 13.2 |
|  | 22 Jun |  | 112,964 | Fort R. | TM | 107 | 13.2 |
| 2007 | 11 Jun | $2005^{\text {c }}$ | 113,636 | Fort R. | TM | 102 | 10.3 |
|  | 14 Jun |  | 113,336 | Fort R. | TM | 102 | 10.3 |
| 2008 | 13 Jun | $2006{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 110,802 | Fort R. | TM | 104 | 11.6 |
|  | 17 Jun |  | 101,339 | Fort R. | TM | 99 | 11.6 |
| Average (2000-2007) |  |  | 208,119 |  |  | 107.3 | 13.4 |

Note: All smolt released at Nick Dudiak Fishing Lagoon were produced from the Ninilchik River egg-take project.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Fort R. = Fort Richardson Hatchery; Elm. = Elmendorf Hatchery.
b $\mathrm{NM}=$ no mark; $\mathrm{TM}=$ thermal mark.
c Smolt were released as freshwater-age-1 fish beginning in 2004.

Table 5.-Chinook salmon smolt released at Halibut Cove Lagoon terminal saltwater fishery, 19952008.

| Halibut Cove Lagoon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Release year | Release date | Brood year | Number ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Hatchery ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Mark type ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | CWT tag code | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { length } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{gathered}$ | Average weight (g) |
| 1995 | 13 Jun | 1994 | 37,577 | Elm. | Ad, CWT | 312430 | ND | 23.6 |
| $1996$ | 4 Jun | 1995 | 97,729 | Elm. | Ad, CWT | 312511 | ND | 18.5 |
| $1997$ | $9 \text { Jun }$ | 1996 | 78,133 | Elm. | Ad, CWT | 312558 | ND | 13.4 |
| 1998 | 12 Jun | 1997 | 65,893 | Elm. | Ad, CWT | 312632 | 114 | 17 |
| 1999 | 1 Jun | 1998 | 79,221 | Elm. | NM |  | 114 | 16.7 |
| 2000 | 1 Jun | 1999 | 83,277 | Elm. | NM |  | 114 | 16.5 |
| $2001$ | $5 \text { Jun }$ | $2000$ | 106,719 | Elm. | NM |  | 104 | 15.7 |
| 2002 | 28 May | 2001 | 106,279 | Elm. | TM |  | 104 | 12.7 |
| 2003 | 17 Jun | 2002 | 106,844 | Fort R. | TM |  | 104 | 12.5 |
| 2004 | 4 Jun | $2002^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 103,771 | Fort R. | TM |  | 107 | 13.6 |
| 2005 | 15 Jun | $2003^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 112,521 | Fort R. | TM |  | 107 | 13 |
| 2006 | 14 Jun | $2004^{d}$ | 117,549 | Fort R. | TM |  | 102 | 11.7 |
| 2007 | 13 Jun | $2005^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 54,560 | Fort R. | TM |  | 97 | 9.8 |
| 2008 | 19 Jun | $2006^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 58,674 | Fort R. | TM |  | 102 | 11.6 |
| Average (1995-2007) |  |  | 88,467 |  |  |  | 106.7 | 15.0 |

Note: All smolt released at Halibut Cove Lagoon were produced from the Ninilchik River egg-take project. ND $=$ no data.
a Number released includes smolt that had shed their coded wire tags.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Fort R. = Fort Richardson Hatchery; Elm. = Elmendorf Hatchery.
c $\mathrm{Ad}=$ adipose finclip; CWT = coded wire tag; $\mathrm{TM}=$ thermal mark; $\mathrm{NM}=$ no mark.
d Smolt were released as freshwater-age-1 fish beginning in 2004.

Table 6.-Chinook salmon smolt released at Seldovia Bay terminal saltwater fishery, 1996-2008.

| Seldovia Bay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Release year | Release date | Brood year | Number of smolt ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Hatchery ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Mark type ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | CWT tag code | Average length (mm) | Average weight (g) |
| 1996 | 12 Jun | 1995 | 118,274 | Elm. | Ad,CWT | 312510 | ND | 18.2 |
| 1997 | 6 Jun | 1996 | 103,757 | Elm. | Ad,CWT | 312557 | ND | 13.6 |
| 1998 | 9 Jun | 1997 | 69,461 | Elm. | Ad,CWT | 312631 | 109 | 13.8 |
| 1999 | 28 May | 1998 | 74,057 | Elm. | NM |  | 117 | 17.6 |
| 2000 | 6 Jun | 1999 | 68,114 | Elm. | NM |  | 119 | 19.2 |
| 2001 | 7 Jun | 2000 | 102,793 | Elm. | NM |  | 109 | 14.2 |
| 2002 | 28 May | 2001 | 83,045 | Elm. | TM |  | 107 | 13.4 |
| 2003 | 11 Jun | 2002 | 107,521 | Fort R. | TM |  | 102 | 11.4 |
| 2004 | 18 May | 2003 | 88,682 | Elm. | TM |  | 107 | 12.9 |
| 2005 | 7 Jun | $2003{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 114,984 | Fort R. | TM |  | 107 | 13.2 |
| 2006 | 30 May | $2004{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 113,974 | Fort R. | TM |  | 102 | 11.4 |
| 2007 | 5 Jun | $2005{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 54,276 | Fort R. | TM |  | 99 | 10.5 |
| 2008 | 3 Jun | $2006{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 54,464 | Fort R. | TM |  | 104 | 12.0 |
| Average (1996-2007) |  |  | 91,578 |  |  |  | 107.7 | 14.1 |

Note: All smolt released at Seldovia Bay were produced from the Ninilchik River egg-take project. ND = no data.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Number released includes smolt that had shed their coded wire tags.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Fort R. = Fort Richardson Hatchery; Elm. = Elmendorf Hatchery.
c $\mathrm{Ad}=$ adipose finclip; CWT = coded wire tag; $\mathrm{TM}=$ thermal mark; $\mathrm{NM}=$ no mark.
d Smolt were released as freshwater-age-1 fish beginning in 2005.

Table 7.-Ninilchik River Chinook salmon weir data, 1989-2008.

| Year | Weir operating dates | Chinook salmon run |  |  | Egg take mortality | CWT Chinook salmon |  | Escapement |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Component | No. of fish | \% |  | Fish recovered | Strays detected ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Fish ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \% |
| 1989 | 4-25 July | Total ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 254 |  | ND | ND | ND | ND |  |
| 1990 | 6-27 July | Total ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 315 |  | ND | ND | ND | ND |  |
| 1991 | 1-17 July | Total ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 338 |  | ND | 12 | ND | ND |  |
| 1992 | 30 June-14 July | Total ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 539 |  | ND | 59 | ND | ND |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1993 \\ & 1994 \end{aligned}$ | NL |  | NL | NL | NL | 38 | 1 | NL | NL |
|  | 7-26 July | Wild | 446 | 81 | ND | NA | NA | 446 | - |
|  |  | Hatchery-reared | $103{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 19 | ND | 43 | 0 | 60 | - |
|  |  | Total ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 549 | 100 | 125 | 43 | 0 | 381 |  |
| 1995 | 4 July-1 August | Wild | 725 | 63 | ND | NA | NA | 725 | - |
|  |  | Hatchery-reared | $425^{\text {d }}$ | 37 | ND | 135 | 0 | 290 | - |
|  |  | Total ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 1,150 | 100 | 194 | 135 | 0 | 821 |  |
| 1996 | 2-24 July | Wild | 654 | 69 | ND | NA | NA | 654 | - |
|  |  | Hatchery-reared | $290{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 31 | ND | 69 | 0 | 221 | - |
|  |  | Total ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 944 | 100 | 190 | 69 | 0 | 685 |  |
| 1997 | 1 July-11 August | Wild | 579 | 53 | ND | NA | NA | 579 | - |
|  |  |  | $517^{\text {d }}$ | 47 | ND | 181 | 2 | 336 | - |
|  |  | Total ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 1,096 | 100 | 132 | 181 | 2 | 783 |  |
| 1998 | 3 July-1 August | Wild | 536 | 53 | ND | NA | NA | 536 | 53 |
|  |  | Hatchery-reared | $466{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 47 | ND | 0 | 0 | 466 | 47 |
|  |  | Total | 1,002 | 100 | 196 | 0 | 0 | 1002 |  |
| 1999 | 18 May-13 August | Wild | 1,644 | 72 | 68 | NA | NA | 1,576 | 73 |
|  |  | Hatchery-reared | 641 | 28 | 26 | 42 | 0 | 573 | 27 |
|  |  | Total ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 2,285 | 100 | 94 | 42 | 0 | 2,149 |  |
| 2000 | 17 May-8 August | Wild | 1,634 | 66 | 81 | NA | NA | 1,553 | 69 |
|  |  | Hatchery-reared | 853 | 34 | 60 | 108 | 1 | 685 | 31 |
|  |  | Total | 2,487 | 100 | 141 | 108 | 1 | 2,238 |  |
| 2001 | 30 May-5 August | Wild | 1,414 | 68 | 175 | NA | NA | 1,239 | 70 |
|  |  | Hatchery-reared | 673 | 32 | 0 | 130 | 0 | 543 | 30 |
|  |  | Total | 2,087 | 100 | 175 | 130 | 0 | 1,782 |  |

Table 7.-Page 2 of 3.

| Year | Weir operating dates | Chinook salmon run |  |  | Egg take mortality | CWT Chinook salmon |  | Escapement |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Component | No. of fish | \% |  | Fish recovered | Strays detected ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Fish ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \% |
| 2002 | 23 May-11 August | Wild | 1,516 | 73 | 176 | NA | NA | 1,340 | 77 |
|  |  | Hatchery-reared | 559 | 27 | 55 | 109 | 0 | 395 | 23 |
|  |  | Total | 2,075 | 100 | 231 | 109 | 0 | 1,735 |  |
| 2003 | 16 May-5 August | Wild | 1,258 | 75 | 131 | NA | NA | 1,127 | 77 |
|  |  | Hatchery-reared | 425 | 25 | 52 | 37 | 5 | 336 | 23 |
|  |  | Total | 1,683 | 100 | 183 | 37 | 5 | 1,463 |  |
| 2004 | 18 May-5 August | Wild | 1,525 | 74 | 132 | NA | NA | 1,393 | 75 |
|  |  | Hatchery-reared | 536 | 26 | 0 | 67 | 1 | 469 | 25 |
|  |  | Total | 2,061 | 100 | 132 | 67 | 1 | 1,862 |  |
| 2005 | 6 May-4 August | Wild | 2,241 | 83 | 165 | NA | NA | 2,076 | 84 |
|  |  | Hatchery-reared | 462 | 17 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 409 | 16 |
|  |  | Total | 2,703 | 100 | 165 | 53 | 0 | 2,485 |  |
| 2006 | 30 June-1 August | Wild | 1,139 | 81 | 101 | NA | NA | 1,038 | 84 |
|  |  | Hatchery-reared | 273 | 19 | 35 | 34 | 1 | 204 | 16 |
|  |  | Total | 1,412 | 100 | 136 | 34 | 1 | 1,242 |  |
| 2007 | 2 July-1 August | Wild | 679 | 89 | 129 | NA | NA | 550 | 90 |
|  | July Augut | Hatchery-reared | 83 | 11 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 10 |
|  | - - | Total | 762 | 100 | 149 | 0 | 0 | 613 |  |
| 2008 | 30 June-7 August | Wild | 772 | 88 | 140 | NA | NA | 632 | 90 |
|  | - | Hatchery-reared | 101 | 12 | 30 | 0 | 0 | $70^{\text {g }}$ | 10 |
|  |  | Total | 873 | 100 | 170 | 0 | 0 | $702^{\text {g }}$ |  |
| Averages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-2005 |  | Wild | 1,605 | 73 | 133 | NA | NA | 1,472 | 75 |
|  |  | Hatchery-reared | 593 | 27 | 28 | 78 | 1 | 487 | 25 |
|  |  | Total | 2,197 | 100 | 160 | 78 | 1 | 1,959 |  |
| 2006-2007 |  | Wild | 909 | 84 | 115 | NA | NA | 794 | 86 |
|  |  | Hatchery-reared | 178 | 16 | 28 | 17 | 1 | 134 | 14 |
|  |  | Total | 1,087 | 100 | 143 | 17 | 1 | 928 |  |

-continued-
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Note: NL = no data located; ND = no data; " - " = value cannot be computed due to limitations of the data; NA = not applicable.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Number of Chinook salmon strays from other drainages that were recovered in Ninilchik River. Note: the number of strays are included in the coded wire tag (CWT) recovered total.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Chinook salmon escapement $=$ [total run - (egg take mortality + CWT recovered $)$ ].
c Number of wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon used in egg take unavailable; therefore total escapement does not account for mortality.
${ }^{d}$ Number of hatchery-reared Chinook salmon in the weir counts were expanded by the percent of CWT fish.
${ }^{e}$ Number of wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon used in egg take unavailable.
f Run includes the 31 wild and 38 hatchery-reared Chinook salmon that were captured in nets below the weir.
g Escapement was subtracted by additional fish that died in the live box.

Table 8.-Number and escapement of wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon counted at the Ninilchik River weir during the SEG index monitoring period, 1999-2008.

| Year | Wild Chinook salmon |  |  |  | Hatchery-reared Chinook salmon |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SEG period ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Escapement \% of run | Total <br> run | SEG period ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Escapement $\%$ of run |
|  | Total run | Weir counts ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Escapement counts ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | Weir counts | Escapement counts ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| 1999 | 1,576 | 1,351 | 1,283 | 81.4 | 573 | 515 | 447 | 78.0 |
| 2000 | 1,553 | 1,346 | 1,265 | 81.5 | 685 | 786 | 618 | 90.2 |
| 2001 | 1,239 | 1,072 | 897 | 72.4 | 543 | 601 | 471 | 86.7 |
| 2002 | 1,340 | 1,073 | 897 | 66.9 | 395 | 403 | 238 | 60.3 |
| 2003 | 1,127 | 648 | 517 | 45.9 | 336 | 293 | 204 | 60.7 |
| 2004 | 1,393 | 811 | 679 | 48.7 | 469 | 409 | 342 | 72.9 |
| 2005 | 2,076 | 1,424 | 1,259 | 60.6 | 409 | 339 | 286 | 69.9 |
| 2006 | ND | 1,114 | 1,013 | - | ND | 260 | 191 | - |
| 2007 | ND | 672 | 543 | - | ND | 83 | 63 | - |
| 2008 | ND | 721 | 586 | - | ND | 83 | 62 | - |
| Average 1999-2005 | 1,472 | 1,104 | 971 | 65 | 487 | 478 | 372 | 74 |
| Average 2006-2007 |  | 893 | 778 |  |  | 172 | 127 |  |

Note: ND = no data, " - " = value cannot be calculated due to limitations of the data.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ SEG = Sustainable Escapement Goal established in 2007 based on weir counts July 3-July 31, 1999-2007.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Weir counts are the number of Chinook salmon that arrive to the weir during the SEG period.
c Escapement counts are [weir counts - (sacrificed for egg take + CWT recovered)].

Table 9.-Summary of non-targeted species captured at the Ninilchik River weir, 1999-2008.

|  | Species |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Dolly Varden | Pink salmon | Chum salmon | Sockeye salmon | Coho salmon | Steelhead trout |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 | 134 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | 309 | 369 | 0 | 707 | 20 | 0 |
| 2002 | 723 | 21 | 12 | 150 | 18 | 0 |
| 2003 | 175 | 101 | 2 | 19 | 15 | 0 |
| 2004 | 181 | 27 | 9 | 16 | 0 | 2 |
| 2005 | 429 | 275 | 4 | 45 | 14 | 1 |
| 2006 | 435 | 68 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 2 |
| 2007 | 201 | 35 | 14 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| 2008 | 135 | 28 | 4 | 14 | 80 | 1 |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1999-2005$ | 279 | 118 | 4 | 177 | 10 | 0 |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2006-2007$ | 318 | 52 | 13 | 5 | 6 | 2 |

Table 10.-Average, maximum, and minimum water temperature, discharge and stage height for Ninilchik River during the SEG index monitoring period, 3-31 July, 1999-2008.

| Year | Ninilchik River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | River temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |  |  | $\text { Discharge }\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ |  |  | Stage height (ft) |  |  |
|  | Average | Maximum | Minimum | Average | Maximum | Minimum | Average | Maximum | Minimum |
| 1999 | ND | ND | ND | 63 | 101 | 52 | ND | ND | ND |
| 2000 | 12 | 19 | 9 | 97 | 199 | 59 | ND | ND | ND |
| 2001 | 13 | 17 | 10 | 101 | 197 | 58 | ND | ND | ND |
| 2002 | 14 | 18 | 11 | 64 | 115 | 46 | ND | ND | ND |
| 2003 | 15 | 20 | 11 | 66 | 129 | 54 | ND | ND | ND |
| 2004 | 14 | $19$ | $10$ | 71 | $106$ | 54 | 3.17 | 3.45 | 3.00 |
| $2005$ | 14 | $19$ | $11$ | $72$ | $99$ | 60 | $3.18$ | 3.40 | $3.07$ |
| $2006$ | 12 | $16$ | $9$ | $84$ | $113$ | $73$ | 3.30 | $3.50$ | $3.20$ |
| $2007$ | 12 | $17$ | $9$ | $73$ | $99$ | $58$ | 3.19 | 3.40 | 3.05 |
| $2008$ | 11 | $17$ | 8 | $130$ | $336$ | $68$ | 3.53 | $4.45$ | $3.15$ |
| 1999-2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 13 | 18 | 10 | 77 | 129 | 57 | 3.21 | 3.44 | 3.08 |
| Minimum | 12 | 16 | 9 | 63 | 99 | 46 | 3.17 | 3.40 | 3.00 |
| Maximum | 15 | 20 | 11 | 101 | 199 | 73 | 3.30 | 3.50 | 3.20 |

Source: Temperature data collected at the NR-2 site by Sue Mauger of Cook Inletkeeper; provisional discharge data collected by the National Weather Service Alaska Pacific Weather Forecast Center.
Note: ND = No Data.

Table 11.-Estimated ocean age and length-at-ocean age of wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon runs, Ninilchik River weir, 2008.

|  | Wild |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ocean age |  |  |  |  | Total | Sex composition | UR ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Ocean age |  |  |  | Total | Sex composition |
|  | UR ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 18 | 0 | 11 | 40 | 4 | 73 | 402 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 28 | 2 | 44 | 58 |
| Estimated percent |  | 0.0 | 9.6 | 38.1 | 4.4 |  | 52.1 |  | 0.0 | 10.9 | 43.4 | 3.1 |  | 57.4 |
| SE percent |  | 0.0 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 2.1 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 3.1 | 4.6 | 1.7 |  | 0.0 |
| Estimated abundance ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 0 | 74 | 294 | 34 | 402 |  |  | 0 | 11 | 44 | 3 |  |  |
| SE abundance |  | 0.0 | 20.2 | 24.2 | 16.2 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 1.3 |  |  |
| Mean length |  | NA | 731 | 797 | 903 | 787 |  |  |  | 748 | 809 | 850 | 798 |  |
| SE length |  |  | 10.7 | 6.0 | 15.8 | 5.7 |  |  |  | 13.3 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 2.9 |  |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 23 | 5 | 22 | 43 | 10 | 103 | 370 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 43 |
| Estimated percent |  | 3.4 | 13.0 | 24.7 | 6.8 |  | 47.9 |  | 16.6 | 23.7 | 2.4 | 0.0 |  | 42.6 |
| SE percent |  | 1.3 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 1.9 |  | 0.0 |  | 3.1 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Estimated abundance ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 26 | 101 | 191 | 52 | 370 |  |  | 17 | 24 | 2 | 0 |  |  |
| SE abundance |  | 10.4 | 16.9 | 19.1 | 14.3 |  |  |  | 3.9 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Mean length |  | 527 | 724 | 820 | 899 | 768 |  |  | 431 | 697 | 820 |  | 602 |  |
| SE length |  | 30.8 | 14.0 | 7.2 | 8.9 | 12.0 |  |  | 37.7 | 16.4 | 0.0 |  | 24.5 |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 41 | 5 | 33 | 83 | 14 | 176 | 772 | 10 | 7 | 17 | 29 | 2 | 65 | 101 |
| Estimated percent |  | 3.4 | 22.6 | 62.9 | 11.1 |  |  |  | 16.6 | 34.5 | 45.8 | 3.1 |  |  |
| SE percent |  | 2.1 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 3.4 |  |  |  | 3.8 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 1.3 |  |  |
| Estimated abundance ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 35 | 173 | 479 | 85 | 772 |  |  | 17 | 35 | 46 | 3 | 101 |  |
| SE abundance |  | 14.6 | 24.8 | 30.8 | 20.5 |  |  |  | 3.9 | 4.6 | 3.1 | 1.3 |  |  |
| Jacks counted |  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean length |  | 527 | 723 | 808 | 899 | 778 |  |  | 431 | 713 | 810 | 850 | 730 |  |
| SE length |  | NA | 7.7 | 4.2 | 11.2 | 6.3 |  |  | 37.7 | 12.5 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 |  |

a UR = unreadable scale samples.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Number sampled for age and length data.
c Estimated abundances were calculated using the rounded estimated percent presented in this table.

Table 12.-Estimated annual age composition (percent of total) for wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon from the Ninilchik River, 1997-2008.

| Year | Wild |  |  |  | Hatchery |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ocean age |  |  |  | Ocean age |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1997 | 0.9 | 9.1 | 85.5 | 4.5 | 12.2 | 34.5 | 45.0 | 8.3 |
| 1998 | 1.5 | 33.5 | 36.1 | 28.9 | 7.8 | 29.8 | 53.9 | 8.5 |
| 1999 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 46.7 | 16.9 | 2.5 | 53.5 | 33.8 | 10.2 |
| 2000 | 2.3 | 10.5 | 59.3 | 27.9 | 4.6 | 26.7 | 60.8 | 7.9 |
| 2001 | 0.9 | 40.6 | 41.5 | 17.0 | 8.1 | 41.4 | 37.9 | 12.6 |
| 2002 | 3.0 | 39.1 | 52.3 | 5.6 | 19.4 | 33.0 | 46.6 | 1.0 |
| 2003 | 1.1 | 26.9 | 60.0 | 12.0 | 9.7 | 41.7 | 47.2 | 1.4 |
| 2004 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 50.3 | 28.7 | 1.8 | 31.9 | 62.8 | 3.5 |
| 2005 | 6.2 | 18.2 | 68.2 | 7.4 | 13.0 | 12.2 | 67.5 | 7.3 |
| 2006 | 9.3 | 30.0 | 40.0 | 20.7 | 32.8 | 32.8 | 22.3 | 11.9 |
| 2007 | 6.1 | 24.2 | 54.5 | 15.2 | 26.3 | 31.6 | 42.1 | 0.0 |
| 2008 | 3.4 | 22.6 | 62.9 | 11.1 | 16.6 | 34.5 | 45.8 | 3.1 |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-2007 | 3.2 | 27.4 | 52.5 | 16.8 | 13.1 | 33.9 | 46.8 | 6.2 |

Table 13.-Coded wire tag (CWT) data from hatchery-reared Chinook salmon recovered at Ninilchik River weir, 2008.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CWT } \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | Brood year | Release |  | Number of samples | CWT-age ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Ocean age based on scale samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ age estimate |  |  | $2^{\text {nd }}$ age estimate |  |  | resolved age estimate |  |  |
|  |  | Date | Site |  | Fresh | Ocean | Corr ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Incorr ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Unaged ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Corr ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Incorr ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Unaged ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Corr ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Incorr ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Unaged $^{\text {d }}$ |
|  |  | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | May | Ninilchik |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 310341 | 2003 | 2005 | River | 10 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 |
| No tag ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total |  |  |  | 13 |  |  | 8 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 |

Note: "-" = value not applicable.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Fresh and ocean ages were determined by comparing brood year, release year, and recovery year.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Number of scale samples where age matched CWT age.
c Number of scale samples where age did not matched CWT age.
${ }^{d}$ Number of scale samples that were not aged due to un-readable scales.
e CWT was not detected from Chinook salmon samples missing adipose fins.

Table 14.-Ninilchik River Chinook salmon egg take dates, number of females spawned, fecundity, and percent survival to the eyed stage, 1999-2008.

| Year | Hatchery | Egg take <br> date | Females spawned $^{\text {a }}$ | Max. water temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Fecundity ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Green egg estimates at |  | Eyed eggs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Assumed | Actual | Egg take | Eyed stage | Total | \% survival |
| 1999 | Ft. Richardson | 7 Jul | 6 | ND | 6,000 | 6,399 | 36,000 | 38,396 | 34,707 | 90.4 |
| 1999 | Ft. Richardson | 14 Jul | 23 | ND | 6,000 | 6,380 | 138,000 | 146,734 | 124,751 | 85.0 |
| 1999 | Ft. Richardson | 21 Jul | 41 | ND | 6,000 | 6,179 | 246,000 | 253,329 | 217,827 | 86.0 |
| 1999 | Ft. Richardson | 27 Jul | 19 | ND | 6,000 | 5,630 | 114,000 | 106,970 | 98,492 | 92.1 |
| Average |  |  | 22 | ND | 6,000 | 6,147 | 133,500 | 136,357 | 118,944 |  |
| Total |  |  | 89 |  |  |  | 534,000 | 545,429 | 475,777 | 87.2 |
| 2000 | Ft. Richardson | 7 Jul | 8 | 14 | 5,591 | 5,533 | 44,726 | 44,267 | 35,496 | 80.2 |
| 2000 | Ft. Richardson | 17 Jul | 10 | 14 | 5,381 | 5,660 | 53,815 | 56,598 | 49,257 | 87.0 |
| 2000 | Ft. Richardson | 24 Jul | 36 | 12 | 5,421 | 5,663 | 195,174 | 203,876 | 161,326 | 79.1 |
| 2000 | Ft. Richardson | 28 Jul | 24 | 14 | 5,400 | 5,900 | 129,600 | 141,606 | 127,624 | 90.1 |
| 2000 | Ft. Richardson | 28 Jul | 41 | 14 | 5,400 | 5,794 | 221,400 | 237,536 | 214,659 | 90.4 |
| Average |  |  | 24 | 14 | 5,439 | 5,710 | 128,943 | 136,777 | 117,672 |  |
| Total |  |  | 119 |  |  |  | 644,715 | 683,883 | 588,362 | 86.0 |
| 2001 | Ft. Richardson | 10 Jul | 7 | 14 | 5,793 | 5,680 | 40,551 | 39,757 | 26,050 | 65.5 |
| 2001 | Ft. Richardson | 17 Jul | 56 | 16 | 5,793 | 5,843 | 324,408 | 327,181 | 241,786 | 73.9 |
| 2001 | Ft. Richardson | 25 Jul | 42 | 15 | 5,793 | 6,365 | 243,306 | 267,331 | 237,211 | 88.7 |
| Average |  |  | 35 | 15 | 5,793 | 5,962 | 202,755 | 211,423 | 168,349 |  |
| Total |  |  | 105 |  |  |  | 608,265 | 634,269 | 505,047 | 79.6 |
| 2002 | Ft. Richardson | 12 Jul | 6 | 18 | 6,000 | 5,852 | 36,000 | 35,109 | 21,112 | 60.1 |
| 2002 | Ft. Richardson | 16 Jul | 11 | 15 | 6,000 | 5,331 | 66,000 | 58,644 | 45,700 | 77.9 |
| 2002 | Ft. Richardson | 23 Jul | 12 | 14 | 6,000 | 5,937 | 72,000 | 71,241 | 60,738 | 85.3 |
| 2002 | Ft. Richardson | 26 Jul | 36 | 13 | 6,000 | 5,576 | 216,000 | 200,753 | 164,910 | 82.1 |
| 2002 | Ft. Richardson | 30 Jul | 32 | 18 | 6,000 | 5,771 | 192,000 | 184,672 | 162,332 | 87.9 |
| 2002 | Ft. Richardson | 2 Aug | 17 | 18 | 6,000 | 5,884 | 102,000 | 100,032 | 84,357 | 84.3 |
| 2002 | Elemendorf | 19 Jul | 16 | 14 | 5,888 | 6,160 | 94,200 | 98,557 | 30,150 | 30.6 |
| 2002 | Elemendorf | 23 Jul | 12 | 14 | 5,269 | 5,863 | 63,232 | 70,350 | 28,140 | 40.0 |
| 2002 | Elemendorf | 26 Jul | 35 | 13 | 4,900 | 4,767 | 171,520 | 166,830 | 123,280 | 73.9 |
| 2002 | Elemendorf | 30 Jul | 32 | 18 | 4,950 | 5,825 | 158,388 | 186,394 | 138,288 | 74.2 |
| 2002 | Elemendorf | 2 Aug | 17 | 18 | 4,035 | 4,997 | 68,608 | 84,956 | 41,540 | 48.9 |
| Average |  |  | 21 | 16 | 5,549 | 5,633 | 112,723 | 114,322 | 81,868 |  |
| Total |  |  | 226 |  |  |  | 1,239,948 | 1,257,538 | 900,547 | 71.6 |

-continued-

Table 14.-Page 2 of 2.

|  | Hatchery | Egg take <br> date | Females spawned $^{\text {a }}$ | Max. water temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Fecundity ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Green egg estimates at |  | Eyed eggs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  |  |  |  | Assumed | Actual | Egg take | Eyed stage | Total | \% survival |
| 2003 | Ft. Richardson | 22 Jul | 27 | 18 | 5,800 | 6,323 | 156,600 | 170,723 | 147,530 | 86.4 |
| 2003 | Ft. Richardson | 29 Jul | 55 | 13 | 5,800 | 6,240 | 319,000 | 343,177 | 293,695 | 85.6 |
| 2003 | Ft. Richardson | 1 Aug | 41 | 17 | 5,800 | 6,703 | 237,800 | 274,834 | 249,242 | 90.7 |
| 2003 | Elemendorf | 17 Jul | 27 | 15 | 7,128 | 7,251 | 182,764 | 195,774 | 153,162 | 78.2 |
| Average |  |  | 38 | 16 | 6,132 | 6,629 | 224,041 | 246,127 | 210,907 |  |
| Total |  |  | 150 |  |  |  | 896,164 | 984,508 | 843,629 | 85.7 |
| 2004 | Ft. Richardson | 15 Jul | 3 | 16 | 6,000 | 5,005 | 18,000 | 15,016 | 7,186 | 47.9 |
| 2004 | Ft. Richardson | 20 Jul | 26 | 14 | 6,000 | 5,941 | 156,000 | 154,461 | 110,634 | 71.6 |
| 2004 | Ft. Richardson | 26 Jul | 57 | 12 | 6,000 | 6,139 | 343,000 | 349,937 | 319,414 | 91.3 |
| 2004 | Ft. Richardson | 30 Jul | 40 | 13 | 6,000 | 5,396 | 240,000 | 215,859 | 195,000 | 90.3 |
| Average |  |  | 32 | 14 | 6,000 | 5,620 | 189,250 | 183,818 | 158,059 |  |
| Total |  |  | 126 |  |  |  | 757,000 | 735,273 | 632,234 | 86.0 |
| 2005 | Ft. Richardson | 20 Jul | 14 | 16 | 5,811 | 4,968 | 81,354 | 69,550 | 56,165 | 80.8 |
| 2005 | Ft. Richardson | 26 Jul | 60 | 14 | 5,972 | 5,375 | 358,320 | 322,470 | 284,845 | 88.3 |
| 2005 | Ft. Richardson | 2 Aug | 31 | 12 | 5,972 | 5,365 | 185,132 | 166,324 | 154,087 | 92.6 |
| Average |  |  | 35 | 14 | 5,918 | 5,236 | 208,269 | 186,115 | 165,032 |  |
| Total |  |  | 105 |  |  |  | 624,806 | 558,344 | 495,097 | 88.7 |
| 2006 | Ft. Richardson | 19 Jul | 44 | 11 | 5,858 | 6,359 | 279,796 | 267,527 | 229,151 | 86.0 |
| 2006 | Ft. Richardson | 26 Jul | 47 | 11 | 5,858 | 5,142 | 241,674 | 277,003 | 259,843 | 94.0 |
| 2006 | Ft. Richardson | 1 Aug | 11 | 12 | 5,858 | 4,295 | 47,245 | 51,845 | 49,200 | 95.0 |
| Average |  |  | 102 | 11 | 5,858 | 5,265 | 189,572 | 198,792 | 179,398 |  |
| Total |  | 102 |  |  |  |  | 568,715 | 596,375 | 538,194 | 90.2 |
| 2007 | Ft. Richardson | 23 Jul | 30 | 11 | 6,630 | 5,934 | 192,270 | 172,096 | 159,808 | 92.9 |
| ${ }^{2007}$ Average ${ }^{\text {tt. Richardson }}$ |  | 30 Jul | 62 | 17 | 6,219 | 6,015 | 372,924 | 372,924 | 319,194 | 85.6 |
|  |  | 46 | 14 | 6,425 | 5,975 | 282,597 | 272,510 | 239,501 |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 92 |  |  | 565,194 | 545,020 | 479,002 | 87.9 |
| 2008 | Ft. Richardson | 28 Jul | 10 | 12 | 4,045 | 6,048 | 40,450 | 54,429 | 40,564 | 74.5 |
| 2008 | Ft. Richardson | 5 Aug | 78 | 14 | 6,175 | 5,122 | 481,650 | 399,542 | 325,147 | 81.4 |
| 2008 | Ft. Richardson | 8 Aug | 14 | 15 | 5,636 | 5,017 | 78,904 | 70,243 | 65,377 | 93.1 |
|  |  |  | 34 | 14 | 5,285 | 5,396 | 200,335 | 174,738 | 143,696 |  |
| Tot |  |  | 102 |  |  |  | 601,004 | 524,214 | 431,088 | 82.2 |
|  | ge (1999-2007) |  | 115 | 14 | 5,902 | 5,798 | 715,423 | 726,738 | 606,432 | 84.8 |

Note: $\mathrm{ND}=$ no data collected.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Only ripe females were counted, and this number does not necessarily match the number of fish sacrificed during the egg take.
b Number of green eggs per female.

Table 15.-Ninilchik River wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon inriver harvest and catch reported in freshwater sport fish guide logbooks for regulatory 3-day weekend and July fisheries, 2008.


[^4]${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Closed to the harvest of wild Chinook salmon.

## FIGURES



Figure 1.-Map of Kenai Peninsula highway system, Ninilchik River, and Kachemak Bay Chinook salmon stocking locations, 1999-2008.
${ }^{a}$ Stocking locations for Ninilchik River Chinook salmon broodstock.


Figure 2.-Map of Ninilchik River sampling locations, 2008.


Figure 3.-Statewide harvest survey estimates of catch and harvest of Chinook salmon in the Ninilchik River, 1999-2008.


Figure 4.-The configuration of the Ninilchik River weirs and location of the broodstock holding area, 2008.


Figure 5.-Comparison of Ninilchik River Chinook salmon weir counts during the sustainable escapement goal (SEG) index monitoring period (July 3-31) with the upper and lower boundaries of the SEG range, 1999-2008.


Figure 6.-Run timing cumulative percent of wild (top) and hatchery-reared (bottom) components of the Chinook salmon weir counts during SEG index monitoring period, 1999-2008.


Figure 7.-Daily comparison of Ninilchik River Chinook salmon weir counts with average water temperature (top), discharge (middle), and tide height (bottom), 30 June-7 August 2008.


Figure 8.-Ninilchik River wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon estimated ocean age compositions for female (top), male (middle), and combined (bottom) Chinook salmon sexes, 2008.

# APPENDIX A: TIMELINES FOR NINILCHIK RIVER CHINOOK SALMON SUPPLEMENTATION AND MONITORING PROGRAM 

Appendix A1.-Ninilchik River Chinook salmon supplementation program timeline.

| Year(s) | Supplementation |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1987 | Supplementation program initiated with Ninilchik River Chinook salmon. Site <br> selected at 7.7 river kilometers (RKM) (Brody Road bridge) upstream from the <br> mouth of Ninilchik River for first egg take. The site was selected because of the <br> availability of spawning Chinook salmon and it was accessible by road. Nets <br> used to capture Chinook salmon for egg takes. Fertilized eggs transported to <br> hatchery and reared to smolt. |
| 1988 | Egg take conducted in similar fashion to 1987. First year smolt were stocked <br> into the Ninilchik River (~20\% were adipose-clipped and coded-wire-tagged). <br> All smolt were released in the harbor. |
| 1989 | Broodstock weir began operating only in July at Garrison Road bridge (~3 RKM) <br> to capture Chinook salmon for egg takes; a seine was used to force fish into the <br> trap because they refused to move upstream. A containment area was also <br> created to hold fish so they could ripen. Fertilized eggs transported to hatchery <br> and reared to smolt. Smolt released and quantity split equally between Brody <br> Road bridge and Sterling Highway bridge. |
| $1990-1992$ | Broodstock weir was moved upstream to Brody Road bridge (RKM 7.7) and <br> operated only in July. A containment area was also created to hold fish so they <br> could ripen. All smolt released at Brody Road bridge. |
| $1993-1994$ | Genetic policy enacted to require that 60 wild pairs be spawned for Ninilchik <br> River stocking. Separated wild from hatchery-reared fish for egg take. <br> Broodstock weir, egg takes, and stocking conducted similar to 1990. All smolt <br> released at Brody Road bridge. |
| $1995-1998$ | Beginning in 1995, Ninilchik River stocking rate was reduced to ~50,000 smolt <br> and coded wire tag (CWT) rate increased to 100\%. In 1995 and 1996 smolt <br> released in harbor, thereafter all Ninilchik River smolt released at Brody Road <br> bridge. Program expanded to use smolt from Ninilchik River to support terminal <br> saltwater fisheries in Kachemak Bay. Broodstock weir and egg takes were <br> conducted similar to 1990. |
| $1999-2008$ | 100\% of adult hatchery-reared Chinook salmon observed at the broodstock weir <br> were visually indentified by an adipose finclip. Broodstock weir operated <br> throughout the entire run with a holding area only established in July. Egg takes <br> used hatchery-reared fish for saltwater stocking locations. Stocking was <br> conducted similar to 1995. All Ninilchik River smolt released at Brody Road <br> bridge. |

Appendix A2.-Ninilchik River Chinook salmon escapement monitoring timeline.

| Year (s) | Escapement Monitoring |
| :---: | :--- |
| $1962-1973$ | Annual Chinook salmon escapement estimated with a combination aerial and <br> ground index survey. Survey conducted once annually over a standard length <br> of river. Aerial surveys were done from a fixed wing aircraft (super cub). Foot <br> surveys were conducted in only a subsection from the Sterling Highway bridge <br> upstream approximately 9 RKM (upstream of Brody road). If the foot survey <br> counts were greater than the aerial counts in the subsection, the total aerial <br> count was expanded by the difference. No surveys were conducted for several <br> years due to poor viewing conditions. |
| 1974 | Aerial survey conducted with both fixed and rotary wing aircraft. Escapement <br> estimate produced in similar fashion to 1962-1973. |
| $1976-1988$ | Subsection for ground survey reduced to 7.7 RKM above mouth at Brody Road <br> Bridge. Escapement estimate produced in similar fashion to 1962-1973. |
| 1989 | Rotary wing aircraft replaces fixed wing aircraft as the viewing platform for all <br> aerial surveys. Escapement estimate produced in similar fashion to 1962-1973. |
| In addition to the aerial and foot survey, escapement data were <br> opportunistically collected from broodstock weir located at Garrison Road <br> Bridge (approximately 3 RKM). Weir was not operated over the entire run. |  |
| $1990-1993$ | In addition to the aerial and foot survey, escapement data were <br> opportunistically collected from broodstock weir located at Brody Road <br> Bridge. No attempt was made to identify and enumerate hatchery-reared fish. <br> Weir was not operated over the entire run. |
| 1994 | In addition to the aerial and foot survey, escapement counts at broodstock weir <br> were used to estimate the number of wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon. <br> The annual estimate of hatchery-reared Chinook salmon was based on the <br> percent of adipose-clipped fish counted at the weir, the percent of each brood <br> year detected at the weir through CWT recoveries, and the percentage that each <br> brood year was adipose-clipped. Wild counts equaled the difference between <br> the total number of Chinook salmon counted at the broodstock weir and the <br> hatchery-reared estimate. Weir was not operated over the entire run. |
| $1995-1998$ | Foot survey discontinued because counts didn't appear to have a relationship to <br> escapement-likely due to poor visibility. Escapement was monitored at the <br> broodstock weir similar to 1994.. |
| total run. |  |

Appendix A3.-Ninilchik River Chinook salmon sport harvest monitoring and escapement goal timelines.

| Year (s) | Sport Harvest |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1977- <br> present | Alaska Statewide Harvest Survey conducted, which produced estimates of total catch and harvest for Chinook salmon in the Ninilchik River. |
| 1991-1993 | Creel surveys of freshwater harvest were conducted to estimate the hatcheryreared harvest. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1994-1996 \\ \& \\ 2000-2003 \end{gathered}$ | Inriver harvest sampling was conducted to estimate the percentage of hatcheryreared fish in the harvest. |
| 2006 | Inriver harvest sampling was conducted throughout the area open for sport fishing to estimate the percentage of hatchery-reared fish in the harvest. |
| 2007 | Beach seine surveys and floy tagging conducted throughout the area open for sport fishing to estimate the percentage of hatchery-reared fish in the inriver Chinook salmon run below RKM 3.2. |
| Year (s) | Escapement Goals |
| 1993-1997 | First escapement goal adopted (Biological Escapement Goal [BEG] $=830$ wild Chinook salmon, which was based on average annual aerial and foot survey average counts and expanded estimates from 1966 to 1969 and 1977 to 1991). |
| 1998 | BEG range of 500 to 900 wild Chinook salmon was adopted, which was based on historic aerial survey counts and their relationship to the sport harvest. |
| 2001-2006 | Escapement goal policy adopted, and BEG was replaced with a Sustainable Escapement Goal (SEG) range of 400 to 850 wild Chinook salmon calculated from 7 years (1994 to 2000) of weir counts collected from July 8 through July 24. |
| 2007 | SEG with a range of 550 to 1,300 wild fish and a new index monitoring period (July 3-31) was adopted. The SEG was calculated using the percentile method (Bue and Hasbrouck Unpublished) and is based on the wild escapement above the weir during the index monitoring period from 1999 through 2007. The SEG period increased the number of monitoring days by 12 at no additional costs. |

Appendix A4.-Ninilchik River Chinook salmon freshwater fishing regulations and emergency orders timelines.

| Year | Chinook salmon Fishing Regulations <br> Assume the regulations are carried forward unless otherwise stated. Chinook salmon may be referred to as "king salmon" or "kings." |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1977 | Harvest Recording Requirement. |
|  | Open period: 4 two-day weekend openings beginning in the last week of May. |
|  | Open area: mouth upstream 2 miles |
|  | Season limit: 5 kings from fresh and salt water combined. |
|  | Bag and size limit: 1 king salmon 20 inches (in) or larger; 10 kings under 20 in. |
| 1978 | Open period changed to 3 three-day weekend openings beginning in the last week of May. |
| 1985 | Bag and size limit: 1 king salmon 16 in or larger; 10 kings under 16 in . |
| 2001 | Bag and size limit: 1 king salmon 20 in or larger; 10 kings under 20 in. |
| 2005 | Bag and size limit: 2 king salmon 20 in or larger, of which only 1 can be wild; 10 kings under 20 in. |
|  | A person may not fillet, mutilate, or otherwise disfigure a king salmon in a manner that prevents determination whether the fish is a wild or hatchery fish until the person has stopped fishing in the Ninilchik River drainage for the day and has moved more than 100 yards away from the Ninilchik River. |
| 2007 | Extended open season for hatchery fish from July 1 through December 31. |
| Year | Emergency Orders (EOs) |
| 1991 | EO: added a fourth 3-day weekend (June 15, 16, 17). |
|  | EO: extended the fishery to from June 17 to June 24. |
| 1992 | EO: extended fishery by 10 days. |
| 1993 | EO: opened the fishery continuously from June 15 through June 28. |
| 1994 | EO: opened the fishery continuously from June 14 through June 27. |
| 1995 | EO: extended fishery by 14 days. |
| 1996 | EO 2-KS-1-20-96 extended the king salmon fishery on the Ninilchik River on a continual basis effective June 15, 12:01 AM through Monday June 24, 1996, 11:59PM. |
| 2001 | EO 2-KS-7-05-02 opened the Ninilchik River downstream of the regulatory marker for an additional 3-day weekend, June 16, 2001, 12:01AM to June 18, 2001, 11:59 PM. |

Year Emergency Orders
2002 EO 2-KS-7-08-02 opened the Ninilchik River from its mouth to the downstream edge of the Sterling Highway Bridge, from Saturday, June 15, 12:01 AM to Monday, June 17, 11:59 PM, to sport fishing for hatchery king salmon only. The daily bag and possession limit was 1 fish 20 inches or greater in length or 10 fish under 20 inches. Only unbaited artificial lures were permitted.
2003 EO 2-KS-7-03-03 opened the Ninilchik River from its mouth to the downstream edge of the Sterling Highway Bridge, from Saturday, June 14, 2003, 12:01 AM, to Monday, June 30, 2003, 11:59 PM to sport fishing for hatchery Chinook salmon only. The daily bag and possession limit was 1 fish 20 inches or greater in length and 10 fish under 20 inches. Use of only one single hook was allowed.
2004 EO 2-KS-7-03-04 opened the Ninilchik River from its mouth upstream to the regulatory marker located approximately 2 miles upstream, to fishing for hatchery king salmon 7 days per week. Bait was allowed. Only one, single hook could be used. A person could not possess a king salmon that had been filleted, headed, mutilated, or otherwise disfigured in a manner that prevented identification of hatchery or wild origin until permanently transported away from the fishing site if the fish was taken from the riverbank. "Fishing site" meant the riverbank where the fish was hooked and removed from the water. The emergency order was effective 12:01 AM, Saturday, May 29, 2004 until 11:59 PM. December 31, 2004.
2006 EO 2-KS-7-12-06 opened the Ninilchik River from its mouth upstream to the regulatory markers located approximately 2 miles upstream, from Wednesday, June 14, 12:01 AM to Friday, July 14, 11:59 PM, to fishing for hatchery king salmon. Hatchery king salmon can be recognized by the healed adipose finclip scar. Anglers were prohibited from removing king salmon with an adipose fin from the water and were required to release them immediately. The daily bag and possession limit was 2 hatchery king salmon 20 inches or greater in length and 10 hatchery king salmon under 20 inches. Fish 20 inches or greater in length must be recorded on the back of the fishing license or harvest record card. Bait was allowed. Use of only one single hook was allowed.
2007 EO 2-KS-7-06-07 opened the Ninilchik River from its mouth upstream to the regulatory markers located approximately 2 miles upstream, from Saturday, May 26, 12:01 AM to Sunday, July 15, 11:59 PM, to fishing for hatchery king salmon. The daily bag and possession limit was 2 hatchery king salmon 20 inches or greater in length and 10 hatchery king salmon under 20 inches. Fish 20 inches or greater in length must be recorded on the back of the fishing license or harvest record card. Bait was allowed. Use of only one single hook was allowed.

## APPENDIX B: NINILCHIK RIVER CHINOOK SALMON WEIR COUNTS, 2008

Appendix B1.-Daily and cumulative weir counts of wild and hatchery-reared Chinook salmon, Ninilchik River weir, 2008.

| Date |  | Wild |  |  | Hatchery-reared |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Cumulative |  | Daily | Cumulative |  | Daily | Cumulative |  |
|  |  | Daily | Number | \% |  | Number | \% |  | Number | \% |
| 30 Jun |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 Jul |  | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 2 Jul |  | 7 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 1 |
| 3 Jul | a | 2 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 14 | 2 |
| 4 Jul | a | 1 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 2 |
| 5 Jul | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7 | 21 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 23 | 3 |
| 6 Jul | a | 4 | 25 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 28 | 3 |
| 7 Jul | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5 | 30 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 33 | 4 |
| 8 Jul | a | 5 | 35 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 38 | 4 |
| 9 Jul | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8 | 43 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 46 | 5 |
| 10 Jul | a | 5 | 48 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 51 | 6 |
| 11 Jul | a | 11 | 59 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 62 | 7 |
| 12 Jul | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 12 | 71 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 75 | 9 |
| 13 Jul | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5 | 76 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 80 | 9 |
| 14 Jul | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 25 | 101 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 25 | 105 | 12 |
| 15 Jul | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 29 | 130 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 29 | 134 | 15 |
| 16 Jul | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 16 | 146 | 19 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 16 | 150 | 17 |
| 17 Jul | a,b | 254 | 400 | 52 | 7 | 11 | 11 | 261 | 411 | 47 |
| 18 Jul | a | 74 | 474 | 61 | 15 | 26 | 26 | 89 | 500 | 57 |
| 19 Jul | a | 13 | 487 | 63 | 5 | 31 | 31 | 18 | 518 | 59 |
| 20 Jul | a | 19 | 506 | 66 | 4 | 35 | 35 | 23 | 541 | 62 |
| 21 Jul | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 12 | 518 | 67 | 5 | 40 | 40 | 17 | 558 | 64 |
| 22 Jul | a, c | 6 | 524 | 68 | 2 | 42 | 42 | 8 | 566 | 65 |
| 23 Jul | a | 57 | 581 | 75 | 6 | 48 | 48 | 63 | 629 | 72 |
| 24 Jul | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 18 | 599 | 78 | 4 | 52 | 51 | 22 | 651 | 75 |
| 25 Jul | a | 25 | 624 | 81 | 4 | 56 | 55 | 29 | 680 | 78 |
| 26 Jul | a | 1 | 625 | 81 | 2 | 58 | 57 | 3 | 683 | 78 |
| 27 Jul | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 14 | 639 | 83 | 3 | 61 | 60 | 17 | 700 | 80 |
| 28 Jul | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 34 | 673 | 87 | 7 | 68 | 67 | 41 | 741 | 85 |
| 29 Jul | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 31 | 704 | 91 | 10 | 78 | 77 | 41 | 782 | 90 |
| 30 Jul | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 13 | 717 | 93 | 5 | 83 | 82 | 18 | 800 | 92 |
| 31 Jul | a | 15 | 732 | 95 | 0 | 83 | 82 | 15 | 815 | 93 |
| 1 Aug |  | 10 | 742 | 96 | 5 | 88 | 87 | 15 | 830 | 95 |
| 2 Aug |  | 14 | 756 | 98 | 5 | 93 | 92 | 19 | 849 | 97 |
| 3 Aug |  | 7 | 763 | 99 | 1 | 94 | 93 | 8 | 857 | 98 |
| 4 Aug |  | 3 | 766 | 99 | 0 | 94 | 93 | 3 | 860 | 99 |
| 5 Aug |  | 2 | 768 | 99 | 4 | 98 | 97 | 6 | 866 | 99 |
| 6 Aug |  | 2 | 770 | 100 | 3 | 101 | 100 | 5 | 871 | 100 |
| 7 Aug |  | 2 | 772 | 100 | 0 | 101 | 100 | 2 | 873 | 100 |

[^5]
# APPENDIX C: NINILCHIK RIVER WATER TEMPERATURE DATA, 2008 

Appendix C1.-Ninilchik River daily mean, minimum, and maximum water temperatures, 1 June-21 October 2008.


[^6]
# APPENDIX D: NINILCHIK RIVER DISCHARGE AND 

 STAGE HEIGHT DATA, 2008Appendix D1.-Daily discharge measurements taken approximately 0.9 river kilometers upstream from the mouth of the Ninilchik River, 2008.

| Date | Discharge ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | April | May | June | July | August | September | October |
| 1 | - | 465 | 121 | 73 | 92 | 85 | 99 |
| 2 | 507 | 425 | 113 | 73 | 85 | 73 | 92 |
| 3 | - | 465 | 99 | 73 | 79 | 79 | 99 |
| 4 | - | 465 | 106 | 68 | 85 | 79 | 99 |
| 5 | 507 | 445 | 106 | 79 | 79 | 73 | 106 |
| 6 | 507 | 465 | 99 | 79 | - | 92 | 113 |
| 7 | 353 | 445 | 99 | 79 | - | 106 | 113 |
| 8 | 507 | 465 | 92 | 106 | 73 | 106 | 99 |
| 9 | - | 353 | 85 | 99 | 68 | 210 | 113 |
| 10 | - | 388 | 85 | 79 | - | 353 | 371 |
| 11 | - | 388 | 85 | 73 | 79 | 465 | 625 |
| 12 | - | 371 | 85 | 73 | 79 | 388 | 425 |
| 13 | - | 388 | 99 | 73 | 85 | 388 | 353 |
| 14 | - | 371 | 92 | 73 | - | 353 | 247 |
| 15 | - | 353 | 85 | 73 | 92 | 371 | 247 |
| 16 | - | 371 | 84 | 73 | 187 | 371 | 222 |
| 17 | 187 | 353 | 85 | 129 | 234 | 406 | 177 |
| 18 | 129 | 305 | 85 | 177 | 166 | 353 | 147 |
| 19 | 187 | 353 | 79 | 129 | 147 | 275 | 129 |
| 20 | 187 | 275 | 73 | 99 | 121 | 210 | 121 |
| 21 | 177 | 289 | 73 | 79 | 99 | 147 | 113 |
| 22 | 388 | 388 | 73 | 85 | 58 | 120 | 113 |
| 23 | 353 | 353 | 99 | 129 | 147 | 113 | 85 |
| 24 | 507 | 305 | 85 | 198 | 113 | 187 | 68 |
| 25 | 552 | 261 | 79 | 336 | 106 | 234 | 85 |
| 26 | 388 | 210 | 73 | 261 | 92 | 247 | 92 |
| 27 | 552 | 166 | 73 | 275 | 138 | 187 | 63 |
| 28 | 486 | 166 | 85 | 289 | 113 | 147 | 73 |
| 29 | 445 | 156 | 79 | 210 | 129 | 113 | 73 |
| 30 | 465 | 138 | 73 | 166 | 106 | 106 | - |
| 31 |  | 138 |  | 121 | 85 |  |  |

Source: Provisional data collected by the National Weather Service Alaska Pacific Weather Forecast Center.
Note: " - " = value can't be calculated due to limitations of the data.

Appendix D2.-Stage height measurements taken approximately 0.9 river kilometers upstream from the mouth of the Ninilchik River, 2008.

| Date | Stage height $(\mathrm{ft})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | April | May | June | July | August | September | October |
| 1 | - | 4.80 | 3.55 | 3.20 | 3.35 | 3.30 | 3.40 |
| 2 | 4.90 | 4.70 | 3.50 | 3.20 | 3.30 | 3.20 | 3.35 |
| 3 | - | 4.80 | 3.40 | 3.20 | 3.25 | 3.25 | 3.40 |
| 4 | - | 4.80 | 3.45 | 3.15 | 3.30 | 3.25 | 3.40 |
| 5 | 4.90 | 4.75 | 3.45 | 3.25 | 3.25 | 3.20 | 3.45 |
| 6 | 4.90 | 4.80 | 3.40 | 3.25 | - | 3.35 | 3.50 |
| 7 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 3.40 | 3.25 | - | 3.45 | 3.50 |
| 8 | 4.90 | 4.80 | 3.35 | 3.45 | 3.20 | 3.45 | 3.40 |
| 9 | - | 4.50 | 3.30 | 3.40 | 3.15 | 4.00 | 3.50 |
| 10 | - | 4.60 | 3.30 | 3.25 | - | 4.50 | 4.55 |
| 11 | - | 4.60 | 3.30 | 3.20 | 3.25 | 4.80 | 5.15 |
| 12 | - | 4.55 | 3.30 | 3.20 | 3.25 | 4.60 | 4.70 |
| 13 | - | 4.60 | 3.40 | 3.20 | 3.30 | 4.60 | 4.50 |
| 14 | - | 4.55 | 3.35 | 3.20 | - | 4.50 | 4.15 |
| 15 | - | 4.50 | 3.30 | 3.20 | 3.35 | 4.55 | 4.15 |
| 16 | - | 4.55 | 3.30 | 3.20 | 3.90 | 4.55 | 4.05 |
| 17 | 3.90 | 4.50 | 3.30 | 3.60 | 4.10 | 4.65 | 3.85 |
| 18 | 3.60 | 4.35 | 3.30 | 3.85 | 3.80 | 4.50 | 3.70 |
| 19 | 3.90 | 4.50 | 3.25 | 3.60 | 3.70 | 4.25 | 3.60 |
| 20 | 3.90 | 4.25 | 3.20 | 3.40 | 3.55 | 4.00 | 3.55 |
| 21 | 3.85 | 4.30 | 3.20 | 3.25 | 3.40 | 3.70 | 3.50 |
| 22 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 3.20 | 3.30 | 3.05 | 3.55 | 3.50 |
| 23 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 3.40 | 3.60 | 3.70 | 3.50 | 3.30 |
| 24 | 4.90 | 4.35 | 3.30 | 3.95 | 3.50 | 3.90 | 3.15 |
| 25 | 5.00 | 4.20 | 3.25 | 4.45 | 3.45 | 4.10 | 3.30 |
| 26 | 4.60 | 4.00 | 3.20 | 4.20 | 3.35 | 4.15 | 3.35 |
| 27 | 5.00 | 3.80 | 3.20 | 4.25 | 3.65 | 3.90 | 3.10 |
| 28 | 4.85 | 3.80 | 3.30 | 4.30 | 3.50 | 3.70 | 3.20 |
| 29 | 4.75 | 3.75 | 3.25 | 4.00 | 3.60 | 3.50 | 3.20 |
| 30 | 4.80 | 3.65 | 3.20 | 3.80 | 3.45 | 3.45 | - |
| 31 |  | 3.65 |  | 3.55 | 3.30 |  |  |

Source: Provisional data collected by the National Weather Service Alaska Pacific Weather Forecast Center.
Note: "-" = value can't be calculated due to limitations of the data.

# APPENDIX E: NINILCHIK PREDICTED DAILY AVERAGE HIGH AND LOW TIDE HEIGHTS DATA, 2008 

Appendix E1.-Deep Creek predicted daily high and low tides heights, 1 May-31 August 2008.

| Day | Daily tide height |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | May |  |  |  |  |  | June |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | High |  |  | Low |  |  | High |  |  | Low |  |  |
|  | AM | PM | Average | AM | PM | Average | AM | PM | Average | AM | PM | Average |
| 1 | 16.3 | 15.8 | 16.1 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 19.6 | 17.5 | 18.6 | -1.8 | 2.9 | 0.6 |
| 2 | 18.0 | 17.3 | 17.7 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 20.9 | 18.6 | 19.8 | -3.7 | 2.4 | -0.7 |
| 3 | 19.7 | 18.8 | 19.3 | -1.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 21.8 | 19.5 | 20.7 | 2.0 |  | - |
| 4 | 21.2 | 19.9 | 20.6 | -3.3 | 0.8 | -1.3 | 22.4 | 19.9 | 21.2 | 1.8 | -5.7 | -2.0 |
| 5 | 22.3 | 20.5 | 21.4 | 0.8 | -4.8 | -2.0 | 22.4 | 19.9 | 21.2 | 1.8 | -5.6 | -1.9 |
| 6 | 22.9 | 20.5 | 21.7 | 1.1 | -5.5 | -2.2 | 21.8 | 19.5 | 20.7 |  | -4.9 | - |
| 7 | 22.8 | 20.0 | 21.4 | 1.7 | -5.4 | -1.9 | 20.6 | 19.0 | 19.8 | 2.1 | -3.7 | -0.8 |
| 8 | 22.0 | 19.1 | 20.6 |  | -4.6 | - | 19.1 | 18.3 | 18.7 | 2.6 | -2.0 | 0.3 |
| 9 | 20.7 | 18.0 | 19.4 | 2.6 | -3.2 | -0.3 | 17.3 | 17.8 | 17.6 | 3.1 | -0.2 | 1.5 |
| 10 | 18.9 | 17.0 | 18.0 | 3.6 | -1.5 | 1.1 | 15.7 | 17.3 | 16.5 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 2.6 |
| 11 | 17.1 | 16.5 | 16.8 | 4.5 | 0.2 | 2.4 | 17.0 | 14.5 | 15.8 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.4 |
| 12 | 16.5 | 15.6 | 16.1 | 4.9 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 17.0 | 14.0 | 15.5 | 3.1 | 4.4 | 3.8 |
| 13 | 16.9 | 14.9 | 15.9 | 4.4 | 2.3 | 3.4 |  | 14.2 | - | 2.3 | 5.2 | 3.8 |
| 14 |  | 15.0 | - | 3.3 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 17.1 | 14.8 | 16.0 | 1.5 | 5.5 | 3.5 |
| 15 | 17.5 | 15.6 | 16.6 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 17.4 | 15.5 | 16.5 | 0.6 | 5.5 | 3.1 |
| 16 | 18.1 | 16.3 | 17.2 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 17.8 | 16.2 | 17.0 | -0.2 | 5.2 | 2.5 |
| 17 | 18.6 | 17.0 | 17.8 | -0.3 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 18.3 | 16.8 | 17.6 | 4.9 |  | - |
| 18 | 19.1 | 17.5 | 18.3 | -1.1 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 18.8 | 17.3 | 18.1 | 4.5 | -1.3 | 1.6 |
| 19 | 19.4 | 17.8 | 18.6 | 3.6 | -1.6 | 1.0 | 19.1 | 17.5 | 18.3 | 4.3 | -1.6 | 1.4 |
| 20 | 19.5 | 17.9 | 18.7 | 3.8 | -1.7 | 1.1 | 19.1 | 17.6 | 18.4 | 4.2 | -1.7 | 1.3 |
| 21 | 19.5 | 17.6 | 18.6 | 4.1 | -1.7 | 1.2 | 18.9 | 17.6 | 18.3 |  | -1.5 | - |
| 22 | 19.1 | 17.2 | 18.2 | 4.6 | -1.3 | 1.7 | 18.4 | 17.4 | 17.9 | 4.2 | -1.0 | 1.6 |
| 23 | 18.5 | 16.5 | 17.5 |  | -0.7 | - | 17.7 | 17.3 | 17.5 | 4.3 | -0.3 | 2.0 |
| 24 | 17.7 | 15.9 | 16.8 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 16.8 | 17.2 | 17.0 | 4.3 | 0.7 | 2.5 |
| 25 | 16.8 |  | - | 5.7 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 17.2 | 15.7 | 16.5 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 3.0 |
| 26 | 15.3 | 15.7 | 15.5 | 6.1 | 1.7 | 3.9 | 17.4 | 14.8 | 16.1 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 3.5 |
| 27 | 15.5 | 14.8 | 15.2 | 6.1 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 17.8 | 14.2 | 16.0 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 3.7 |
| 28 | 16.1 | 14.2 | 15.2 | 5.6 | 3.1 | 4.4 | 18.3 | 14.4 | 16.4 | 1.8 | 4.9 | 3.4 |
| 29 | 17.1 | 14.3 | 15.7 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 4.0 |  | 15.2 | - | 0.2 | 4.9 | 2.6 |
| 30 |  | 15.0 | 15.0 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 19.2 | 16.5 | 17.9 | -1.5 | 4.4 | 1.5 |
| 31 | 18.3 | 16.2 | 17.3 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

-continued-

Appendix E1.-Page 2 of 2.

|  | Daily tide height |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July |  |  |  |  |  | August |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | High |  |  | Low |  |  | High |  |  | Low |  |  |
| Day | AM | PM | Average | AM | PM | Average | AM | PM | Average | AM | PM | Average |
| 1 | 20.2 | 17.9 | 19.1 | -3.2 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 21.8 | 20.7 | 21.3 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| 2 | 21.2 | 19.1 | 20.2 | -4.5 | 2.5 | -1.0 | 22.3 | 21.4 | 21.9 | 0.1 | -4.4 | -2.2 |
| 3 | 22.0 | 19.9 | 21.0 | 1.7 | -5.2 | -1.8 | 22.2 | 21.6 | 21.9 | -0.2 | -3.8 | -2.0 |
| 4 | 22.2 | 20.4 | 21.3 | 1.2 | -5.3 | -2.1 | 21.3 | 21.3 | 21.3 |  | -2.5 | - |
| 5 | 21.9 | 20.5 | 21.2 |  | -4.8 | - | 20.0 | 20.6 | 20.3 | 0.1 | -0.7 | -0.3 |
| 6 | 21.0 | 20.2 | 20.6 | 1.0 | -3.5 | -1.3 | 18.3 | 19.5 | 18.9 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| 7 | 19.5 | 19.6 | 19.6 | 1.3 | -1.8 | -0.3 | 16.5 | 18.3 | 17.4 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 2.7 |
| 8 | 17.8 | 18.8 | 18.3 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 17.0 | 14.7 | 15.9 | 3.1 | 5.6 | 4.4 |
| 9 | 16.0 | 17.9 | 17.0 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 15.8 | 13.3 | 14.6 | 4.1 | 7.4 | 5.8 |
| 10 | 17.0 | 14.4 | 15.7 | 3.2 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 15.1 | 12.7 | 13.9 | 4.7 | 8.5 | 6.6 |
| 11 | 16.3 | 13.4 | 14.9 | 3.5 | 6.0 | 4.8 | 15.2 | 13.4 | 14.3 | 4.4 | 8.6 | 6.5 |
| 12 | 16.0 | 13.2 | 14.6 | 3.4 | 7.1 | 5.3 |  | 14.6 | - | 3.3 | 7.7 | 5.5 |
| 13 |  | 13.7 | - | 2.9 | 7.3 | 5.1 | 16.1 | 15.9 | 16.0 | 2.0 | 6.5 | 4.3 |
| 14 | 16.2 | 14.7 | 15.5 | 2.0 | 7.0 | 4.5 | 17.4 | 17.1 | 17.3 | 0.8 | 5.0 | 2.9 |
| 15 | 16.8 | 15.7 | 16.3 | 1.0 | 6.2 | 3.6 | 18.7 | 18.4 | 18.6 | 3.7 |  | - |
| 16 | 17.6 | 16.7 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 2.7 | 19.9 | 19.5 | 19.7 | 2.4 | -1.2 | 0.6 |
| 17 | 18.5 | 17.5 | 18.0 | 4.5 | -0.9 | 1.8 | 20.7 | 20.3 | 20.5 | 1.3 | -1.7 | -0.2 |
| 18 | 19.3 | 18.3 | 18.8 | 3.7 | -1.5 | 1.1 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 0.5 | -1.7 | -0.6 |
| 19 | 19.8 | 18.8 | 19.3 | 3.1 | -1.9 | 0.6 | 20.9 | 21.2 | 21.1 |  | -1.1 | - |
| 20 | 19.9 | 19.2 | 19.6 | 2.6 | -1.9 | 0.4 | 20.2 | 21.1 | 20.7 | 0.0 | -0.1 | -0.1 |
| 21 | 19.7 | 19.3 | 19.5 |  | -1.5 | - | 19.1 | 20.7 | 19.9 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
| 22 | 19.0 | 19.3 | 19.2 | 2.3 | -0.7 | 0.8 | 17.5 | 19.8 | 18.7 | 0.4 | 3.1 | 1.8 |
| 23 | 18.0 | 19.1 | 18.6 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 1.4 |  | 18.8 | - | 1.1 | 4.9 | 3.0 |
| 24 |  | 18.8 | - | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 17.7 | 14.5 | 16.1 | 1.9 | 6.6 | 4.3 |
| 25 | 18.4 | 15.4 | 16.9 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 17.2 | 14.2 | 15.7 | 2.2 | 7.3 | 4.8 |
| 26 | 18.0 | 14.3 | 16.2 | 2.2 | 5.3 | 3.8 |  | 15.4 | - | 1.5 | 6.6 | 4.1 |
| 27 | 17.9 | 14.0 | 16.0 | 1.9 | 6.3 | 4.1 | 17.8 | 17.1 | 17.5 | 0.1 | 4.9 | 2.5 |
| 28 |  | 14.9 | - | 0.8 | 6.2 | 3.5 | 19.2 | 18.8 | 19.0 | -1.3 | 2.9 | 0.8 |
| 29 | 18.5 | 16.4 | 17.5 | -0.7 | 5.2 | 2.3 | 20.6 | 20.4 | 20.5 | -2.4 | 1.1 | -0.7 |
| 30 | 19.6 | 18.0 | 18.8 | -2.3 | 3.7 | 0.7 | 21.7 | 21.5 | 21.6 | -2.9 | -0.3 | -1.6 |
| 31 | 20.8 | 19.5 | 20.2 | -3.6 | 2.1 | -0.8 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 22.2 | -2.5 | -1.0 | -1.8 |

Source: NOAA tides and currents website [Internet], 2007. Available from : http://tidesandcurrents.noaa.gov.
Note: "-" = value can't be calculated due to limitations of the data.

## APPENDIX F: NINILCHIK RIVER CHINOOK SALMON CODED WIRE TAG DATA, 2008

Appendix F1.-Coded wire tag recoveries of hatchery-reared Chinook salmon at Ninilchik River weir, 2008.


Note: "-" = value can't be calculated due to limitations of the data.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Head cinch strap number.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Ocean ages were determined by comparing brood year, release year, and recovery year.
c $\mathrm{NR}=$ Not readable scale sample due to regeneration or poor mounting.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Length measurements were recorded from mid-eye to tail fork (METF).

Appendix F2.-Ninilchik River hatchery-reared Chinook salmon coded wire tag recoveries outside of Ninilchik River, 2008.

| Number |  | Brood year | Rearing hatchery | Release site | Release date | Recovery site ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Recovery method ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Water type | Recovery date | Actual age ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CWT | $\mathrm{Head}^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Fresh | Ocean |
| 310341 | 277009 | 2003 | Ft. Richardson | Ninilchik | 19 May 2005 | Cook Inlet | Subsistence | Saltwater | Not recorded | 1 | 3 |
| 310341 | 277010 | 2003 | Ft. Richardson | Ninilchik | 19 May 2005 | Cook Inlet | Subsistence | Saltwater | Not recorded | 1 | 3 |
| 310341 | 277011 | 2003 | Ft. Richardson | Ninilchik | 19 May 2005 | Cook Inlet | Subsistence | Saltwater | Not recorded | 1 | 3 |
| 310341 | 277012 | 2003 | Ft. Richardson | Ninilchik | 19 May 2005 | Cook Inlet | Subsistence | Saltwater | Not recorded | 1 | 3 |
| 310341 | 297722 | 2003 | Ft. Richardson | Ninilchik | 19 May 2005 | Anchor River | Sport | Freshwater | 11 Jun 2008 | 1 | 3 |

a Head cinch strap number.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Cook Inlet recovery site was approximately 1 mile north and $1 / 4$ mile offshore from the mouth of the Ninilchik River.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Subsistence recovery method was from the Ninilchik educational fishery.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Ocean ages were determined by comparing brood year, release year, and recovery year.


[^0]:    1 L. E. Marsh, 1997 memorandum to B. Clark, ADF\&G, on preliminary evaluation of the stocking program at the Ninilchik River. Subsequently referred to as the "Marsh, memorandum."
    ${ }^{2}$ B. G. Bue and J. J. Hasbrouck, October 2001 report to the BOF, on escapement goal review of salmon stocks of Upper Cook Inlet. Subsequently referred to as (Bue and Hasbrouck, BOF report).

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Mark, Tag and Age Laboratory Database [Internet]. Juneau, AK: ADF\&G. 2006. [4/20/09 11:00 AM]. Available from http://tagotoweb.adfg.state.ak.us/CWT/reports/.

[^2]:    4 Hatchery-reared Ninilchik River Chinook salmon smolt stocking goals: Ninilchik River ( 50,000 smolt), NDFL ( 210,000 smolt), Halibut Cove Lagoon ( 52,500 smolt), and Seldovia Bay ( $52,5,000$ smolt).

[^3]:    Note: $\mathrm{ND}=$ no data.

[^4]:    a Binomial proportion; the calculated standard error applies for both wild and hatchery-reared percentages.

[^5]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Sustainable escapement goal (SEG) counting period.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Median run timing date during the SEG counting period for wild Chinook salmon.
    ${ }^{c}$ Median run timing date during the SEG counting period for hatchery-reared Chinook salmon.

[^6]:    Source: Temperature data collected at the NR-2 site by Sue Mauger of Cook Inletkeeper

